

where the only items involved that are subject to the Regulations are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

*Fifth*, that a copy of this Order shall be delivered to the United States Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center, 40 Gay Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202-4022, notifying that office that this case is withdrawn from adjudication, as provided by section 766.18 of the Regulations.

*Sixth*, that the charging letter, the Settlement Agreement, and this Order shall be made available to the public and record of the case as described in section 766.22 of the Regulations.

*Seventh*, that this Order shall be served on the Denied Person and on BIS, and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

This Order, which constitutes the final agency action in this matter, is effective immediately.

Entered this 24th day of February, 2005.

**Wendy L. Wysong,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 05-4056 Filed 3-2-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-M

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

*Docket Number: 05-006. Applicant:* University of Pittsburgh, S224 Biomedical Science Tower, 3550 Terrace Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15261. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model JEM-1011. *Manufacturer:* JEOL, Ltd., Japan. *Intended Use:* The instrument is intended to be used to perform diverse structural studies of cells including

tissues from the liver, intestine, lung, muscle as well as the immune system to support translational research which will lead to novel therapies for disease in NIH funded research. It will also be used for individual training of graduate students, fellows and clinical residents in independent NIH sponsored research programs. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* February 9, 2005.

*Docket Number: 05-007. Applicant:* Clemson University, 903 Jordan Hall, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model H-7600. *Manufacturer:* Hitachi High-Technologies Corp., Japan. *Intended Use:* The instrument is intended to be used to study:

(1) Cell structure of biological samples including grain structure and boundary interactions.

(2) The effects of temperature variation and heat treating of materials in the formation of carbon nanotubes and protein migration in oysters.

(3) Development of new materials and processes.

(4) Ultra thin section evaluation via TEM microscopy.

*Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* February 10, 2005.

*Docket Number: 05-008. Applicant:* Rice University, 6100 Main Street, Houston, TX 77005. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model JEM-1230. *Manufacturer:* JEOL Ltd., Japan. *Intended Use:* The instrument is intended to be used to investigate the microstructures and properties of nanomaterials as well as biological materials and other types of materials at high levels of resolution and contrast. Cryo-techniques will be used for sample preparations with biological materials. The microscope will also be used for the training of undergraduate and graduate students. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* February 11, 2005.

*Docket Number: 05-009. Applicant:* Rice University, 6100 Main Street, Houston, TX 77005. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model JEM 2100-F. *Manufacturer:* JEOL, Ltd., Japan. *Intended Use:* The instrument is intended to be used to investigate the microstructures and properties of nanomaterials as well as biological materials and other types of materials at high levels of resolution and contrast. Cryo-techniques will be used for sample preparations with biological materials, for which the microscope will be primarily used. The microscope will also be used for the training of undergraduate and graduate students.

*Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* February 15, 2005.

*Docket Number: 05-010. Applicant:* Tuskegee University, 209 Kresge Building, Tuskegee University, Tuskegee, AL 36088. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model JEM-2010. *Manufacturer:* Jeol, Ltd., Japan. *Intended Use:* The instrument is intended to be used to study shape, size, agglomeration, crystalline nature, and particle distribution in polymer matrices using metal, metal oxide and metal carbide nanoparticles embedded in the matrices. The microscope will also be used in the education and training of graduate students in materials science with an emphasis on nanostructures. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* February 15, 2005.

**Gerald A. Zerdy,**

*Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.*

[FR Doc. E5-861 Filed 3-2-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-475-823]

#### Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Italy; Final Results of the Full Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On April 1, 2004, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the countervailing duty ("CVD") order on stainless steel plate in coils ("SSPC") from Italy pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). *See Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 69 FR 17129 (April 1, 2004). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of the interested parties, the Department conducted a full (240-day) sunset review. As a result of this review, the Department finds that revocation of the CVD order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of subsidies at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 3, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Hilary Sadler, Esq., Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and

Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4340.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On April 1, 2004, the Department initiated a sunset review of the CVD order on SSPC from Italy pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews, 69 FR 17129 (April 1, 2004). On October 21, 2004, the Department published the preliminary results of the full sunset review of the CVD on SSPC from Italy. See *Notice of Preliminary Results of Full Sunset Review: Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Italy* (“preliminary sunset review results”), 69 FR 61800 (October 21, 2004) and the accompanying *Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Full Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Italy: Preliminary Results* (“preliminary results decision memorandum”) dated October 15, 2004.<sup>1</sup> In our preliminary sunset review results, we found that benefits from the following programs would likely continue or recur were the order revoked:

(1) Law 675/77;

(2) Law 451/94 Early Retirement Benefits; and

(3) European Social Fund.

On December 6, 2004, the Department received a joint case brief from the Government of Italy (GOI) and the European Commission (EC). See Case Brief from the EC and the GOI re: Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Italy (December 6, 2004) including separate GOI and EC Attachments. The Department also received a case brief from ThyssenKrupp Acciai Speciali Terni, S.p.A. (“TKAST”) (formerly Acciai Speciali Terni, S.p.A.) in a timely manner. See Case Brief from TKAST re: Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Italy (Sunset) (December 13, 2004). The Department did not receive a case brief from the domestic interested parties but did receive a rebuttal brief to the case briefs submitted by the GOI, EC and TKAST. See Rebuttal Brief from Petitioners re: Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Italy (December 20, 2004).

##### Scope of Review

The product covered by this order is certain SSPC. Stainless steel is an alloy steel containing, by weight, 1.2 percent

or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. The subject plate products are flat-rolled products, 254 mm or over in width and 4.75 mm or more in thickness, in coils, and annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled. The subject plate may also be further processed (e.g., cold-rolled, polished, etc.) provided that it maintains the specified dimensions of plate following such processing. Excluded from the scope of this order are the following: (1) Plate not in coils, (2) plate that is not annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled, (3) sheet and strip, and (4) flat bars. In addition, certain cold-rolled SSPC is also excluded from the scope of this order. The excluded cold-rolled SSPC is defined as that merchandise which meets the physical characteristics described above that has undergone a cold-reduction process that reduced the thickness of the steel by 25 percent or more, and has been annealed and pickled after this cold reduction process. The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheadings: 7219.11.00.30, 7219.11.00.60, 7219.12.00.05, 7219.12.00.20, 7219.12.00.25, 7219.12.00.50, 7219.12.00.55, 7219.12.00.65, 7219.12.00.70, 7219.12.00.80, 7219.31.00.10, 7219.90.00.10, 7219.90.00.20, 7219.90.00.25, 7219.90.00.60, 7219.90.00.80, 7220.11.00.00, 7220.20.10.10, 7220.20.10.15, 7220.20.10.60, 7220.20.10.80, 7220.20.60.05, 7220.20.60.10, 7220.20.60.15, 7220.20.60.60, 7220.20.60.80, 7220.90.00.10, 7220.90.00.15, 7220.90.00.60, and 7220.90.00.80. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the orders is dispositive.

##### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum (“Decision Memorandum”) from Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated February 25, 2005, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the accompanying Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies and the net subsidy likely to prevail were the order revoked. Parties can find a complete

discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099, of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>, under the heading “March 2005.” The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

##### Final Results of Review

We determine that revocation of the countervailing duty order on SSPC from Italy would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the rate listed below:

Producers/exporters	Net countervailable subsidy (percent)
TKAST .....	0.73
All Others .....	0.73

##### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department’s regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-863 Filed 3-2-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

##### Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Fishing Capacity Reduction Program Buyback Requests

**AGENCY:** National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

<sup>1</sup> For a full discussion of the history of this order prior to the preliminary results of this sunset review, see the October 15, 2004, preliminary results decision memorandum.