by order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott, Secretary to the Commission.

Issued: July 13, 2010.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott, Secretary to the Commission.

Issued: July 13, 2010.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 1205–8]

Certain Footwear: Recommendations for Modifying the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States


ACTION: Change in date for transmitting final recommendations to the President.

SUMMARY: The Commission has changed the date on which it intends to report its final recommendations to the President in this matter from July 12, 2010, to August 9, 2010, to allow more time to consider the views submitted by Federal agencies and other interested parties.

DATES: August 9, 2010—Transmittal of final recommendations to the President.

ADDRESS: All Commission offices, including the Commission's hearing rooms, are located in the United States International Trade Commission Building, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/edis.htm.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Donnette Rimmer, Nomenclature Analyst (donnette.rimmer@usitc.gov, 202–205–3031) or Janis L. Summers, Attorney-Advisor (janis.summers@usitc.gov, 202–205–2605). The media should contact Margaret O'Laughlin, Office of External Relations (202–205–1819 or margaret.olaughlin@usitc.gov). Hearing-impaired individuals may obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal at 202–205–1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000.

Background: Notice of institution of the investigation and opportunity to comment on proposed recommendations was published in the Federal Register on April 13, 2010 (75 FR 18882). The period for filing written submissions closed on June 25, 2010.

By order of the Commission.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–669]

In the Matter of Certain Optoelectronic Devices, Components Thereof, and Products Containing the Same Issuance of a Limited Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Order; and Termination of the Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has terminated the above-captioned investigation with a finding of violation of section 337, and has issued a limited exclusion order and cease and desist order directed against respondent Encore Corporation (“Encore”) of Albuquerque, New Mexico.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2310. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov.

The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Office of the Secretary (202–205–1810).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on March 10, 2009 based on a complaint filed on February 3, 2009, by Avago Technologies Fiber IP (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. of Singapore; Avago Technologies General IP (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. of Singapore; and Avago Technologies Ltd. of San Jose, California (collectively, “Avago”). 74 FR 10278–79 (March 10, 2009). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain optoelectronic devices, components thereof, or products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,359,447 (“the '447 patent”) and 5,761,229 (“the '229 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The complaint names a single respondent, Encore Corporation (“Encore”) of Albuquerque, New Mexico.

On December 7, 2009, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the presiding administrative law judge’s (“ALJ’s”) initial determination (“ID”) granting Avago’s motion for summary determination on ownership of the asserted patents.

On March 12, 2010, the ALJ issued his final ID finding a violation of section 337 by Encore by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 2, 3, and 5 of the '447 patent. The ALJ found no violation of section 337 with respect to the '229 patent. He also issued his recommendation on remedy and bonding during the period of Presidential review. On March 29, 2010, Encore filed a petition for review of the final ID. The Commission investigative attorney (“IA”) and Avago filed responses to the petition on April 6, 2010. On May 13, 2010, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ALJ’s final ID finding a violation of section 337, and requested written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding from the parties and interested non-parties. 75 FR 28060–61 (May 19, 2010).

On May 24 and June 1, 2010, respectively, complainant Avago, respondent Encore, and the IA filed briefs and reply briefs on the issues for which the Commission requested written submissions.

The Commission has made its determination on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. The Commission has determined that the appropriate form of relief is: (1) A limited exclusion order prohibiting the unlicensed entry of optoelectronic devices, components thereof, and products containing the same that are covered by one or more of claims 1, 2, 3 and 5 of the '447 patent, where the infringing optoelectronic devices, components thereof, and products containing the same are manufactured...