DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau of Ocean Energy Management

Outier Continental Shelf Official Protraction Diagrams and Supplemental Official Outer Continental Shelf Block Diagrams; MMAA104000


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the availability of maps used for the description of renewable energy, mineral, and oil and gas lease sales in the geographic areas they represent.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Douglas Vandegraft, Chief, Mapping and Boundary Branch at (703) 787–1312 or via email at Doug.Vandegraft@boem.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: By correspondence dated July 27, 2016, the Commonwealth of Virginia requested that BOEM update official maps to reflect the offshore lateral boundaries that divide the waters within the Commonwealth of Virginia from the state waters of North Carolina and Maryland. This request is directly related to a current joint effort by the Commonwealth and BOEM to permanently immobilize the Submerged Lands Act Boundary offshore of Virginia through a decree from the U.S. Supreme Court. The lateral boundary dividing Virginia and North Carolina was determined by Congress through Public Law 92–588 of October 27, 1972. The lateral boundary dividing Virginia and Maryland was determined by Congress through Public Law 92–565 of October 25, 1972. The Submerged Lands Act boundaries depicted in the revised diagrams were prepared using the state boundaries established by Congress.

Accordingly, OPD NJ18–11 (Currituck Sound), dated November 1, 2016, has been revised to reflect the Virginia-North Carolina lateral boundary. OPD NJ18–05 (Salisbury) dated November 1, 2016, has been revised to reflect the Virginia-Maryland lateral boundary.

Both lateral boundaries extend directly east from the shoreline of the Atlantic Ocean and terminate at the Submerged Lands Act Boundary, which is located three nautical miles east and parallel to the shoreline. SOBDS 6505 and 6506, dated November 1, 2016, located within OPD NJ18–11 (Currituck Sound), have been revised to reflect the intersection of the Virginia-North Carolina lateral boundary with the Submerged Lands Act Boundary. SOBD 7117, dated November 1, 2016, located within NJ18–05 (Salisbury), has been revised to reflect the intersection of the Virginia-Maryland lateral boundary with the Submerged Lands Act Boundary. On December 12, 2016, the Commonwealth of Virginia signed the subject SOBDS, indicating its concurrence with the BOEM depiction of the lateral boundaries and boundary intersections.

Revised Outer Continental Shelf Official Protraction Diagrams in the Atlantic Ocean

Description/Date
NJ18–11 (Currituck Sound)—11/01/2016
NJ18–05 (Salisbury)—11/01/2016

Revised Supplemental Official Outer Continental Shelf Block Diagrams in the Atlantic Ocean, Located Within Official Protraction Diagram NJ18–11 (Currituck Sound)

Diagram Revised/Date/Block Numbers
Submerged Lands Act blocks (Total of 2)—11/01/2016: 6505, 6506

Revised Supplemental Official Outer Continental Shelf Block Diagram in the Atlantic Ocean, Located Within Official Protraction Diagram NJ18–05 (Salisbury)

Diagram Revised/Date/Block Numbers
Submerged Lands Act block—11/01/2016: 7117

Copies of the revised OPDs and SOBDS are available for download in .pdf format from https://www.boem.gov/Oil-and-Gas-Energy-Program/Mapping-and-Data/Atlantic.aspx.


Walter D. Cruickshank,
Acting Director, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.
[FR Doc. 2017–03546 Filed 3–2–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–461 (Fourth Review)]

Gray Portland Cement and Cement Clinker From Japan; Scheduling of an Expedited Five-Year Review


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on gray portland cement and cement clinker from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 6, 2017.


General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (https://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On February 6, 2017, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (81 FR 75848, November 1, 2016) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review. Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the

1 A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s Web site.
For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on May 18, 2017, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission’s rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,3 and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before May 23, 2017 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by May 23, 2017. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce’s final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce’s results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s rules with respect to filing were revised effective July 25, 2014. See 79 FR 35920 (June 25, 2014), and the revised Commission Handbook on E-filing, available from the Commission’s Web site at https://edis.usitc.gov.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined this review to be extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.


Lisa R. Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2017–04156 Filed 3–2–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
[Investigation No. 337–TA–1041]

Certain Digital Television Set-Top Boxes, Remote Control Devices, and Components Thereof; Institution of Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on January 26, 2017, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of OpenTV, Inc. of Mountain View, California; Nagra USA, Inc. of San Francisco, California; Nagravision SA of Switzerland; and Kudelski SA of Switzerland. A supplement was filed on February 13, 2017. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital television set-top boxes, remote control devices, and components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 2, 9–11, and 13–16 of the ‘389 patent; claims 13 and 36 of the ’327 patent; and claims 1, 2, 5, and 8 of the ’720 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov.


Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on February 27, 2017, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital television set-top boxes, remote control devices, and components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 2, 9–11, and 13–16 of the ‘389 patent; claims 13 and 36 of the ’327 patent; and claims 1, 2, 5, and 8 of the ’720 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which patent’’); and U.S. Patent No. 7,725,720

Japanese Cement, the International Brotherhood of Boilermakers, Iron Ship Builders, Blacksmiths, Forgers and Helpers, the Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, and the International Union of Operating Engineers to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

2 Commissioners Johanson and Broadbent voted to conduct a full review. Commissioner Pinkert is recused.

3 The Commission has found the responses submitted by the Committee for Fairly Traded Japanese Cement, the International Brotherhood of Boilermakers, Iron Ship Builders, Blacksmiths, Forgers and Helpers, the Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, and the International Union of Operating Engineers to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).