Public Comment

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance no later than seven days after the date on which the last verification report is issued in this investigation. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be submitted no later than five days after the deadline date for case briefs.10 Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this investigation are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, limited to issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain the party’s name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, whether any participant is a foreign national, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, the Department intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a time and date to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 703(f) of the Act, the Department will notify the International Trade Commission (ITC) of its determination. If the final determination is affirmative, the ITC will determine before the later of 120 days after the date of this preliminary determination or 45 days after the final determination.

Notification to Interested Parties

This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 703(f) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(c).

SUMMARY: As a result of this sunset review, the Department of Commerce (the Department) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) order on tapered roller bearings and parts thereof, finished and unfinished (TRBs) from the People’s Republic of China (PRC) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. The magnitude of the dumping margin likely to prevail is indicated in the “Final Results of Sunset Review” section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable November 6, 2017.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Background

On June 15, 1987, the Department published the AD order on TRBs from the PRC.1 On July 3, 2017, the Department initiated the fourth sunset review of the AD order on TRBs from the PRC pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (Act).2 On July 10, 2017, the Department received a timely notice of intent to participate in the sunset review from the Timken Company (Timken), a domestic producer and the petitioner in the TRBs less-than-fair-value investigation, within the 15-day period specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).3 On August 2, 2017, Timken filed a timely substantive response with the Department pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested party. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(i)(C)(2), the Department will continue the AD order for two years.

---

10 See 19 CFR 351.309; see also 19 CFR 351.303 for general filing requirements.

1 See Antidumping Duty Order; Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished or Unfinished, from the People’s Republic of China, 52 FR 22667 (June 15, 1987), as amended by Tapered Roller Bearings from the People’s Republic of China; Amendment to Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order in Accordance With Decision Upon Remand, 55 FR 6609 (February 26, 1990) (Order).

2 See Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews, 82 FR 80844 (July 3, 2017).


the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the Order.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the Order includes tapered roller bearings and parts thereof. The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 8482.20.00, 8482.91.00.50, 8482.99.15, 8482.99.45, 8483.20.40, 8483.20.80, 8483.30.80, 8483.90.20, 8483.90.30, 8489.80.80, 8708.70.6000, 8708.99.2300, 8708.99.4850, 8708.99.6890, 8708.99.8115, and 8708.99.8180. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.5

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the Decision Memorandum. The issues discussed in the Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the dumping margin likely to prevail if the Order were to be revoked.

The Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, the Department determines that revocation of the Order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and that the margin of dumping likely to prevail if the Order is revoked would be up to 60.25 percent.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of

5 For a full description of the scope of the AD Order, see Memorandum, “Fourth Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Tapered Roller Bearings from the People’s Republic of China,” dated concurrently with, and adopted by, this notice (Decision Memorandum).

Background

The CVD order on certain lined paper products from India was published in the Federal Register on September 28, 2006.1 On July 3, 2017, the Department initiated the second sunset review of this CVD order pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act.2 On July 18, 2017, we received a notice of intent to participate on behalf of the Association of American School Paper Suppliers and each of its individual members (AASPS, hereinafter referred to as the domestic interested party), an association of domestic producers of lined paper products.3 The domestic interested party claimed interested party status under sections 771(9)(F) and (C) of the Act, because it is comprised of an association of U.S. producers of lined paper products and the individual members of the association, which are manufacturers, producers, or wholesalers in the United States of the domestic like product.4 On August 2, 2017, the domestic interested party submitted its substantive response within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.216(d)(3)(i).5 The Department did not receive any substantive response from the Government of India, or Indian producers or exporters of the merchandise covered by the CVD order. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216[e][1][i][C][C][2], the Department conducted an expedited review of the CVD order. This approach is consistent with the Department’s practice, including the prior sunset review of this CVD order.6 The Department did not...