

U.S. sales to that importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total value of the sales to that importer (or customer). Where an importer (or customer)-specific *ad valorem* rate was greater than *de minimis* (i.e., 0.5%), we calculated a per unit assessment rate by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to that importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total quantity sold to that importer (or customer). Where an importer (or customer)-specific *ad valorem* rate was *de minimis*, we will order CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of ARG windshields from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be the rates shown above except that the Department shall require no deposit of estimated antidumping duties for firms whose weighted-average margins are less than 0.5% and therefore *de minimis*; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in these or any previous reviews, the cash deposit rate will be the "all others" rate, which is 124.5 percent.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

### Notification of Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping

duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APOs") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(I)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 14, 2004.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

### Appendix 1—Issues in the Decision Memorandum

#### Fuyao's Comments

Comment 1: Water as a Separate Component of Normal Value

Comment 2: Certain Inputs as a Separate Component of Normal Value

#### Shenzhen CSG's Comments

Comment 3: Liquidation Instructions for Shenzhen CSG's Entries

#### PNA's Comments

Comment 4: Proper Set of Sales as Basis for the Margin for PNA

Comment 5: Rejection of Market Purchases from Indonesia, Thailand, and South Korea

Comment 6: Surrogate Profit Ratio

Comment 7: Allocation of Credit Expense, Inventory Carrying Cost, and Marine Insurance

Comment 8: Market-Price Value for Marine Insurance 1

Comment 9: Surrogate Value for Metal Clips

Comment 10: Double-Counting of Labor

[FR Doc. 04-23605 Filed 10-20-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-588-846]

### Certain Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products From Japan; Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of expedited sunset review of antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled flat-rolled carbon-quality steel products from Japan; Final results.

**SUMMARY:** On May 3, 2004, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order of certain hot-rolled flat-rolled carbon-quality steel products ("hot-rolled steel") from Japan.<sup>1</sup> On the basis of the notice of intent to participate, adequate substantive comments filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties, and inadequate response from respondent interested parties, (in this case, no response) the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of the antidumping duty order pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and section 351.218(c)(1)(ii)(B) of the Department's regulations. As a result of this sunset review, the Department determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review".

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 21, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Martha V. Douthit, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On May 3, 2004, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on hot-rolled steel products from Japan in accordance with section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See *Notice of Initiation*, 69 FR 24118 (May 3, 2004).

The Department received Notices of Intent to Participate within the applicable deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations on behalf of Nucor Corporation ("Nucor"), United States Steel Corporation ("U.S. Steel"), International Steel Group, Inc. ("ISG"), Gallatin Steel Company ("Gallatin"), IPSCO Steel Inc. ("IPSCO"), Steel Dynamics, Inc. ("SDI"), and Ispat Inland Inc. ("Ispat"), a division of Ispat Inland Flat Products, (collectively "domestic interested parties").<sup>2</sup> The domestic

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 69 FR 24118 (May 3, 2004) ("*Notice of Initiation*").

<sup>2</sup> Gallatin, IPSCO, SDI, U.S. Steel and Ispat were petitioners in the original investigation.