

electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the Department's electronic records system, ACCESS, by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>6</sup> Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. The Department will issue the final results of this administrative and new shipper review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

#### Assessment Rates

If a respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis* in the final results of these reviews, we will calculate an importer-specific assessment rate on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the importer's examined sales and the total entered value of the sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).<sup>7</sup> If the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* in the final results of reviews, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) not to assess duties on any of its entries in accordance with the *Final Modification for Reviews*.<sup>8</sup>

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by DSM or Hyundai Steel for which they did not know their merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of these reviews.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of these reviews for all shipments of CTL plate from Korea entered, or withdrawn from

warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate with respect to the administrative review respondent will be the rate established in the final results of the review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in these reviews but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in these reviews, a prior review, or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 0.98 percent,<sup>9</sup> the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation, adjusted for the export-subsidy rate in the companion countervailing duty investigation.

With respect to Hyundai Steel, the new shipper respondent, the Department established a combination cash deposit rate for this company consistent with its practice as follows: (1) For subject merchandise produced and exported by Hyundai Steel, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for Hyundai Steel in the final results of the NSR; (2) for subject merchandise exported by Hyundai Steel, but not produced by Hyundai Steel, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation; and (3) for subject merchandise produced by Hyundai Steel but not exported by Hyundai Steel, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the exporter.

These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

<sup>9</sup> See, e.g., *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate Products From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*; 2013–2014, 80 FR 22971, 22972 n.3 (April 24, 2015).

The Department is issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1), 751(a)(2)(B), 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214 and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: March 4, 2016.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

Summary  
Background  
Scope of the Order  
Rescission of Administrative Review in Part  
*Bona Fides* Analysis  
Comparisons to Normal Value  
Determination of Comparison Method  
Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis  
Product Comparisons  
Date of Sale  
Level of Trade/CEP Offset  
Constructed Export Price  
Normal Value  
1. Overrun Sales  
2. Selection of Comparison Market  
3. Affiliated Parties  
4. Affiliated Party Transactions and Arm's-Length Test  
5. Cost of Production  
6. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices  
Currency Conversion  
Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2016–05567 Filed 3–10–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C–533–868]

#### Countervailing Duty Investigation of Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe From India: Preliminary Affirmative Determination and Alignment of Final Determination With Final Antidumping Duty Determination

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (“Department”) preliminarily determines that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of welded stainless pressure pipe (“welded stainless pipe”) from India. The period of investigation is January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014. We invite interested parties to comment on this preliminary determination.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 11, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Andrew Medley at (202) 482–4987, or Amanda Mallott at (202) 482–6430, AD/

<sup>6</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>7</sup> In these preliminary results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012) (*Final Modification for Reviews*).

<sup>8</sup> See *Final Modification for Reviews*, 77 FR at 8102.

CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise covered by this investigation is circular welded austenitic stainless pressure pipe not greater than 14 inches in outside diameter, from India. For a complete description of the scope of this investigation, see Appendix II.

##### Methodology

The Department is conducting this countervailing duty (“CVD”) investigation in accordance with section 701 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”). For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, we preliminarily determine that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a financial contribution by an “authority” that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.<sup>1</sup> For a full description of the methodology underlying our preliminary conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>2</sup> The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file in the Central Records Unit (“CRU”), Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building, as well as electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“ACCESS”). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and it is available to all parties in the CRU. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

##### New Subsidy Allegations

On January 20, 2016, Bristol Metals, LLC, Felker Brothers Corp, Outokumpu

<sup>1</sup> See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, “Countervailing Duty Investigation of Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from India: Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Determination” (“Preliminary Decision Memorandum”), dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

Stainless Pipe, Inc., and Marcegaglia USA (collectively, “Petitioners”) submitted a request that the Department expand its investigation to include 16 additional subsidy programs.<sup>3</sup> Based upon the information contained in Petitioner’s New Subsidy Allegations and the Petition,<sup>4</sup> the Department is initiating an investigation of 15 additional subsidy programs concurrently with this preliminary determination.<sup>5</sup> For these 15 programs, we have determined that Petitioners alleged the necessary elements of a subsidy, *i.e.*, financial contribution, benefit, and specificity in accordance with sections 771(5)(B) and 771(5A) of the Act. We also find that these allegations are supported by reasonably available information sufficient to warrant an investigation of these subsidy programs, in accordance with section 702(b)(1) of the Act. We intend to examine these programs after the preliminary determination.

##### Preliminary Determination and Suspension of Liquidation<sup>6</sup>

In accordance with section 703(d)(1)(A)(i) of the Act, we calculated an individual rate for each producer/exporter of the subject merchandise individually investigated. In accordance with sections 703(d) and 705(c)(5)(A) of the Act, for companies not individually examined, we apply an “all-others” rate, which is normally calculated by weighting the subsidy rates of the individual companies as respondents by those companies’ exports of the subject merchandise to the United States. Under section 705(c)(5)(A)(i) of the Act, the all-others rate should exclude zero and *de minimis* rates or any rates based entirely on facts otherwise available pursuant to section 776 of the Act. Accordingly, in

<sup>3</sup> See Letter from Petitioners, “Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from India: New Subsidy Allegations” (“New Subsidy Allegations”) dated January 20, 2016.

<sup>4</sup> See “Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping and Countervailing Duties: Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from India,” dated September 30, 2015 (“Petition”).

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum to Brendan Quinn, Acting Director, Office III, “Certain Welded Stainless Steel Pressure Pipe from India: New Subsidy Allegations Initiation Memorandum,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

<sup>6</sup> As explained in the memorandum from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll all administrative deadlines due to the recent closure of the Federal Government. See Memorandum to the Record from Ron Lorentzen, Acting A/S for Enforcement & Compliance, regarding “Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During Snowstorm Jonas,” dated January 27, 2016. All deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by four business days. The revised deadline for the preliminary determination of this administrative review is now March 4, 2016.

these preliminary results, we have calculated the “all-others” rate by weight-averaging the calculated subsidy rates of the two individually investigated respondents, using the respondent’s publicly-ranged sales data for exports of subject merchandise to the United States.<sup>7</sup>

We preliminarily determine the countervailable subsidy rates to be:

Company	Subsidy rate
Steamline Industries Limited	2.96 percent.
Sunrise Stainless Private Limited, Sun Mark Stainless Pvt. Ltd., and Shah Foils Ltd. (collectively, “Sunrise Group”).	6.21 percent.
All-Others .....	4.55 percent.

In accordance with sections 703(d)(1)(B) and (d)(2) of the Act, we are directing U.S. Customs and Border Protection to suspend liquidation of all entries of welded stainless pipe from India that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, and to require a cash deposit for such entries of merchandise in the amounts indicated above.

##### Verification

As provided in section 782(i)(1) of the Act, we intend to verify the information submitted in response to the Department’s questionnaires prior to making our final determination.

##### Alignment

On February 9, 2016, in accordance with section 705(a)(1) of the Act, Petitioners requested an alignment of the final CVD determination with the final antidumping duty (“AD”) determination of welded stainless pipe from India.<sup>8</sup> Therefore, in accordance with section 705(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(4), we are aligning the final CVD determination in this investigation with the final AD determination. Consequently, the final CVD determination will be issued on the same date as the final AD determination, which is currently scheduled to be issued no later than July 18, 2016, unless postponed.

##### Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department intends to disclose calculations performed for this

<sup>7</sup> See Memorandum to the File, “Countervailing Duty Investigation of Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from India: Preliminary Determination Margin Calculation for All-Others,” dated concurrently with this memorandum.

<sup>8</sup> See Letter from Petitioners, “Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from India: Request for Alignment,” dated February 9, 2016.

preliminary determination to the parties within five days of the date of public announcement of this determination in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance no later than seven days after the date on which the final verification report is issued in this proceeding, and rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be submitted no later than five days after the deadline date for case briefs.<sup>9</sup> A table of contents, list of authorities used, and an executive summary of issues should accompany any briefs submitted to the Department, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2). This summary should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, filed electronically using ACCESS. An electronically-filed request must be received successfully, and in its entirety, by ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number; the number of participants; and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, the Department intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a date, time, and specific location to be determined. Parties will be notified of the date, time, and location of any hearing. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

#### International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 703(f) of the Act, we will notify the International Trade Commission ("ITC") of our determination. In addition, we are making available to the ITC all non-privileged and non-proprietary information relating to this investigation. We will allow the ITC access to all privileged and business proprietary information in our files, provided the ITC confirms that it will not disclose such information, either publicly or under an administrative protective order, without the written consent of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

<sup>9</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309; see also 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

In accordance with section 705(b)(2) of the Act, if our final determination is affirmative, the ITC will make its final determination within 45 days after the Department makes its final determination.

This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 703(f) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(c).

Dated: March 4, 2016.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix I

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope Comments
- IV. Scope of the Investigation
- V. Alignment
- VI. Injury Test
- VII. Subsidies Valuation
- VIII. Analysis of Programs
- IX. Calculation of the All-Others Rate
- X. ITC Notification
- XI. Disclosure and Public Comment
- XII. Verification
- XIII. Conclusion

#### Appendix II

##### Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise covered by this investigation is circular welded austenitic stainless pressure pipe not greater than 14 inches in outside diameter. References to size are in nominal inches and include all products within tolerances allowed by pipe specifications. This merchandise includes, but is not limited to, the American Society for Testing and Materials ("ASTM") A-312 or ASTM A-778 specifications, or comparable domestic or foreign specifications. ASTM A-358 products are only included when they are produced to meet ASTM A-312 or ASTM A-778 specifications, or comparable domestic or foreign specifications.

Excluded from the scope of the investigation are: (1) Welded stainless mechanical tubing, meeting ASTM A-554 or comparable domestic or foreign specifications; (2) boiler, heat exchanger, superheater, refining furnace, feedwater heater, and condenser tubing, meeting ASTM A-249, ASTM A-688 or comparable domestic or foreign specifications; and (3) specialized tubing, meeting ASTM A-269, ASTM A-270 or comparable domestic or foreign specifications.

The subject imports are normally classified in subheadings 7306.40.5005, 7306.40.5040, 7306.40.5062, 7306.40.5064, and 7306.40.5085 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). They may also enter under HTSUS subheadings 7306.40.1010, 7306.40.1015, 7306.40.5042, 7306.40.5044, 7306.40.5080, and 7306.40.5090. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs

purposes only; the written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

[FR Doc. 2016-05575 Filed 3-10-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

[A-201-842]

#### Large Residential Washers From Mexico: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on large residential washers from Mexico. The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2014, through January 31, 2015. The review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Electrolux Home Products Corp. N.V. and Electrolux Home Products de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (collectively, Electrolux). We preliminarily determine that sales of subject merchandise by Electrolux have been made at prices below normal value (NV). We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 11, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brian Smith or Brandon Custard, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1766 or (202) 482-1823.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are all large residential washers and certain subassemblies thereof from Mexico. The products are currently classifiable under subheadings 8450.20.0040 and 8450.20.0080 of the Harmonized Tariff System of the United States (HTSUS). Products subject to this order may also enter under HTSUS subheadings 8450.11.0040, 8450.11.0080, 8450.90.2000, and 8450.90.6000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to this scope is dispositive.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the memorandum entitled "Large

Continued