**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
International Trade Administration  
**Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Advance Notification of Sunset Reviews**  

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.  

**Background**  
Every five years, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) and the International Trade Commission automatically initiate and conduct a review to determine whether revocation of a countervailing or antidumping duty order or termination of an investigation suspended under section 704 or 734 of the Act would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping or a countervailable subsidy (as the case may be) and of material injury.  

**Upcoming Sunset Reviews for September 2016**  
The following Sunset Reviews are scheduled for initiation in September 2016 and will appear in that month’s Notice of Initiation of Five-Year Sunset Review (“Sunset Review”).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antidumping Duty Proceedings</th>
<th>Department Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countervailing Duty Proceedings</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suspended Investigations</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Sunset Review of suspended investigations is scheduled for initiation in September 2016.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Department’s procedures for the conduct of Sunset Reviews are set forth in 19 CFR 351.218. The Notice of Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Reviews provides further information regarding what is required of all parties to participate in Sunset Reviews.  

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), the Department will maintain and make available a service list for these proceedings. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list(s), it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact the Department in writing within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.  

Please note that if the Department receives a Notice of Intent to Participate from a member of the domestic industry within 15 days of the date of initiation, the review will continue. Thereafter, any interested party wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must provide substantive comments in response to the notice of initiation no later than 30 days after the date of initiation.  

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.  

Dated: August 1, 2016.  
Christian Marsh,  
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

---

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
International Trade Administration  
**Aluminum Extrusions From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited First Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order**  

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.  

**SUMMARY:** As a result of this sunset review, the Department of Commerce (the Department) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order on aluminum extrusions from the People’s Republic of China (PRC) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the “Final Results of Sunset Review” section of this notice.  

**DATES:** Effective August 5, 2016.  
**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Deborah Scott or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2657 or (202) 482–0649, respectively.  

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**  

**Background**  
On May 26, 2011, the Department published the notice of the antidumping duty order on aluminum extrusions from the PRC. On April 1, 2016, the Department published the notice of initiation of the first sunset review of aluminum extrusions from the People’s Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Order, 76 FR 30650 (May 26, 2011) (AD Order).
the AD Order, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). On April 18, 2016, the Department received a notice of intent to participate in this review from the Aluminum Extrusions Fair Trade Committee (Petitioner or Committee) within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). Petitioner claimed interested party status under section 7710(E) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b)(29)(vii) as a coalition of U.S. producers of the domestic like product, and the individual Committee members claimed interested party status under section 7710(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b)(29)(v) as U.S. producers of the domestic like product. On May 2, 2016, the Department received a complete substantive response from Petitioner within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received no substantive responses from respondent interested parties with respect to the AD Order. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the AD Order.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is aluminum extrusions which are shapes and forms, produced by an extrusion process, made from aluminum alloys having metallic elements corresponding to the alloy series designations published by The Aluminum Association commencing with the numbers 1, 3, and 6 (or proprietary equivalents or other certifying body equivalents).

Imports of the subject merchandise are provided for under the following categories of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS): 8424.90.9080, 9405.99.4020, 9031.90.90.95, 7616.10.90.90,

the AD Order, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). On April 18, 2016, the Department received a notice of intent to participate in this review from the Aluminum Extrusions Fair Trade Committee (Petitioner or Committee) within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). Petitioner claimed interested party status under section 7710(E) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b)(29)(vii) as a coalition of U.S. producers of the domestic like product, and the individual Committee members claimed interested party status under section 7710(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b)(29)(v) as U.S. producers of the domestic like product. On May 2, 2016, the Department received a complete substantive response from Petitioner within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received no substantive responses from respondent interested parties with respect to the AD Order. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the AD Order.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is aluminum extrusions which are shapes and forms, produced by an extrusion process, made from aluminum alloys having metallic elements corresponding to the alloy series designations published by The Aluminum Association commencing with the numbers 1, 3, and 6 (or proprietary equivalents or other certifying body equivalents).

Imports of the subject merchandise are provided for under the following categories of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS): 8424.90.9080, 9405.99.4020, 9031.90.90.95, 7616.10.90.90,

3 See Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review, 81 FR 18829 (April 1, 2016).


The subject merchandise entered as parts of other aluminum products may be classifiable under the following additional Chapter 76 subheadings: 7610.10, 7610.90, 7615.19, 7615.20, and 7616.99, as well as under other HTSUS chapters. In addition, fin evaporator coils may be classifiable under HTSUS numbers: 8418.99.80.50 and 8418.99.80.60. While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this AD Order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

A complete discussion of all issues raised in this review, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping in the event of revocation and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the order were revoked, is provided in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frm/. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, the Department determines that revocation of the AD Order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and that the magnitude of the dumping margins likely to prevail would be weighted-average margins up to 33.28 percent.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act, 19 CFR 351.218, and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–507–502]

Certain In-Shell (Raw) Pistachios From the Islamic Republic of Iran: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective August 5, 2016.

SUMMARY: As a result of this sunset review, the Department of Commerce (the Department) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain in-shell (raw) pistachios (pistachios) from the Islamic Republic of Iran would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the rates identified in the “Final Results of Review” section of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jacqueline Arrowsmith or Madeline Heeren, AD/CVD Operations, Offices VII and VI, respectively, Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–5255 and (202) 482–9179, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Background
The Department published the antidumping duty order on pistachios from Iran on July 17, 1986.1 On April 1, 2016, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on pistachios from Iran.2 On April 11, 2016, and April 13, 2016, the Department received notices of intent to participate from Wonderful Pistachios & Almonds LLC (WP&A) and American Pistachio Growers (APG), respectively (collectively, the Domestic Interested Parties), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The Domestic Interested Parties are manufacturers of a domestic like product in the United States and, accordingly, are domestic interested parties pursuant to section 771(9)(C) of the Act.

On April 29, 2016, and May 2, 2016, the Department received an adequate substantive response to the notice of initiation from WP&A and APG, respectively, within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department did not receive any timely filed responses from the respondent interested parties, i.e., pistachio producers and exporters from Iran. The Department did receive an untimely substantive response from Tehran Negah Nima, trading as Nima Trading Company (Nima). As this response was untimely, the Department rejected the submission.3 On the basis of the notices of intent to participate and adequate substantive responses filed by the Domestic Interested Parties and the inadequate response from any respondent interested party, the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of the order pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C).

Scope of the Order
The products covered by the order are raw,4 in-shell pistachio nuts from which the hulls have been removed, leaving the inner hard shells, and edible meats from Iran. This merchandise is provided for in subheading 0802.51.00.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received
The issues discussed in the Decision Memorandum are the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins of dumping likely to prevail if this order was revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review, and the corresponding recommendations, in the Decision Memorandum which is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit in room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at http://trade.gov/enforcement/. The signed Decision Memorandum and electronic versions of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Review
Pursuant to sections 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order of pistachios from Iran would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at weighted average margins up to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter/producer</th>
<th>Margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rafsanjan Pistachios Cooperative</td>
<td>241.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tehran Negah Nima Trading Company, Inc./Maghsoudi Farms</td>
<td>241.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All- Others Rate</td>
<td>241.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 See Antidumping Duty Order; Certain In-Shell Pistachios from Iran, 51 FR 25922 (July 17, 1986) (Iran Order).
4 See Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review, 81 FR 18829 (April 1, 2016) (Sunset Initiation).
3 See the memorandum to the file from Madeline Heeren entitled, “Request to Take Action on Certain Barcodes,” dated May 17, 2016 (Rejection Memo);
see also letter from the Department to Nima, dated May 17, 2016 (Rejection Letter).
4 See Certain In-Shell Pistachios From Iran: Clarification of Scope in Antidumping Duty Investigation, 51 FR 23254 (June 26, 1986).
5 See Memorandum to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, titled “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain In-Shell (Raw) Pistachios from the Islamic Republic of Iran: Final Results,” dated concurrently with this notice (Decision Memorandum).