unliquidated entries of subject merchandise based on the revised dumping margins listed above.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The Department notified CBP to discontinue the collection of cash deposits on entries of the subject merchandise, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after February 14, 2012, due to the revocation of the order. Therefore, no cash deposit requirements will be imposed as a result of these amended final results.

Notice to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(e)(1), 751(a)(1), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.


Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance,

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–869]

Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires From India: Final Negative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Determination of Critical Circumstances

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Department) determines that imports of certain new pneumatic off-the-road tires (OTR tires) from India are not being, or are not likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV). The final estimated weighted-average dumping margins of sales at LTFV are listed below in the section entitled “Final Determination.” For a complete description of the issues raised by parties for this final determination, may be found in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.3 The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document, and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov, and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov. The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope Comments

In accordance with the Preliminary Determination, the Department set aside a period of time for parties to address scope issues in case briefs or other written comments on scope issues.4 In the Preliminary Determination, we did not modify the scope language as it appeared in the Initiation Notice.5 No interested party submitted scope comments in case or rebuttal briefs. Therefore, the scope of this investigation remains unchanged for this final determination.6

Scope of the Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are OTR tires from India. For a complete description of the scope of the investigation, see Appendix I of this notice.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs that were submitted by parties in this investigation are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of these issues is attached to this notice at Appendix II.

Verification

As provided in section 782(i) of the Act, in August and September 2016, we conducted sales and cost verifications of the questionnaire responses submitted by ATC and BKT. We used standard verification procedures, including an examination of relevant accounting and production records, as well as original source documents provided by both respondents.

Changes to the Dumping Margin Calculations Since the Preliminary Determination

Based on our analysis of the comments received, pre-verification findings, and our findings at verification, we made certain changes to the dumping margin calculations for each respondent, ATC and BKT. For a discussion of these changes, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Use of Adverse Facts Available

The Department has relied on partial adverse facts available under sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act.7 A full discussion of our decision to rely on adverse facts available is presented in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.


2 See Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance “Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires from India: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value,” dated concurrently with this determination and hereby adopted by this notice (“Issues and Decision Memorandum”).

3(See Preliminary Determination, 81 FR at 55432, and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at “Scope Comments.”


SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:

Background

On August 19, 2016, the Department published the Preliminary Determination in the Federal Register.1 In the Preliminary Determination, we postponed the final determination until no later than 135 days after the date of publication of the Preliminary Determination in accordance with section 735(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).2 A summary of the events that occurred since the Department published the Preliminary Determination, as well as a full discussion of the issues raised by parties for this final determination, may be found in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.3 The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document, and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov, and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov. The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope Comments

In accordance with the Preliminary Determination, the Department set aside a period of time for parties to address scope issues in case briefs or other written comments on scope issues.4 In the Preliminary Determination, we did not modify the scope language as it appeared in the Initiation Notice.5 No interested party submitted scope comments in case or rebuttal briefs. Therefore, the scope of this investigation remains unchanged for this final determination.6

Scope of the Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are OTR tires from India. For a complete description of the scope of the investigation, see Appendix I of this notice.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs that were submitted by parties in this investigation are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of these issues is attached to this notice at Appendix II.

Verification

As provided in section 782(i) of the Act, in August and September 2016, we conducted sales and cost verifications of the questionnaire responses submitted by ATC and BKT. We used standard verification procedures, including an examination of relevant accounting and production records, as well as original source documents provided by both respondents.

Changes to the Dumping Margin Calculations Since the Preliminary Determination

Based on our analysis of the comments received, pre-verification findings, and our findings at verification, we made certain changes to the dumping margin calculations for each respondent, ATC and BKT. For a discussion of these changes, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Use of Adverse Facts Available

The Department has relied on partial adverse facts available under sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act.7 A full discussion of our decision to rely on adverse facts available is presented in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.
Final Determination of Critical Circumstances

On December 9, 2016, Petitioners filed a timely critical circumstances allegation pursuant to section 733(e)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.206(b), alleging that critical circumstances exist with respect to imports of the merchandise under consideration. The finding for whether critical circumstances exist with respect to producers and exporters subject to the antidumping duty margins for ATC and BKT are zero.

Final Determination

The Department determines, as provided in section 735 of the Act, that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter/producer</th>
<th>Weighted-average margin (percent)</th>
<th>Cash deposit adjusted for subsidy offset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATC Tires Private Ltd.</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Not Applicable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balkrishna Industries Ltd.</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Not Applicable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-Others</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Not Applicable.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consistent with section 735(c)(1) of the Act, the Department has not determined an estimated all-others rate because it has not made an affirmative final determination of sales at LTFV.

Suspension of Liquidation

Because the Department has not made an affirmative final determination of sales at LTFV, we are not directing U.S. Customs and Border Protection to suspend liquidation of any entries of OTR tires from India. In the final determination of the companion countervailing duty investigation of OTR tires from India, the Department determined that the all other companies received a benefit from export subsidies. In the instant investigation, the antidumping duty margins ATC and BKT are zero and no cash deposits will be collected. Therefore, no adjustment is required for export subsidies pursuant to sections 735(c)(1) and 772(c)(1)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(d).

Disclosure

We will disclose the calculations performed to interested parties in this proceeding within five days of the date of announcement, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

U.S. International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we will notify the U.S. International Trade Commission of our final determination.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders (APOs)

This notice will serve as a reminder to parties subject to APOs of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(c).


Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

Scope of the Investigation

The scope of this investigation is certain new pneumatic off-the-road tires (certain off road tires). Certain off road tires are tires with an off road tire size designation. The tires included in the scope may be either tube-type or tubeless, radial, or non-radial, regardless of whether for original equipment manufacturers or the replacement market.

Subject tires may have the following prefix or suffix designation, which appears on the sidewall of the tire:

Prefix designations:
- DH—Identifies a tire intended for agricultural and logging service which must be mounted on a DH drop center rim.
- VA—Identifies a tire sized for agricultural and logging service which must be mounted on a VA multipiece rim.
- VF—Identifies an agricultural tire to operate at 40 percent higher rated load than standard metric tires at the same inflation pressure.

Suffix designations:
- ML—Mining and logging tires used in intermittent highway service.
- DT—Tires primarily designed for sand and gravel service.
- NHS—Not for Highway Service.
- TG—Tractor Grader, off-the-road tire for use on rims having bead seats with nominal +0.188” diameter (not for highway service).
- K—Compactor tire for use on 5” drop center or semi-drop center rims having bead seats with nominal minus 0.032 diameter.
- IND—Drive wheel tractor tire used in industrial service.
- SL—Service limited to agricultural usage.
- FI—Implement tire for agricultural towed highway service.
- CFO—Cyclic Field Operation.
- SS—Differentiates tires for off-highway vehicles such as mini and skid-steer loaders from other tires which use similar size designations such as 7.00-15TR and 7.00-15NHS, but may use different rim bead seat configurations.

All tires marked with any of the prefixes or suffixes listed above in their sidewall markings are covered by the scope regardless of their intended use. In addition, all tires that lack any of the prefixes or suffixes listed above in their sidewall markings are included in the scope, regardless of their intended use, as long as the tire is of a size that is among the numerical size designations listed in the following sections of the Tire and Rim Association Year Book, as updated annually, unless the tire falls within one of the specific exclusions set forth below. The sections of the Tire and Rim Association Year Book listing numerical size designations of covered certain off road tires include: the table of mining and logging tires included in the section on Truck-Bus tires; The entire section on Off-The-Road tires; The entire section on Agricultural tires; and The following tables in the section on Industrial/ATV/Special Tyres:
- Industrial, Mining, Counterbalanced Lift Truck (Smooth Floors Only);
- Industrial and Mining (Other than Smooth Floors);
- Construction Equipment;
- Off-the-Road and Counterbalanced Lift Truck (Smooth Floors Only);
- Aerial Lift and Mobile Crane; and
- Utility Vehicle and Lawn and Garden Tractor.

Certain off road tires, whether or not mounted on wheels or rims, are included in the scope. However, if a subject tire is imported mounted on a wheel or rim, only the tire is covered by the scope. Subject merchandise includes certain off road tires produced in the subject countries whether mounted on wheels or rims in a subject country or in a third country. Certain off road tires are covered whether or not they are accompanied by other parts, e.g., a wheel, rim, axle parts, bolts, nuts, etc. Certain off road tires that enter attached to a vehicle are not covered by the scope.

Specifically excluded from the scope are passenger vehicle and light truck tires, racing
tires, mobile home tires, motorcycle tires, all-terrain vehicle tires, bicycle tires, on-road or on-highway trailer tires, and truck and bus tires. Such tires generally have in common that the symbol “DOT” must appear on the sidewall, certifying that the tire conforms to applicable motor vehicle safety standards. Such excluded tires may also have the following prefixes and suffixes included as part of the size designation on their sidewalls:

Prefix letter designations:

- AT—Identifies a tire intended for service on All-Terrain Vehicles;
- P—Identifies a tire intended primarily for service on passenger cars;
- LT—Identifies a tire intended primarily for service on light trucks;
- T—Identifies a tire intended for one-position “temporary use” as a spare only; and
- ST—Identifies a special tire for trailers in highway service.

Suffix letter designations:

- TR—Identifies a tire for service on trucks, buses, and other vehicles with rims having specified rim diameter of nominal plus 0.156” or plus 0.250”;
- MH—Identifies tires for Mobile Homes;
- HC—Identifies a heavy duty tire designated for use on “HC” 15” tapered rims used on trucks, buses, and other vehicles. This suffix is intended to differentiate among tires for light trucks, and other vehicles or other services, which use a similar designation.
- Example: 8R17.5 LT, 8R17.5 HC;
- LT—Identifies light truck tires for service on trucks, buses, trailers, and multipurpose passenger vehicles used in nominal highway service;
- ST—Special tires for trailers in highway service; and
- MC—Identifies tires and rims for motorcycles.

The following types of tires are also excluded from the scope:

- Pneumatic tires that are not new, including recycled or retreaded tires and used tires; non-pneumatic tires, including solid rubber tires; aircraft tires; and turf, lawn and garden, and golf tires. Also excluded from the scope are mining and construction tires that have a rim diameter equal to or exceeding 39 inches. Such tires may be distinguished from other tires of similar size by the number of plies that the construction and mining tires contain (minimum of 16) and the weight of such tires (minimum 1500 pounds).

The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 401.20.1025, 401.20.1035, 401.20.5030, 401.20.5050, 401.61.0000, 401.62.0000, 401.63.0000, 401.69.0050, 401.92.0000, 401.93.4000, 401.93.8000, 401.94.4000, 401.94.8000, 8431.49.9038, 8431.49.9090, 6709.90.000, and 8716.90.1020. Tires meeting the scope description may also enter under the following HTSUS subheadings: 401.19.4500, 401.99.8550, 8424.90.9000, 8431.20.0000, 8431.39.0010, 8431.49.1090, 8431.49.9030, 8432.90.0005, 8432.90.0015, 8432.90.0330, 8432.90.0800, 8433.90.5010, 8503.90.0560, 8707.70.0500, 8708.70.2500, 8708.70.4530, 8716.90.5035, 8716.90.5055, 8716.90.5056 and 8716.90.5059. While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the subject merchandise is dispositive.

Appendix II

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary

II. List of Issues

III. Background

IV. Scope of the Investigation

V. Discussion of the Issues

Comment 1: Standard Differential Pricing

Methodology

Comment 2: Unreported U.S. Sample Sales

Comment 3: Sales Outside the Ordinary Course of Trade

Comment 4: Commission Offset

Comment 5: ATC’s Revised Cost Database Submitted After Verification

Comment 6: Affirmative Determination of ATC

Comment 7: Correction of Minor Errors from U.S. Sales Verification

Comment 8: Warranty

Comment 9: Other Discounts

Comment 10: Classification of BKT’s Sales

Comment 11: Duty Drawback

Comment 12: CEP Offset

Comment 13: Quantity Unit of Measure

Comment 14: Correction of Verification Errors

Comment 15: Correction of Preliminary Determination Errors

VI. Recommendation
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BILLING CODE 3101–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–570–050]

Ammonium Sulfate From the People’s Republic of China: Final Affirmative
Countervailing Duty Determination

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) determines that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of ammonium sulfate from the People’s Republic of China (PRC). The period of investigation is January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 2, 2016, the Department published its preliminary affirmative determination that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of ammonium sulfate from the PRC in the Federal Register. We invited interested parties to comment on our Preliminary Determination, and/or request a hearing. No party, including the mandatory respondents and the Government of China (GOC), submitted comments or requested a hearing.

Scope of the Investigation

The product covered by this investigation is ammonium sulfate from the PRC. For a complete description of the scope of this investigation, see Appendix II.

Verification

None of the mandatory respondents in this investigation provided information requested by the Department. Hence, no verification was conducted.

Use of Adverse Facts Available (AFA)

As discussed above, we received no comments from interested parties pertaining to the Preliminary Determination. Therefore, for this final determination, and pursuant to sections 776(a)–(d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), we continue to rely on facts available for Wuzhoufeng AST and Yantai AMP, the two mandatory respondents, and the GOC, which did not respond to our countervailing duty questionnaires. Further, we continue to find that Wuzhoufeng AST, Yantai AMP, and the GOC failed to act to the best of their ability and, therefore, we are drawing an adverse inference in selecting from among the facts otherwise available to determine whether the programs subject to this investigation constitute countervailable subsidies and to calculate the ad valorem rates for Wuzhoufeng AST and Yantai AMP. For this final determination, as AFA, we continue to find all programs


2 The Department selected Wuzhoufeng Agricultural Science & Technology Co. Ltd. (Wuzhoufeng AST) and Yantai Jiahe Agriculture Means of Production Co. Ltd. (Yantai AMP) as mandatory respondents.


4 See sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act.