In accordance with § 351.213(b) of the regulations, an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order or suspension agreement for which it is requesting a review, and the requesting party must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters. If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which were produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

As explained in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 69 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003), the Department has clarified its practice with respect to the collection of final antidumping duties on imports of merchandise where intermediate firms are involved. The public should be aware of this clarification in determining whether to request an administrative review of merchandise subject to antidumping findings and orders. See also the Import Administration Web site at http://www.ia.ita.doc.gov.

Six copies of the request should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. The Department also asks parties to serve a copy of their request to the Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Operations, Attention: Sheila Forbes, in room 3065 of the main Commerce Building. Further, in accordance with section 351.303(f)(1)(i) of the regulations, a copy of each request must be served on every party on the Department’s service list.

The Department will publish in the Federal Register a notice of “Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation” for requests received by the last day of April 2005. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of April 2005, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct Customs and Border Protection to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.


Holly A. Kuga.
Senior Office Director, Office 4 for Import Administration.
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SUMMARY: In accordance with section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) is automatically initiating five-year (“sunset”) reviews of certain antidumping and countervailing duty orders and suspended investigation. The International Trade Commission (“the Commission”) is publishing concurrently with this notice its notice of Institution of Five-Year Review which covers these same orders.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 1, 2005.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department’s procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews are set forth in 19 CFR 351.218. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department’s conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department’s Policy Bulletin 98.3 - Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) (“Sunset Policy Bulletin”).

Initiation of Reviews

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(c), we are initiating the sunset reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty reviews and suspended investigation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOC Case No.</th>
<th>ITC Case No.</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A–821–811</td>
<td>731–TA–856</td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>Ammonium Nitrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A–351–603</td>
<td>731–TA–311</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Brass Sheet &amp; Strip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C–351–604</td>
<td>701–TA–269</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Brass Sheet &amp; Strip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A–122–601</td>
<td>731–TA–312</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Brass Sheet &amp; Strip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C–427–603</td>
<td>701–TA–270</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Brass Sheet &amp; Strip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A–428–602</td>
<td>731–TA–317</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Brass Sheet &amp; Strip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A–475–601</td>
<td>731–TA–314</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Brass Sheet &amp; Strip</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Filing Information

As a courtesy, we are making information related to sunset proceedings, including copies of the Department’s regulations regarding sunset reviews (19 CFR 351.218) and Sunset Policy Bulletin, the Department’s schedule of sunset reviews, case history information (i.e., previous margins, duty absorption determinations, scope language, import volumes), and service lists available to the public on the Department’s sunset Internet Web site at the following address: http://ia.ita.doc.gov/sunset/.

All submissions in these sunset reviews must be filed in accordance with the Department’s regulations regarding format, translation, service, and certification of documents. These rules can be found at 19 CFR 351.303. Also, we suggest that parties check the Department’s sunset website for any updates to the service list before filing any submissions. The Department will make additions to and/or deletions from the service list provided on the sunset website based on notifications from parties and participation in these reviews. Specifically, the Department will delete from the service list all parties that do not submit a substantive response to the notice of initiation. Because deadlines in a sunset review can be very short, we urge interested parties to apply for access to proprietary information under administrative protective order (“APO”) immediately following publication in the Federal Register of the notice of initiation of the sunset review. The Department’s regulations on submission of proprietary information and eligibility to receive access to business proprietary information under APO can be found at 19 CFR 351.304–306.

Information Required from Interested Parties

Domestic interested parties (defined in section 771(9)(C), (D), (E), (F), and (G) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b)) wishing to participate in these sunset reviews must respond not later than 15 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register of the notice of initiation by filing a notice of intent to participate. The required contents of the notice of intent to participate are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(ii). In accordance with the Department’s regulations, if we do not receive a notice of intent to participate from at least one domestic interested party by the 15-day deadline, the Department will automatically revoke the orders without further review. See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii).

If we receive an order–specific notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, the Department’s regulations provide that all parties wishing to participate in the sunset review must file complete substantive responses not later than 30 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register of the notice of initiation. The required contents of a substantive response, on an order–specific basis, are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). Note that certain information requirements differ for respondent and domestic parties. Also, note that the Department’s information requirements are distinct from the Commission’s information requirements. Please consult the Department’s regulations for information regarding the Department’s conduct of sunset reviews. 1

Also, note that the Department’s information requirements are distinct from the Commission’s information requirements. Please consult the Department’s regulations for information regarding the Department’s conduct of sunset reviews. 1 Please consult the Department’s regulations at 19 CFR Part 351 for definitions of terms and for other general information concerning antidumping and countervailing duty proceedings at the Department.

This notice of initiation is being published in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c).

Dated: March 25, 2005.

Joseph A. Spetrini,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
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SUMMARY: In accordance with the provisions of the Help America Vote Act (HAVA), the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) will be conducting research on voting equipment used in the 2004 elections. The NIST research is designed to: (1) Determine the realistic usability benchmarks for current voting system technology to support usability performance standards in next generation voluntary voting systems standards, and (2) develop usability test protocols for conformance testing of such standards. NIST may also examine relevant instructions, documentation and error messages, without doing any direct usability studies thereon. Manufacturers interested in participating in this research will be invited to contact NIST for information regarding participation.

DATES: Manufacturers who wish to participate in the program must submit a request and an executed Letter of Understanding by May 2, 2005, 5 p.m. Eastern Standard Time.

ADDRESSES: Letters of Understanding may be obtained from and should be submitted to Allan C. Eustis, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Information Technology Laboratory Office, Technology Building 225, Room B257, 100 Bureau Drive, Mail Stop 8901, Gaithersburg, MD 20899–8901. Letters of Understanding may be faxed to: Allan C. Eustis at (301) 840–1357.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For shipping and further information, you may telephone Allan C. Eustis at (301) 975–5099, or e-mail: allan.eustis@nist.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the provisions of the Help America Vote Act (Public Law 107–252), the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) will be conducting research on voting equipment used in the 2004 elections. The NIST research is in support of Technical Guidelines Development Committee Resolution 5–05 Human Performance-Based Standards and Usability Testing, and are designed to: (1) Determine the realistic usability benchmarks for current voting system technology to support usability performance standards in next generation voluntary voting systems.

D257, 100 Bureau Drive, Mail Stop 8901, Gaithersburg, MD 20899–8901.

Letters of Understanding may be faxed to: Allan C. Eustis at (301) 840–1357.

For shipping and further information, you may telephone Allan C. Eustis at (301) 975–5099, or e-mail: allan.eustis@nist.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the provisions of the Help America Vote Act (Public Law 107–252), the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) will be conducting research on voting equipment used in the 2004 elections. The NIST research is in support of Technical Guidelines Development Committee Resolution 5–05 Human Performance-Based Standards and Usability Testing, and are designed to: (1) Determine the realistic usability benchmarks for current voting system technology to support usability performance standards in next generation voluntary voting systems.