



MEMORANDUM ON PROPOSED TARIFF LEGISLATION of the 112th Congress

Date approved

I. Background

Bill number:

Sponsor name:

Sponsor state:

Interested entity:

Name

City

State

Other bills on product (112th Congress only):

Nature of bill:

Expiration date:

Current or previous chapter 99 heading:

Retroactive date:

CAS number (if applicable):

Industry analyst:

Telephone:

Tariff Affairs contact:

Telephone:

Note:

1. Access to an electronic copy of this memorandum is available at http://www.usitc.gov/tariff_affairs/congress_reports/.
2. In regard to the country(ies) of origin listed in section III, this report focuses on dutiable imports and does not take into account any tariff preference programs or special rates of duty.

II. Suggested article description(s) for enactment (including appropriate HTS subheading(s)):

HTS subheading 9619.00.10: Diapers of cotton, other than knitted or crocheted
 HTS subheading 9619.00.20: Other

(If enacted, the tariff relief provided for in this bill would be available to any entity that imports the product that is covered by the bill.)

Description above compared with bill as introduced:

- Same
- Different (see Technical Comments section)

III. Other product information, including uses/applications and source(s) of imports

The subject products are sanitary towels (pads), tampons, diapers, diaper liners, and similar articles of any material, including paper pulp, plastics, cellulose, cotton, synthetic fibers, and artificial fibers. The bill would simplify the current U.S.-level tariff rate lines under heading 9619, all of which were proclaimed effective as of February 3, 2012, as a result of changes to the international Harmonized System tariff nomenclature (see Presidential Proclamation 8771 (77 Fed. Reg. 413), and annex thereto in USITC Publication 4276, http://www.usitc.gov/tariff_affairs/hts_documents/pub4276.pdf). The provisions so proclaimed were designed to replicate existing duty rates applicable to goods covered by the new heading, in keeping with the Commission's responsibility to maintain substantial duty rate neutrality pursuant to section 1205(d) of the Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988 (19 U.S.C. 3005(d)). The first proposed subheading would maintain existing duty treatment for traditional woven cloth diapers of cotton, and the second would cover all other goods classified in the heading. (See technical comments and continuation for additional information.) Primary import sources are Canada and China. Opposition to this bill is noted below in the Contacts table.

IV. Estimated effect on customs revenue

Subject product HTS subheading(s)	9619.00.05, 9619.00.11, 9619.00.15, 9619.00.21, 9619.00.25, 9619.00.31, 9619.00.41				
Item	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Col.1-general rate of duty or percentage point reduction (%)	5.42	5.42	5.42	5.42	5.42
Estimated value of <i>dutiable</i> imports (\$)	72,657,000	72,657,000	72,657,000	72,657,000	72,657,000
Customs revenue loss (\$)	3,940,047	3,940,047	3,940,047	3,940,047	3,940,047

Note: Customs revenue loss is provided for 5 years, although the effective period of the proposed legislation may differ. Regarding the HTS subheading listed in the article description of the bill, the Commission may express an opinion on the HTS classification of a product to facilitate consideration of the bill. However, by law, only U.S. Customs and Border Protection is authorized to issue a binding ruling on this matter. The Commission believes that Customs should be consulted prior to enactment of the bill.

Dutiable imports were based on (more than one may apply):

- Official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce
- Provided by industry sources
- Industry information
- Commission estimates

Duty reduction notes:

- This bill is not a duty reduction
- This bill is a temporary duty reduction. Rates are shown below.

Col.1-general duty rate (%) Temporary rate (%) Percentage point reduction (%)

V. Technical comments

The proposed subheadings should accomplish the intended simplification. The bill would delete existing subheadings 9619.00.05 through 9619.00.90 (19 subheadings) and replace them with two new subheadings, 9619.00.10 and 9619.00.20. The bill would not alter the 9.3 percent ad valorem duty rate applicable to woven cotton diapers currently classified in subheading 9619.00.33 (which would fall under new subheading 9619.00.10), but would eliminate the duty for all other sanitary towels (pads), diapers and liners for babies, and similar articles classified in subheadings 9619.00.05, 9619.00.21, 9619.00.25, 9619.00.31, 9619.00.41, 9619.00.43, 9619.00.46, 9619.00.48, 9619.00.61, 9619.00.64, 9619.00.68, 9619.00.71, 9619.00.74, 9619.00.78, 9619.00.79, and 9619.00.90. The two remaining subheadings that would be eliminated, 9619.00.11 and 9619.00.15, currently have a duty rate of "free."

VI. Continuation

Estimated effect on customs revenue - continued:

Subject product HTS subheading(s): 9619.00.43, 9619.00.46, 9619.00.48, 9619.00.61, 9619.00.64, 9619.00.68, 9619.00.71, 9619.00.74, 9619.00.78, 9619.00.79, and 9619.00.90.

Estimated effect on customs revenue - continued:

Note: The trade-weighted rate of duty listed in Table IV is displayed to two decimal places and, therefore, if multiplied by the dutiable import value, will yield a slightly lower total revenue loss than that shown in the table. The actual rate used to calculate the total revenue loss is 5.42280441 percent. Because these tariff provisions are of such recent origin, little official trade data exist for them to date.

HTS No. 9619.00.05 (5%)

2013 - \$2,021,750

2014 - \$2,021,750

2015 - \$2,021,750

2016 - \$2,021,750

2017 - \$2,021,750

HTS No. 9619.00.11 (Free)

2013 - \$0

2014 - \$0

2015 - \$0

2016 - \$0

2017 - \$0

HTS No. 9619.00.15 (Free)

2013 - \$0

2014 - \$0

2015 - \$0

2016 - \$0

2017 - \$0

HTS No. 9619.00.21 (3.6%)

2013 - \$432,000

2014 - \$432,000

2015 - \$432,000

2016 - \$432,000

2017 - \$432,000

HTS No. 9619.00.25 (6.3%)

2013 - \$47,250

2014 - \$47,250
2015 - \$47,250
2016 - \$47,250
2017 - \$47,250

HTS No. 9619.00.31 (8.1%)

2013 - \$211,977
2014 - \$211,977
2015 - \$211,977
2016 - \$211,977
2017 - \$211,977

HTS No. 9619.00.33 (9.3% duty would remain)

2013 - Duty remains - no revenue loss
2014 - Duty remains - no revenue loss
2015 - Duty remains - no revenue loss
2016 - Duty remains - no revenue loss
2017 - Duty remains - no revenue loss

HTS No. 9619.00.41 (16%)

2013 - \$30,240
2014 - \$30,240
2015 - \$30,240
2016 - \$30,240
2017 - \$30,240

HTS No. 9619.00.43 (14.9%)

2013 - \$2,384
2014 - \$2,384
2015 - \$2,384
2016 - \$2,384
2017 - \$2,384

HTS No. 9619.00.46 (5.6%)

2013 - \$1,400
2014 - \$1,400
2015 - \$1,400
2016 - \$1,400
2017 - \$1,400

HTS No. 9619.00.48 (2.8%)

2013 - \$4,144
2014 - \$4,144
2015 - \$4,144
2016 - \$4,144
2017 - \$4,144

HTS No. 9619.00.61 (10.8%)

2013 - \$2,052
2014 - \$2,052
2015 - \$2,052
2016 - \$2,052
2017 - \$2,052

HTS No. 9619.00.64 (14.9%)

2013 - \$149
2014 - \$149
2015 - \$149
2016 - \$149
2017 - \$149

HTS No. 9619.00.68 (5.6%)

2013 - \$56
2014 - \$56
2015 - \$56
2016 - \$56
2017 - \$56

HTS No. 9619.00.71 (8.1%)

2013 - \$19,926
2014 - \$19,926
2015 - \$19,926
2016 - \$19,926
2017 - \$19,926

HTS No. 9619.00.74 (16%)

2013 - \$57,440
2014 - \$57,440
2015 - \$57,440
2016 - \$57,440
2017 - \$57,440

HTS No. 9619.00.78 (2.8%)

2013 - \$196
2014 - \$196
2015 - \$196
2016 - \$196
2017 - \$196

HTS No. 9619.00.79 (7.3%)

2013 - \$73
2014 - \$73
2015 - \$73
2016 - \$73
2017 - \$73

HTS No. 9619.00.90 (7%)

2013 - \$1,109,010
2014 - \$1,109,010
2015 - \$1,109,010
2016 - \$1,109,010
2017 - \$1,109,010

VII. Contacts with domestic firms/organizations

#	Firm/organization and contact name	Telephone number	Claims same or competing product made in the United States	Submission attached	Opposition noted
1	Procter and Gamble (Interested entity) Matt Mattingley	202-841-5601	No	No	No
2	All Together Enterprises Dennis Frederick	877-215-9004	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	American Manufacturing Trade Action Coalition Sara Beatty	202-452-0866	No	No	No
4	Association of the Nonwoven Fabrics Industry Jessica Franken	703-521-0545	No	No	No
5	Avgol Nonwovens Ronnie Batchler	336-936-2500	No	No	No
6	BASF Corporation Maureen Paukert	973-245-6077	No	No	No
7	Bummis Shirley Murdoch	514-289-9855	No	Yes	Yes
8	Cotton Babies Jennifer Labit	888-332-2243	No	No	No
9	Cutey Baby, LLC Ada Vaughn	630-440-6501	No	No	No
10	Dy-Dee Diaper Service Brian O'Neil	626-240-0115	No	Yes	Yes
11	Firefly Diapers Marc Pehkonen	801-282-6895	No	No	No
12	First Quality Enterprises Kambiz Damaghi	516-829-3030	No	No	No
13	Geffen Baby Sharona Kahen	323-588-3000	No	No	No
14	Gelok International Richard LaVoie	419-352-1482	No	No	No
15	Green Textile John Simon	864-595-9900	No	No	No
16	Happy Heinys Linda Byerline	619-258-6867	No	No	No
17	Herrmann Ultrasonics Uwe Peregi	630-626-1626	No	No	No
18	Johnson & Johnson Ralph Sheppard	203-256-1401	No	No	No

#	Firm/organization and contact name	Telephone number	Claims same or competing product made in the United States	Submission attached	Opposition noted
19	Kimberly-Clark Fred Shaffer	920-721-3117	No	No	No
20	Loveybums Pam Lewis	413-253-0511	No	No	No
21	Luvaboos Heather Holt	585-319-4981	No	Yes	Yes
22	Mondail International, LLC Wayne Gee	305-726-2155	No	No	No
23	The Natural Baby Company Jeff Batton	406-522-0810	No	No	No
24	National Council of Textile Organizations Mike Hubbard	202-822-8026	No	No	No
25	National Textile Association David Trumbull	617-542-8220	No	No	No
26	Orange Diaper Company Jennifer Rosenberg	757-204-4814	Yes	Yes	Yes
27	Playtex Clifford Gibbons	202-783-6000	No	No	No
28	PGI Paul Harmon	704-697-5100	No	No	No
29	Pro Service Inc. Mark Anderson	800-397-8594	No	No	No
30	Real Diaper Industry Association Mandi Meidlinger	607-339-7579	Yes	Yes	Yes
31	Regal Lager Dave Milligan	800-593-5522	No	No	No
32	Rumparooz Julie Ekstrom	303-279-3864	No	No	No
33	SEAMS Sarah Friedman	803-772-5861	No	No	No
34	SoftBums Sarah Van Bogart	612-216-4213	No	No	No
35	Thirsties, Inc. Sadler Merrill	888-315-2330	Yes	Yes	Yes
36	Tiny Tush LLC Charlene Foster	608-356-2500	Yes	Yes	Yes
37	Triple 8 Corporation (Swaddlebees and Blueberry Diapers) Casey McClure	865-671-6849	Yes	Yes	Yes

	38	Wade Manufacturing Bernie Hodges	704-694-2131	No	No	No
--	----	-------------------------------------	--------------	----	----	----

Colby, Heidi

From: Colby, Heidi
Sent: Thursday, July 19, 2012 9:04 AM
To: Colby, Heidi
Subject: FW: FW: Request for consideration of Miscellaneous Tariff Bills on sanitary products, diapers, and the like

From: dennis@alltogetherenterprises.com [<mailto:dennis@alltogetherenterprises.com>] **On Behalf Of** Dennis Frederick
Sent: Wednesday, July 18, 2012 5:09 PM
To: Colby, Heidi
Subject: Re: FW: Request for consideration of Miscellaneous Tariff Bills on sanitary products, diapers, and the like

Dear Ms. Colby:

I am president of my privately held company called All Together Enterprises. Our cloth diaper brand name is OsoCozy. My company both produces cloth diapers domestically and we are importers of cloth diapers.

I would like to express my opposition to the changes to the Harmonized Tariff Schedule shown in your e-mail below. First of all it will put the products we produce domestically at a further disadvantage to the cheap labor rates of Asia. These diaper types are fitted and all-in-one diapers. We have already seen erosion of our sales in part due to less expensive imports.

Secondly the proposal does not reduce tariffs on the lower unit cost products we import which are flat and prefold cloth diapers of cotton which continue under the proposal at a duty rate of 9.3%. Why one type of cloth diaper is being favored over others in this bill must be due to the company in Montana that has lobbied the Montana senator to sponsor this legislation. They do not import these kinds of diapers themselves so this proposal is very self serving. This is inherently unfair and if the legislation moves forward (which I would oppose) it must include diapers of cotton as well as duty free. By the way my understanding is that US grown cotton is exported to Asia to create yarn, fabric and finished goods so this tariff on cotton goods makes no sense when there are no major producers of prefold and flat cotton diapers in the US.

In summary I oppose the bill, but I also lobby for inclusion of cotton diapers if the bill is to go forward.

Sincerely....

Dennis Frederick, President
All Together Enterprises
(OsoCozy Diapers)

Colby, Heidi

From: Colby, Heidi
Sent: Thursday, July 19, 2012 9:53 AM
To: Colby, Heidi
Subject: FW: one more note...

From: Jen ~ Orange Diaper Co [<mailto:jennifer@orangediaperco.com>]
Sent: Thursday, July 19, 2012 9:43 AM
To: Colby, Heidi
Subject: Re: one more note...

Hi Heidi,

Orange Diaper Company is a domestic manufacturer of cloth baby diapers and accessories and we are opposed to H.R 5496, S. 2876, S. 2877, and S. 2878.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Rosenberg
Owner, Orange Diaper Company

www.orangediaperco.com
naturally sweet from the bottom up
toll free 1.866.803.5281
local 757.204.4814

From: Mandi @ Jillian's Drawers [mailto:mandi@jilliansdrawers.com]

Sent: Friday, July 27, 2012 2:18 PM

To: Colby, Heidi

Subject: Re: Request for consideration of Miscellaneous Tariff Bills on sanitary products, diapers, and the like

Heidi,

The Real Diaper Industry Association would like to make the following statement:

The Real Diaper Industry Association, an association of cloth diaper manufacturers, retailers, and diaper services, opposes Bill No.: S. 2875, Bill No.: S. 2876, Bill No.: S. 2877, Bill No.: S. 2878, Bill No.: S. 2545, and Bill No.: H.R. 5496. We have members that manufacture these products in the United States and would be harmed by the removal of these tariffs.

We encourage future discussions on these tariff bills. We understand the issues are complex. Unfortunately, RDIA was not part of the original wording of these bills, otherwise we could have had these discussions before.

Thank you,
Mandi Meidlinger

Real Diaper Industry Association, Chair
Jillian's Drawers, President
www.JilliansDrawers.com
171 E. State Street, Ithaca, NY
607.272.1237 / 800.516.2991

From: Sadler Merrill [mailto:Sadler@thirstiesbaby.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2012 4:11 PM

To: Colby, Heidi

Subject: RE: Request for consideration of Miscellaneous Tariff Bills on sanitary products, diapers, and the like

Hello Heidi,

Thank you for the email informing us of the proposed legislation. We would love to register our opposition. We are greatly concerned because if these bills pass it could negatively affect all domestic manufacturers in our industry. If they do pass it would increase margins for the companies who import, enabling them to spend more on marketing, product development or lower their prices, thereby making it all the more difficult for the domestic manufacturers to be competitive and sustainable. In my opinion, removal of such tariffs is not only concerning to companies in our industry, but to all domestic manufacturers and for the overall U.S. economy. Bills such as these do not protect the American worker but rather encourage companies to manufacture abroad and eliminate domestic jobs. Considering the state of our economy, I am not sure why anyone would propose bills such as this. I really don't understand the motivation or the intended benefit here.

Our company, Thirsties Inc. (www.thirstiesbaby.com) has been in business for 8 years. We manufacture our products in the USA and source our materials almost entirely from USA sources. Why do we go through so much trouble to maintain our manufacturing home? Because (a) we believe in rewarding the skills and talents of our fellow Americans; (b) because we aim to reduce the distance our diapers travel from yarn to diaper, thereby greatly reducing the carbon footprint of our manufacturing and distribution process; (c) because we want to do our part to rebuild domestic industry; (d) because we know that the only way to truly monitor quality – from raw goods to final product - is to build individual and mutually beneficial relationships with each and every one of our suppliers and sewers.

We recently invested in a textile cutting machine to support our manufacturing. This investment cost us more than \$100,000. 3 years ago there were 18 machines like ours in Colorado, now there are zero. Removing tariffs on imports supports this trend and will only encourage exportation of more manufacturing jobs.

We have over 500 juvenile product retailers in the USA that sell our products. Through surveys we have learned that many of our retail partners and end-user customers choose Thirsties cloth diapers because we are made in the USA. We have 11 employees and the sewing company we work with in Denver, CO has more than 20 sewers. Further, we work with 17 raw material suppliers who also manufacture (knit) their products in the USA. If there was duty-free treatment of imported cloth diapers it would be even harder for any company to manufacture cloth diapers domestically and be competitive on pricing, thereby putting the jobs of all those who are indirectly or directly employed by Thirsties at risk. Imports are already less expensive. I can't understand why we need to reduce their costs even more and amplify the disadvantages of manufacturing in the USA.

Please let me know if you need any additional information.

Thank you,

Sadler Merrill | Thirsties, Inc.

O: 888-315-2330 ext. 2

-----Original Message-----

From: Charlene [mailto:charlene@tinytush.com]

Sent: Monday, July 23, 2012 2:58 PM

To: Colby, Heidi

Subject: RE: Request for consideration of Miscellaneous Tariff Bills on sanitary products, diapers, and the like

Hello my name is Charlene Foster owner of Tiny Tush Natural Baby Products we manufacture cloth diapers in the USA. I would like wish to register opposition again the Senate bills 2875, 2876, 2877, and 2878 and House Resolution 5496 will cause loss of job in the USA. We make diapers , diaper inserts (pads) and diaper covers (shells)that is described in each of these bills.

All the best,
Charlene

Charlene Foster / CEO
charlene@tinytush.com
608-768-8874

From: Casey McClure [mailto:casey@swaddlebees.com]
Sent: Monday, July 23, 2012 11:14 AM
To: Colby, Heidi
Subject: Re: URGENT: Needs addressing by July 23rd!!

Heidi:

Thank you for your response. Yes, you are correct. I confirm that Triple 8 Corporation, oppose all of the bills (that is, S. 2575 H.R. 5496, S. 2875, S. 2876, S. 2877, S. 2878) .

Please let me know if you have any further questions.

Best regards,

Casey McClure
Director of Sales, Swaddlebees and Blueberry Diapers
11145 Outlet Drive
Knoxville, TN 37932
USA

On Fri, Jul 20, 2012 at 4:38 PM, <Heidi.Colby@usitc.gov> wrote:

Casey,

Thank you for your input, which I will attach to my report. May I confirm that you oppose all of the bills (that is, S. 2575 H.R. 5496, S. 2875, S. 2876, S. 2877, S. 2878) or only certain ones?

Regards,

Heidi

From: Casey McClure [casey@swaddlebees.com]
Sent: Friday, July 20, 2012 2:34 PM
To: Colby, Heidi
Subject: Re: URGENT: Needs addressing by July 23rd!!

Heidi:

1. Tell USITC if you produce cloth diapers in the U.S: Yes, we do produce diapers domestically inside the US
2. Tell USITC if you are opposed to proposed legislation to remove import duties on diapers: As a company we are opposed to removing import duties on diapers.
3. Provide a statement of opposition in writing. (Email is fine.) Our company, Triple 8 Corporation, doing business as Swaddlebees and Blueberry Diapers, produce all of our diapers here in the United States. We support about 30 US jobs with our production and this is very fundamental to our competitive advantage. As it stands now, US made goods are more expensive due to the US labor laws, but we are able to recoup some of that cost by advertising being made in the USA. If a company makes their products overseas and brings them over duty free, then

what motivation do they have to help the US economy. I know they will say we have a support staff stateside and they support all the domestic Retailers, but it is doing nothing to grow US manufacturing jobs. We have been in business since 2005 and we have looked at overseas production, and even tried it once, but to us, working with a local manufacturer and the benefits it brings, far outweigh the cost savings. For these basic reasons, we oppose suspending/ending tariffs on diaper imports.

Please let me know if you require anything else.

Thank you and have a fantastic day!!!

Best regards,

Casey McClure
Director of Sales, Swaddlebees and Blueberry Diapers
11145 Outlet Drive
Knoxville, TN 37932
USA

From: Shirley [mailto:shirley@bummis.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2012 1:51 PM
To: Colby, Heidi
Subject: RE: Miscellaneous tariff bills

Hello Heidi,

We produce cloth diapers using predominantly U.S. made fabric and object to HR 5496, S 2875, S 2876, S 2877, and S 2878. We also employ American citizens in our US warehouse.

Removing tariffs for imports as described above, will smash the efforts of those manufacturers that are attempting to rebuild textile manufacturing in the USA. The only things protecting their valiant efforts were tariffs on imported goods, the rising costs in China and the desire of Americans to buy locally made products. We, who produce with American made textiles and components will never be able to compete with duty free products being imported into the USA and thus will no longer be able to use American made components. We will be forced to close our manufacturing or to produce with off shore product.

We are strongly against these bills as we have fought hard to maintain our manufacturing in North America and our top suppliers in our supply chain are in the USA

Additionally, these bills leave one item still with tariffs, prefold cotton diapers. These are the one item on the list NOT made in the USA and yet they are the one item left with tariffs, this is nonsensical. If these do go through, these diapers should be also tariff free.

Thank you,
Shirley Murdock
Vice President
Bummi Inc.

From: Brian O'Neil [mailto:ddscls@dy-dee.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 26, 2012 4:01 PM
To: Colby, Heidi
Subject: Import duties on cotton prefold diapers

Hello Heidi,

Dy-Dee Diaper Service is opposed to the proposed legislation to keep the 9.3% import duties on prefold diapers. We favor the elimination of the duty on prefold imports. All diaper services in the U.S. use imported cotton prefold diapers and cotton diapers provided by diaper services are far superior to paper & plastic diapers for our environment. We've been in business since 1938. Today diaper services are filling a need in the market place for cotton diapers, but this bill will make it more difficult to compete.

Sincerely,

Brian O'Neil

President
Dy-Dee Service
40 E California Bl
Pasadena, CA 91105
Ph 626-240-0115
www.calinen.com
www.dy-dee.com

From: Heather Holt [mailto:heather@luvaboos.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 25, 2012 11:47 PM
To: Colby, Heidi
Subject: Opposing new tariff bill on cloth diapers

Hello Heidi,

We wanted to write to say we do oppose S. 2545 and H.R. 5496, since these make an exception to duty-free status for woven cotton diapers.

Please let us know if you need any other information.

Thank you,

Heather

<http://www.luvaboos.com>

112TH CONGRESS
2^D SESSION

H. R. 5496

To amend the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States to make a technical correction relating to sanitary towels (pads) and tampons, diapers and diaper liners for babies and similar articles.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MAY 7, 2012

Mrs. SCHMIDT introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Ways and Means

A BILL

To amend the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States to make a technical correction relating to sanitary towels (pads) and tampons, diapers and diaper liners for babies and similar articles.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SANITARY TOWELS (PADS) AND TAMPONS, DIA-**
4 **PERS AND DIAPER LINERS FOR BABIES AND**
5 **SIMILAR ARTICLES.**

6 (a) TECHNICAL CORRECTION.—Heading 9619.00 of
7 the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States is
8 amended by striking subheadings 9619.00.05 through

1 9619.00.90 and inserting the following new subheadings,
 2 with the article descriptions for subheadings 9619.00.10
 3 and 9619.00.20 having the same degree of indentation as
 4 the article description for subheading 9617.00.60:

“	9619.00.10	Diapers of cotton, other than knitted or crocheted	9.3%	Free (BH, CA, CL, IL, JO, MA, MX, OM, P, PE, SG) 3% (AU)	90%	”.
	9619.00.20	Other	Free		35%	

5 (b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by
 6 subsection (a) applies to articles entered, or withdrawn
 7 from warehouse for consumption, on or after the 15th day
 8 after the date of the enactment of this Act.

○