

720-8871, e-mail [FPB.DocketClerk@usda.gov](mailto:FPB.DocketClerk@usda.gov). Comments should make reference to the dates and page number of this issue of the **Federal Register** and will be made available for public inspection in the above office during regular business hours.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

David L. Priester, at the above address, or call (202) 720-2185; e-mail [David.Priester@usda.gov](mailto:David.Priester@usda.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** At a recent meeting of the Fruit and Vegetable Industry Advisory Committee, AMS was asked to review all fresh fruit and vegetable grade standards for usefulness in serving the industry. AMS has identified the United States Standards for Grades of Greenhouse Tomatoes for possible revision. These standards were last revised in 1966. Since that time, marketing and packaging practices have changed. The current standards state that the size of greenhouse tomatoes and the percentage of defects shall be determined by weight. Currently however, greenhouse tomatoes are packed and marketed in a variety of methods, typically based on size or count. Prior to undertaking detailed work to develop the proposed revised standards, AMS is soliciting comments on the possible revision of the standards for grades of greenhouse tomatoes and the probable impact on distributors, processors, and growers.

This notice provides for a 60-day comment period for interested parties to comment on changes to the standards. Should AMS proceed with revising the standards, the proposed revision of the standards will be published in the **Federal Register** with a request for comments in accordance with 7 CFR part 36.

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 1621-1627.

Dated: December 4, 2003.

**A.J. Yates,**

*Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc. 03-30602 Filed 12-9-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Agricultural Marketing Service

[Docket Number FV-04-302]

#### United States Standards for Grades of Sweet Potatoes

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS), prior to undertaking

research and other work associated with revising an official grade standard, is soliciting comments on a possible revision to the United States Standards for Grades of Sweet Potatoes. At a recent meeting of the Fruit and Vegetable Industry Advisory Committee, AMS was asked to review all the fresh fruit and vegetable grade standards for usefulness in serving the industry. As a result, AMS has noted that the size requirements for sweet potatoes are complex and may be difficult to apply. Therefore, AMS is soliciting comments on the possible revision of the size requirements. Additionally, AMS is seeking comments regarding any other revisions that may be necessary to better serve the industry.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by February 9, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit written comments to the Standardization Section, Fresh Products Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, Agricultural Marketing Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1400 Independence Ave., SW., Room 1661 South Building, Stop 0240, Washington, DC 20250-0240; Fax (202) 720-8871, E-mail [FPB.DocketClerk@usda.gov](mailto:FPB.DocketClerk@usda.gov).

Comments should make reference to the dates and page number of this issue of the **Federal Register** and will be made available for public inspection in the above office during regular business hours.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

David L. Priester, at the above address or call (202) 720-2185; E-mail: [David.Priester@usda.gov](mailto:David.Priester@usda.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Background

At a recent meeting of the Fruit and Vegetable Industry Committee, AMS was asked to review all the fresh fruit and vegetable grade standards for usefulness in serving the industry. AMS has identified the United States Standards for Grades of Sweet Potatoes for a possible revision. These standards were last revised in 1963. As a result, AMS identified the size requirements of the U.S. Extra No. 1 grade and the U.S. No. 1 grade for possible revision. Currently the U.S. Extra No. 1 grade requires that the length of a sweet potato be not less than 3 inches or more than 9 inches, the maximum diameter not to exceed 3¼ inches, the maximum weight not to exceed 18 ounces and unless otherwise specified, the minimum diameter not be less than 1¾ inches. The U.S. No. 1 grade requires the maximum diameter of a sweet potato not to exceed 3½ inches, the maximum

weight not to exceed 20 ounces, the length be not less than 3 inches or more than 9 inches unless otherwise specified, and the minimum diameter not be less than 1¾ inches unless otherwise specified. These requirements are complex and may be difficult to apply. While these requirements may have reflected sweet potatoes sizes marketed in the past, but they need to be updated to reflect the marketing of sweet potatoes today. Therefore, AMS believes that a change to these requirements is warranted to better serve the industry. However, prior to undertaking detailed work to develop a proposed revision to the standard, AMS is soliciting comments on the possible revision to the standard and the probable impact on distributors, processors, and growers. Additionally, AMS is seeking comments regarding any other revisions that may be necessary to better serve the industry.

This notice provides for a 60-day comment period for interested parties to comment on changes to the standard. Should AMS proceed with revising the standard, the proposed revision of the standard will be published in the **Federal Register** with a request for comments in accordance with 7 CFR part 36.

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 1621-1627.

Dated: December 4, 2003.

**A.J. Yates,**

*Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc. 03-30601 Filed 12-9-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-401-806]

#### Stainless Steel Wire Rod From Sweden; Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of expedited sunset review of antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rod from Sweden.

**SUMMARY:** On August 1, 2003, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the notice of initiation of a sunset review on stainless steel wire rod ("SSWR") from Sweden. On the basis of notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive comments filed on behalf of domestic

interested parties and inadequate response (in this case, no response) from respondent interested parties, we determined to conduct an expedited (120-day) review. As a result of this review, we find that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 10, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Julie Al-Saadawi or Martha Douthit, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1930 or (202) 482-5050, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On August 1, 2003, the Department published the notice of initiation of a sunset review of the antidumping order on SSWR from Sweden pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act").<sup>1</sup> The Department received Notice of Intent to Participate on behalf of Carpenter Technology Corporation ("domestic interest parties"), within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Sunset Regulations. The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under Section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as a U.S. producer of SSWR. We received a complete substantive response, in the sunset review, from the domestic interested parties, within the 30-day deadline specified in the Sunset Regulations under section 351.218(d)(3)(i). The domestic interested parties have been involved in this proceeding since its inception and are committed to full participation in this five-year review.

We did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested parties to this proceeding. As a result, pursuant to Section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C) of the Department's Regulations, the Department conducted an expedited, 120-day, review of this antidumping duty order.

**Scope of Review**

Stainless steel wire rod (SSWR) comprises products that are hot-rolled or hot-rolled annealed and/or pickled and/or descaled rounds, squares, octagons, hexagons or other shapes, in

coils, that may also be coated with a lubricant containing copper, lime or oxalate. SSWR is made of alloy steels containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. These products are manufactured only by hot-rolling or hot-rolling, annealing, and/or pickling and/or descaling, are normally sold in coiled form, and are of solid cross-section. The majority of SSWR sold in the United States is round in cross-sectional shape, annealed and pickled, and later cold-finished into stainless steel wire or small-diameter bar. The most common size for such products is 5.5 millimeters or 0.217 inches in diameter, which represents the smallest size that normally is produced on a rolling mill and is the size that most wire-drawing machines are set up to draw. The range of SSWR sizes normally sold in the United States is between 0.20 inches and 1.312 inches diameter.

Two stainless steel grades, SF20T and K-M35FL, are excluded from the scope of this review. The following proprietary grades of Kanthal AB are also excluded: Kanthal A-1, Kanthal AF, Kanthal A, Kanthal D, Kanthal DT, Alkrothal 720, and Nikrothal 40. The chemical makeup for the excluded grades is as follows:

**SF20T**

Carbon—0.05 max  
Manganese—2.00 max  
Phosphorous—0.05 max  
Sulfur—0.15 max  
Silicon—1.00 max  
Chromium—19.00/21.00  
Molybdenum—1.50/2.50  
Lead—added (0.10/0.30)  
Tellurium—added (0.03 min)

**K-M35FL**

Carbon—0.015 max  
Silicon—0.70/1.00  
Manganese—0.40 max  
Phosphorous—0.04 max  
Sulfur—0.03 max  
Nickel—0.30 max  
Chromium—12.50/14.00  
Lead—0.10/0.30  
Aluminum—0.20/0.35

The products subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7221.00.0005, 7221.00.0015, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0045, and 7221.00.0075 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive. This review cover all imports from all manufacturers, producers, and exporters of SSWR from Sweden.

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in this case by parties to this sunset review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to James J. Jochum, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated December 1, 2003, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the order revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce Building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/sunset>, under the heading "December 2003." The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

**Final Results of Review**

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty on SSWR from Sweden would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted-average margins:

Swedish producers/ manufacturers/exporters	Weighted average-margin (percentage)
Fagersta Stainless AB .....	5.71
All Others .....	5.71

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

<sup>1</sup> *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 68 FR 45219 (August 1, 2003).

Dated: December 1, 2003.

**James J. Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-30624 Filed 12-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-475-820]

#### Stainless Steel Wire Rod From Italy; Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of expedited sunset review of antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rod from Italy.

**SUMMARY:** On August 1, 2003, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the notice of initiation of a sunset review on stainless steel wire rod ("SSWR") from Italy. On the basis of notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive comments filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and inadequate response (in this case, no response) from respondent interested parties, we determined to conduct an expedited (120-day) review. As a result of this review, we find that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 10, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ozlem Koray or Martha Douthit, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3675 or (202) 482-5050.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On August 1, 2003, the Department published the notice of initiation of a sunset review of the antidumping order on SSWR from Italy pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act").<sup>1</sup> The Department received Notice of Intent to Participate on behalf of domestic interested party, Carpenter Technology Corporation ("Carpenter Technology"), within the

deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(I) of the *Sunset Regulations*. Carpenter Technology claimed interested party status under Section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as U.S. producers of a domestic like product. We received a complete substantive response, in the sunset review, from the domestic interested parties, within the 30-day deadline specified in the *Sunset Regulations* under section 351.218(d)(3)(I). Carpenter Technology has been involved in this proceeding since its inception and are committed to full participation in this five-year review.

We did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested parties to this proceeding. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C) of the Department's Regulations, the Department conducted expedited, 120-day, review of this antidumping duty order.

This review covers all imports from all manufacturers, producers, and exporters of SSWR from Italy except for Acciaierie Valbruna/Accierie de Bolazano SpA, who received a *de minimis* rate in the investigation and as a result were excluded from the order.

##### Scope of Review

SSWR comprises products that are hot-rolled or hot-rolled annealed and/or pickled and/or descaled rounds, squares, octagons, hexagons or other shapes, in coils, that may also be coated with a lubricant containing copper, lime or oxalate. SSWR is made of alloy steels containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. These products are manufactured only by hot-rolling or hot-rolling, annealing, and/or pickling and/or descaling, are normally sold in coiled form, and are of solid cross-section. The majority of SSWR sold in the United States is round in cross-sectional shape, annealed and pickled, and later cold-finished into stainless steel wire or small-diameter bar. The most common size for such products is 5.5 millimeters or 0.217 inches in diameter, which represents the smallest size that normally is produced on a rolling mill and is the size that most wire-drawing machines are set up to draw. The range of SSWR sizes normally sold in the United States is between 0.20 inches and 1.312 inches diameter. Two stainless steel grades, SF20T and K-M35FL, are excluded from the scope of this review. The chemical makeup for the excluded grades is as follows: SF20T

Carbon—0.05 max  
Manganese—2.00 max  
Phosphorous—0.05 max  
Sulfur—0.15 max  
Silicon—1.00 max  
Chromium—19.00/21.00  
Molybdenum—1.50/2.50  
Lead—added (0.10/0.30)  
Tellurium—added (0.03 min)

##### K-M35FL

Carbon—0.015 max  
Silicon—0.70/1.00  
Manganese—0.40 max  
Phosphorous—0.04 max  
Sulfur—0.03 max  
Nickel—0.30 max  
Chromium—12.50/14.00  
Lead—0.10/0.30  
Aluminum—0.20/0.35

The products subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7221.00.0005, 7221.00.0015, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0045, and 7221.00.0075 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

##### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this case by Carpenter Technology to this sunset review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to James J. Jochum, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated December 1, 2003, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the order revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce Building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/sunset>, under the heading "December 2003." The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

##### Final Results of Review

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty on SSWR from Italy would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted-average margins:

<sup>1</sup> *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset Reviews)*, 68 FR 45219 (August 1, 2003).