

EXPLANATION OF COMMISSION DETERMINATIONS ON ADEQUACY

in

Silicomanganese from India, Kazakhstan, and Venezuela
Inv. Nos. 731-TA-929-931 (Third Review)

On December 10, 2018, the Commission determined to conduct expedited reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)(5).

The Commission received a response to its notice of institution from one domestic interested party, Eramet Marietta, Inc. (“Eramet”), which estimated that it accounted for a majority of total U.S. production of silicomanganese in 2017. The Commission found Eramet’s individual response to be adequate. The Commission further determined that the domestic interested party group response was adequate because Eramet accounted for a majority of domestic production of silicomanganese in 2017.

The Commission did not receive a response to the notice of institution from any producer, exporter, or importer of silicomanganese from India, Kazakhstan, or Venezuela. Therefore, the Commission found the respondent interested party group responses for these orders were inadequate.

The Commission did not find any circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews. The Commission thus determined to conduct expedited reviews of the antidumping duty orders on silicomanganese from India, Kazakhstan, and Venezuela.¹

A record of the Commissioners’ votes is available from the Office of the Secretary and the Commission’s website (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

¹ Chairman Johanson and Commissioner Broadbent voted for full reviews of the antidumping duty orders on silicomanganese from India, Kazakhstan, and Venezuela. Chairman Johanson and Commissioner Broadbent found that full reviews were warranted in light of changes in conditions of competition that have apparently affected the industry in Venezuela. Accordingly, they voted to conduct full reviews of the orders.