Producers/exporters	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Dinggin Hardware (Dalian) Co., Ltd ...	18.16
Shijiazhuang Asia Casting Co., Ltd ...	18.16

Disclosure

Normally, Commerce will disclose to the parties in a proceeding the calculations performed in connection with a final results of review within five days of the date of publication of the notice of final results in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). However, here, Commerce made no adjustments to the margin calculation methodology used in the *Preliminary Results,* therefore there are no calculations to disclose for the final results.

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this administrative review. Consistent with its recent notice,[8] Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.,* within 90 days of publication).

For entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales database submitted by Wor-Biz during this review, and for the companies that did not qualify for a separate rate in this review, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the China-wide rate (*i.e.,* 360.30 percent).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by sections 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For companies listed above which have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Chinese and non-Chinese exporters that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the China-wide entity; and (4) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: January 29, 2021.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order
4. Discussion of the Issue
   Comment: Whether to Average Surrogate Values for Financial Ratios
5. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021–02597 Filed 2–8–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[8] *See Notice of Discontinuation of Policy to Issue Liquidation Instructions After 15 Days in Applicable Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Proceedings,* 86 FR 3995 (January 15, 2021).

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–533–839]

Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From India: Final Results of the Expedited Third Five-Year Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of this sunset review, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on carbazole violet pigment 23 (CVP 23) from India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the levels indicated in the ''Final Results of Review'' section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable February 9, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Hoadley, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3148.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 29, 2004, Commerce published its CVD order on CVP 23 from India in the **Federal Register**.[1] On October 1, 2020, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the third sunset review of the *Order,* pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).[2] Commerce received a notice of intent to participate from Sun Chemical Corporation (domestic interested party or Sun), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).[3] Sun claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as a domestic producer of CVP 23 in the United States.

Commerce received a substantive response from the domestic interested

[1] *See Notice of Countervailing Duty Order: Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from India,* 69 FR 77995 (December 29, 2004) (*Order*).

[2] *See Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Review,* 85 FR 61928 (October 1, 2020).

[3] *See* Sun's Letter, ''Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from the Republic of India: Notice of Intent to Participate In 3rd Sunset Review of Countervailing Duty Order,'' dated October 9, 2020.

party[4] within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received no substantive response from any other domestic or respondent interested parties in this proceeding, nor was a hearing requested.

On November 20, 2020, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) that it did not receive an adequate substantive response from respondent interested parties.[5] As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of this *Order.*

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the scope of the *Order* is CVP 23 identified as Color Index No. 51319 and Chemical Abstract No. 6358–30–1, with the chemical name of *diindolo [3,2-b:3′,2′-m] triphenodioxazine, 8,18-dichloro-5,15-diethy-5,15-dihydro-,* and molecular formula of C34H22Cl2N4O2.[6] The subject merchandise includes the crude pigment in any form (*e.g.,* dry powder, paste, wet cake) and finished pigment in the form of presscake and dry color. Pigment dispersions in any form (*e.g.,* pigments dispersed in oleoresins, flammable solvents, water) are not included within the scope of the order.

The merchandise subject to this *Order* is classifiable under subheading 3204.17.9040 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise covered by the scope of the *Order* is dispositive. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order, see* the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.[7]

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via the Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at *http://access.trade.gov.* In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at *http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/.* The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(b) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the CVD order on CVP–23 from India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the following rates:

Manufacturers/producers/exporters	Net countervailable subsidy rate (*ad valorem*) (percent)
Alpanil Industries Ltd	14.93
Pidilite Industries Ltd	15.24
AMI Pigments Pvt. Ltd	33.61
All Others	18.66

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing the final results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(b), and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.218.

Dated: January 29, 2021.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. History of the Order
IV. Scope of the Order
V. Legal Framework
VI. Discussion of the Issues
1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of a Countervailable Subsidy
2. Net Countervailable Subsidy Rates Likely to Prevail
3. Nature of the Subsidies
VII. Final Results of Sunset Review
VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021–02598 Filed 2–8–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[4] *See* Sun's Letter, ''Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from the Republic of India: Petitioner's Substantive Response,'' dated October 30, 2020.

[5] *See* Commerce's Letter, ''Sunset Reviews Initiated on October 1, 2020,'' dated November 20, 2020.

[6] The bracketed section of the product description, *[3,2-b:3′,2′-m],* is not business proprietary information; the brackets are simply part of the chemical nomenclature.

[7] *See* Memorandum, ''Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Third Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from India,'' dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–570–948]

Steel Grating From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Second Five-Year Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of this second sunset review, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on steel grating from the People's Republic of China (China) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the levels indicated in the ''Final Results of Review'' section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable February 9, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Hoadley, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3148.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 23, 2010, Commerce published its CVD order on steel grating from China in the **Federal Register**.[1] On October 1, 2020, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the second sunset review of the *Order,* pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).[2] Commerce received a notice of intent to participate from Nucor Grating; IKG USA, LLC; Ohio Gratings, Inc.; Interstate Gratings, LLC; and Lichtgitter USA Inc. (collectively, the Metal Grating Coalition), within the deadline specified

[1] *See Certain Steel Grating from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order,* 75 FR 43144 (July 23, 2010) (*Order*).

[2] *See Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Review,* 85 FR 61928 (October 1, 2020).