DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–570–043]

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited First Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of this expedited sunset review, the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on stainless steel sheet and strip (SSSS) from the People’s Republic of China (China) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the levels indicated in the “Final Results of Sunset Review” section of this notice.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background


The products covered by the Order are stainless sheet and strip, whether in coils or straight lengths. For a complete description of the scope of the Order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included as the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via the Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(b) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the CVD order on SSSS from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the following rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Subsidy rate (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shangri Taigang Stainless Steel Co Ltd</td>
<td>75.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ningbo Baixin Stainless Steel Co Ltd</td>
<td>190.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited First Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip from the People’s Republic of China,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).
Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing the final results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(b), and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(i)(C)(2).

Dated: June 29, 2022.

Ryan Majerus,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the Order
IV. History of the Order
V. Legal Framework
VI. Discussion of the Issues
1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of a Countervailable Subsidy
2. Net Countervailable Subsidy Rates Likely to Prevail
3. Nature of the Subsidies
VII. Final Results of Sunset Review
VIII. Recommendation
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–533–883]
Glycine From India: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily finds that producers and/or exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review June 1, 2020, through May 31, 2021. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Emily Bradshaw or Yang Jin Chun, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3896 or (202) 482–5760, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Background

On June 21, 2019, Commerce published the antidumping duty order on glycine from India.1 On August 3, 2021, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from India.2 On February 16, 2022, Commerce extended the time limit for these preliminary results to June 30, 2022, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).3

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the Order is glycine. For a complete description of the scope of the Order, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.4

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Act. Export price and constructed export price are calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying these preliminary results, see Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an appendix to this notice.

Rescission of Administrative Review, in Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested a review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation. On November 1, 2021, GEO Specialty Chemicals, Inc. withdrew its request for review with respect to GEM Corpochem Private Limited, Indiana Chem-Port, J.R. Corporation, Mulji Mehta Enterprises, Mulji Mehta Pharma, Rexisize Rasayan Industries, and Studio Disrupt.5 Because the requests for review were timely withdrawn and no other parties requested a review of these companies, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce is partially rescinding this review of the Order for these seven companies.

Application of Facts Available With Adverse Inferences

Pursuant to section 776(a) of the Act, Commerce is preliminarily relying upon facts otherwise available to determine a weighted-average dumping margin for Kumar Industries/Rudraa International (collectively Kumar)6 because: (1) See Glycine from India and Japan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination and Antidumping Duties, 84 FR 29170 (June 21, 2019) (Order). 2 See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 86 FR 41821, 41823 (August 3, 2021). 3 See Memorandum, “Glycine from India: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated February 16, 2022. 4 See Memorandum, “Glycine from India: Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum). 5 See GEO Specialty Chemicals, Inc.’s Letter, “Partial Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review,” dated November 1, 2021. 6 Rudraa International is one of the companies for which the review request was withdrawn. Id. In the last completed administrative review, Commerce collapsed Kumar Industries and Rudraa International. See Glycine from India: Final Results

Company | Subsidy rate (percent)
--- | ---
Baosteel Desheng Stainless Steel Co., Ltd. | 75.60
Baosteel Co., Ltd. |
Bayi Iron & Steel Co., Ltd. |
Ningbo Iron & Steel Co., Ltd. |
Shaoquan Iron & Steel Co., Ltd. |
Guangdong Shaoquan Iron & Steel Co., Ltd. |
Zhanjiang Iron & Steel Co., Ltd. |
Daming International Import Export Co Ltd. |
Tianjin Taigang Daming Metal Product Co., Ltd. |
All Others |

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in Negotiations.