

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 28, 2006.

**David M. Spooner,**  
Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-20553 Filed 12-4-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

A-570-905

#### Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration,  
International Trade Administration,  
Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 5, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Michael Holton or Paul Walker, Import  
Administration, International Trade  
Administration, U.S. Department of  
Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution  
Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230;  
telephone: (202) 482-1324 or (202) 482-  
0413, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Postponement of Preliminary Determination

On July 13, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("Department") initiated the antidumping duty investigation of certain polyester staple fiber from the People's Republic of China. See *Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China*, 71 FR 41201 (July 20, 2006) ("Initiation Notice"). The Initiation Notice stated that the Department would make its preliminary determination for this antidumping duty investigation no later than 140 days after the date of issuance of the initiation (*i.e.*, November 30, 2006).

We have determined that this investigation is extraordinarily complicated within the meaning of section 733(c)(1)(B)(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On November 16, 2006, the Department notified parties to the investigation that it intended to postpone the preliminary determination for reasons provided in this notice. See Memorandum to the file, from Michael Holton, *Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Certain Polyester Staple*

*Fiber from the People's Republic of China*, dated November 16, 2006.

Specifically, we find that the Department requires additional time to gather more information from all the mandatory respondents regarding market-economy inputs, affiliations, establishing the proper date of sale and the allocation methodology used to report certain factors of production. In addition, the Department also requires additional time to evaluate the separate-rate applications.

Therefore, it is the Department's decision to postpone the current preliminary determination so that all of the issues currently under investigation at this time can be addressed in the most complete manner possible. For the reasons identified above, we are postponing the preliminary determination under section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Act by fifteen days to December 15, 2006. The deadline for the final determination will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determination.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to sections 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: November 28, 2006.

**David M. Spooner,**  
Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-20566 Filed 12-4-06; 8:45 am]

Billing Code: 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

A-823-810

#### Solid Agricultural Grade Ammonium Nitrate from Ukraine; Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration,  
International Trade Administration,  
Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On August 1, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("Department") initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on solid agricultural grade ammonium nitrate from Ukraine pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and a complete substantive response filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties and an inadequate response from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of the antidumping duty order pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(B).

As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 5, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Audrey Twyman, Damian Felton, or  
Brandon Farlander, AD/CVD  
Operations, Office 1, Import  
Administration, International Trade  
Administration, U.S. Department of  
Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution  
Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230;  
telephone: (202) 482-3534, (202) 482-  
0133, and (202) 482-0182, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On August 1, 2006, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on solid agricultural grade ammonium nitrate ("ammonium nitrate") from Ukraine pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See *Initiation of Five-year (Sunset) Reviews*, 71 FR 43443 (August 1, 2006) ("Notice of Initiation"). The Department received a notice of intent to participate from the following domestic parties: the Committee for Fair Ammonium Nitrate Trade ("COFANT") and its individual producer members, El Dorado Chemical Company and Terra Industries, Inc. (also known as "domestic interested parties") within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(I). COFANT claims interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as domestic manufacturers of ammonium nitrate for its members.

The Department received a complete substantive response collectively from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department also received a substantive response from respondent interested party, Open Joint Stock Company "Azot," within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). On September 7, 2006, the domestic interested parties submitted a rebuttal to Azot's substantive response. On September 20, 2006, the Department determined that the respondent interested party did not account for more than 50 percent of exports by volume of the subject merchandise, because it reported that it had no exports during the 2001-2005 sunset review period. Therefore, the Department concluded that the respondent interested party did not submit an adequate response to the Department's *Notice of Initiation*. See Memorandum to Susan H. Kuhbach entitled, "Adequacy Determination in

Antidumping Duty Sunset Review of Solid Agricultural Grade Ammonium Nitrate from Ukraine," (September 20, 2006). On October 10, 2006, the domestic interested parties submitted comments supporting the Department's adequacy determination.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department has conducted an expedited sunset review of this antidumping duty order.

### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order are solid, fertilizer grade ammonium nitrate ("ammonium nitrate" or "subject merchandise") products, whether prilled, granular or in other solid form, with or without additives or coating, and with a bulk density equal to or greater than 53 pounds per cubic foot. Specifically excluded from this scope is solid ammonium nitrate with a bulk density less than 53 pounds per cubic foot (commonly referred to as industrial or explosive grade ammonium nitrate). The merchandise subject to this investigation is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") at subheading 3102.30.00.00. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in these reviews are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Solid Agricultural Grade Ammonium Nitrate from Ukraine; Final Results" ("Decision Memo") from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration (November 29, 2006), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the order were to be revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in room B-099 of the main Department building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

### Final Results of Review

The Department determines that revocation of the antidumping duty order on ammonium nitrate from Ukraine would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the rates listed below:

Producers/Exporters	Margin (percent)
J.S.C. "Concern" Stiroil	156.29
All Others rate <sup>1</sup> .....	156.29

<sup>1</sup> As of February 1, 2006, Ukraine graduated to market economy status (see *Final Results of Inquiry Into Ukraine's Status as a Non-Market Economy Country*, February 24, 2006 (71 FR 9520)). As a result, the Ukraine-wide rate is now the All Others rate. See *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Romania: Notice of Final Results and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 71 FR 12651 (March 15, 2005) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 2.

### Notification regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 29, 2006.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-20551 Filed 12-4-06; 8:45 am]

Billing Code: 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

**A-822-804, A-570-860, A-560-811, A-841-804, A-455-803, A-580-844**

### Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Moldova, the People's Republic of China, South Korea, Indonesia, Poland, and Belarus; Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On August 1, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("the

Department") initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on steel concrete reinforcing bars from Moldova, the People's Republic of China, South Korea, Indonesia, Poland, and Belarus pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). The Department has conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews for these orders pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2). As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 5, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Audrey Twyman, Damian Felton, or Brandon Farlander, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3534, (202) 482-0133, and (202) 482-4136, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On August 1, 2006, the Department published the notice of initiation of the sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on steel concrete reinforcing bars ("rebar") from Moldova, the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), South Korea, Indonesia, Poland, and Belarus pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See *Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 71 FR 43443 (August 1, 2006) ("Notice of Initiation").

On August 11, 2006, the Department received a notice of intent to participate from the following domestic parties: the Rebar Trade Action Coalition and its individual producer members, Nucor Corporation, CMC Steel Group, and Gerdau Ameristeel, as well as domestic producers TAMCO Steel and Schnitzer Steel Industries, Inc. ("Schnitzer") (collectively "domestic interested parties"), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The companies claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as manufacturers of a domestic-like product in the United States.

On August 31, 2006, the Department received a complete substantive response to the notice of initiation from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). In this response, Cascade Steel Rolling Mills, Inc. ("Cascade") was substituted for Schnitzer as a domestic interested party. Cascade is a wholly owned subsidiary of Schnitzer. Also, Steel Dynamics, Inc. ("SDI") was added as a domestic