The complaint (and supplements to the complaint), except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:


(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 3–5, 7–10, and 17 of the ’630 patent and one or more of claims 1–3, 9, 10, and 12 of the ’917 patent, whether an industry in the United States exists and/or is in the process of being established as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “power optimizers for solar power systems that contain DC-DC converters, and inverters for solar power systems”;

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Ampt, LLC, 4850 Innovation Drive, Fort Collins, CO 80525

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

SolarEdge Technologies, Inc., 700 Tasman Drive, Milpitas, CA 95035

SolarEdge Technologies, Ltd., 1 HaMada Street, Postal Code 467335, Herzliya, Israel

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

The Office of Unfair Import Investigations will not participate as a party in this investigation.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainant of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 29, 2022.

Katherine Hiner,
Acting Secretary to the Commission.

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–718 (Fifth Review)]

Glycine From China
Determination

On the basis of the record 1 developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on glycine from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.2

Background

The Commission instituted this review on January 3, 2022 (87 FR 112) and determined on April 8, 2022 that it would conduct an expedited review (87 FR 44422, July 26, 2022).

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determination in this review on August 30, 2022. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5347 (August 2022), entitled Glycine from China: Investigation No. 731–TA–718 (Fifth Review).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 30, 2022.

Katherine Hiner,
Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2022–19088 Filed 9–1–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1105 (Second Review)]

Lemon Juice From Argentina
Determination

On the basis of the record 1 developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that termination of the suspended investigation on lemon juice from Argentina would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.2

The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

1 Commissioner Amy A. Karpel not participating.

2 The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employee Benefits Security Administration

[Prohibited Transaction Exemption 2022–03; Exemption Application No. L–12021]

Exemption From Certain Prohibited Transaction Restrictions Involving Comcast Corporation (Comcast or the Applicant) Located in Philadelphia, PA

AGENCY: Employee Benefits Security Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice of exemption.

SUMMARY: This document contains a notice of exemption issued by the Department of Labor (the Department) from certain of the prohibited transaction restrictions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA or the Act) and/or the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the Code). Under the exemption, the Comcast Corporation Comprehensive Health and Welfare Benefit Plan (the Plan) will enter into an insurance contract with an unrelated A-rated insurance company (the Fronting Insurer) that will, in turn, enter into a reinsurance contract with One Belmont Insurance Company (One Belmont), an affiliate of Comcast (the Reinsurance Arrangement). Under the Reinsurance Arrangement, One Belmont will reinsure the Plan’s risks. The Department makes the requisite findings under ERISA Section 408(a) in accordance with the procedures set forth in 29 CFR part 2570, subpart B (76 FR 66637, 66644, October 27, 2011).

Written Comments

In the proposed exemption, the Department invited all interested persons to submit written comments and/or requests for a public hearing with respect to the notice of proposed exemption. All comments and requests for a hearing were due to the Department by November 4, 2021. The Department received one written comment from the Applicant, discussed below, and three written comments from members of the public. Two of the public commenters were against the proposed exemption and shared the same general concern that the exemption would allow Comcast to own or control the entities that provide healthcare services to its employees. The other public commenter expressed a view that was unrelated to the substance of the proposed exemption. The Department did not receive any requests for a public hearing from any of the commenters.

Comments From the Applicant

I. Reinsurance Benefit

The Applicant notes that footnote 16 of the Summary of Facts and Representations states: “According to the Applicants, Prudential has agreed to reduce the Plan’s basic life insurance premiums by $375,000 in return for transferring the Plan’s basic life insurance risks to One Belmont. The result is a cost savings to Comcast since Comcast pays 100% of these premiums.” The Applicant now represents, however, that, upon further review, the Reinsurance Arrangement will not result in Prudential reducing the premium amounts charged to Comcast for the Life Insurance Component. Those premium amounts are expected to remain the same. The current rates are guaranteed through December 31, 2023, as part of a three-year guarantee period. The Plan has negotiated three-year guarantee periods for several years.

Department’s Note: Although Comcast will not save $375,000 per year in Plan premium payments, as originally expected, Comcast now expects One Belmont will instead receive approximately $375,000 in additional earned income per year from the captive arrangement. Under the terms of the exemption, the net result is the same: Plan participants must receive all the financial benefits that Comcast derives from the arrangement. This includes, as described in Section III(a) of the exemption, any premium savings to Comcast from the captive reinsurance arrangement, as well as any additional earned income to One Belmont from the arrangement.

2 Commissioner Amy A. Karpel not participating.