

through February 28, 2014, are as follows:

Producer/Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Saha Thai Steel Pipe (Public) Company, Ltd .....	0.00
Pacific Pipe Company Limited .....	0.00

#### Assessment Rates

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2) and the *Final Modification for Reviews*,<sup>4</sup> the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries for Saha Thai and Pacific Pipe without regard to antidumping duties.

The Department clarified its “automatic assessment” regulation on May 6, 2003.<sup>5</sup> This clarification applies to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Saha Thai and Pacific Pipe for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Saha Thai and Pacific Pipe will be 0.00 percent, the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less than fair value (LTFV) investigation, but the

manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review or the LTFV investigation, then the cash deposit rate will be the “all-others” rate of 15.67 percent established in the LTFV investigation.<sup>6</sup> These deposit rates, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

The Department is issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 25, 2015.

#### Paul Piquado,

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2015–25168 Filed 10–1–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–475–820, A–588–843, A–580–829, A–469–807, A–583–828]

#### Stainless Steel Wire Rod From Italy, Japan, the Republic of Korea, Spain, and Taiwan: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department of Commerce (the Department) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel wire rod (SSWR) from Italy, Japan, the Republic of Korea (Korea), Spain, and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the “Final Results of Sunset Reviews” section of this notice.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* October 2, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephen Bailey or Elizabeth Eastwood, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0193 or (202) 482–3874, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On May 1, 2015, the Department published the notice of initiation of the third sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on SSWR from Italy, Japan, Korea, Spain, and Taiwan, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).<sup>1</sup> On May 15, 2015, the Department received a Notice of Intent to Participate in these reviews from Carpenter Technology Corporation (Carpenter), a domestic interested party, within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). Carpenter claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as a manufacturer of a domestic-like product in the United States. On June 1, 2015, we received a complete substantive response for each review from the domestic interested party within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Reviews*, 80 FR 24900 (May 1, 2015).

<sup>2</sup> See June 1, 2015, letters from the petitioners regarding “Five-Year (3rd Sunset) Review of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Italy, Japan, Korea, Spain, and Taiwan Substantive Response.”

<sup>4</sup> See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8102 (February 14, 2012) (*Final Modification for Reviews*).

<sup>5</sup> For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (*Assessment Policy Notice*).

<sup>6</sup> See *Antidumping Duty Order: Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand*, 51 FR 8341 (March 11, 1986).

We received no substantive responses from respondent interested parties with respect to any of the orders covered by these sunset reviews, nor was a hearing requested. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department is conducting expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of these orders.

### Scope of the Orders

The merchandise subject to these orders is SSWR. The products subject to these orders are currently classifiable under subheadings 7221.00.0005, 7221.00.0015, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0045, and 7221.00.0075 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of these orders is dispositive.<sup>3</sup>

### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in these reviews, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping in the event of revocation and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the orders were revoked, are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>, and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Final Results of Sunset Reviews

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(c)(1),(2) and (3) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on SSWR from Italy, Japan, Korea, Spain, and Taiwan

<sup>3</sup> A full description of the scope of the orders is contained in the memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, from Gary Taverman, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Italy, Japan, the Republic of Korea, Spain, and Taiwan" (Issues and Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with these results and hereby adopted by this notice.

would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping up to the following weighted-average margin percentages:

County	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Italy .....	11.25
Japan .....	33.58
Korea .....	28.44
Spain .....	2.71
Taiwan .....	2.22

### Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

Dated: August 27, 2015.

#### Paul Piquado,

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

### Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Orders
- IV. History of the Orders
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
  1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
  2. Magnitude of the Margins Likely to Prevail
- VII. Final Results of Sunset Reviews
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2015-25151 Filed 10-1-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-894]

### Certain Tissue Paper Products From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** As a result of this sunset review, the Department of Commerce (the Department) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain tissue paper products (tissue paper) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the level indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* October 2, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:** Terre Keaton Stefanova or Brian Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1280 or (202) 482-1766, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On March 30, 2005, the Department published the antidumping duty order on tissue paper from the PRC.<sup>1</sup> On June 1, 2015, the Department published the notice of initiation of the second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on tissue paper from the PRC pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).<sup>2</sup> On June 15, 2015, the Department received a Notice of Intent to Participate in this review from the following domestic producers of tissue paper: Seaman Paper Company of Massachusetts, Inc., Eagle Tissue LLC, Flower City Tissue Mills Co. and Garlock Printing & Converting Inc. (collectively, "the petitioners"), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The petitioners claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as manufacturers, producers or wholesalers of a domestic like product in the United States. On June 30, 2015, we received a complete substantive response from the petitioners within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).<sup>3</sup> We received no substantive responses from any respondent interested parties. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department

<sup>1</sup> See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Tissue Paper Products From the People's Republic of China*, 70 FR 16223 (March 30, 2005) (*Order*).

<sup>2</sup> See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 80 FR 31012 (June 1, 2015).

<sup>3</sup> See June 30, 2015, letter from the petitioners regarding "Certain Tissue Paper Products from the People's Republic of China: Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation."