

auxiliary aids should be directed to Gianna Marrone, gianna.marrone@bea.gov, preferably two weeks prior to the meeting.

Dated: May 21, 2020.

Kyle Hood,

Designated Federal Officer, Bureau of Economic Analysis.

[FR Doc. 2020–11396 Filed 5–27–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B–08–2020]

Foreign-Trade Zone (FTZ) 277— Glendale, Arizona; Authorization of Production Activity; Andersen Regional Manufacturing, Inc. (Windows for Residential and Commercial Buildings), Goodyear, Arizona

On January 23, 2020, Andersen Regional Manufacturing, Inc. submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the FTZ Board for its facility within FTZ 277 in Goodyear, Arizona.

The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (85 FR 9456, February 19, 2020). On May 22, 2020, the applicant was notified of the FTZ Board's decision that no further review of the activity is warranted at this time. The production activity described in the notification was authorized, subject to the FTZ Act and the FTZ Board's regulations, including Section 400.14.

Dated: May 22, 2020.

Elizabeth Whiteman,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020–11456 Filed 5–27–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B–03–2020]

Foreign-Trade Zone (FTZ) 90— Syracuse, New York; Authorization of Production Activity; PPC Broadband, Inc. (Hardline Coaxial Cables). Dewitt, New York

On January 22, 2020, PPC Broadband, Inc., submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the FTZ Board for its facility within Subzone 90C, in Dewitt, New York.

The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including

notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (85 FR 5372–5373, January 30, 2020). On May 21, 2020, the applicant was notified of the FTZ Board's decision that no further review of the activity is warranted at this time. The production activity described in the notification was authorized, subject to the FTZ Act and the FTZ Board's regulations, including Section 400.14.

Dated: May 21, 2020.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020–11454 Filed 5–27–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–891]

Forged Steel Fittings From India: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination, and Extension of Provisional Measures

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that forged steel fittings (FSF) from India are being sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV). The period of investigation (POI) is October 1, 2018 through September 30, 2019. Interested parties are invited to comment on this preliminary determination.

DATES: Applicable May 28, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Caitlin Monks, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2670.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This preliminary determination is made in accordance with section 733(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Commerce published the notice of initiation of this investigation on November 21, 2019.¹ On February 28, 2020, Commerce postponed the preliminary determination of this investigation and the revised deadline is

¹ See *Forged Steel Fittings from India and the Republic of Korea: Initiation of Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigations*, 84 FR 64265 (November 21, 2019) (*Initiation Notice*).

now May 20, 2020.² For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this investigation, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.³ A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as Appendix II to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are FSF from India. For a complete description of the scope of this investigation, see Appendix I.

Scope Comments

In accordance with the preamble to Commerce's regulations,⁴ the *Initiation Notice* set aside a period of time for parties to raise issues regarding product coverage (*i.e.*, scope), which Commerce extended on March 27, 2020.⁵ Certain interested parties commented on the scope of the investigation as it appeared in the *Initiation Notice* and on a revised version issued in the Countervailing Duty (CVD) Preliminary Determination of FSF from India.⁶ For a summary of the product coverage comments and rebuttal responses submitted to the record for this preliminary determination, and accompanying discussion and analysis of all comments timely received, see the Preliminary

² See *Forged Steel Fittings from India and the Republic of Korea: Postponement of Preliminary Determinations in the Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigations*, 85 FR 11965 (February 28, 2020).

³ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Determination in the Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation of Forged Steel Fittings from India" dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

⁴ See *Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties, Final Rule*, 62 FR 27296, 27323 (May 19, 1997).

⁵ See *Initiation Notice*; see also Memorandum, "Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Investigations of Forged Steel Fittings from India and the Republic of Korea: Clarification of Deadlines for Scope Comments," dated March 27, 2020.

⁶ See *Forged Steel Fittings From India: Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination, and Alignment of Final Determination With Final Antidumping Duty Determination*, 85 FR 17536 (March 30, 2020).

Scope Decision Memorandum.⁷ Commerce is preliminarily modifying the scope language as it appeared in the *Initiation Notice*. See the revised scope in Appendix I to this notice.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this investigation in accordance with section 731 of the Act. Commerce has calculated export prices in accordance with section 772(a) of the Act for Shakti Forge Industries Pvt. Ltd. (Shakti). Constructed export prices have been calculated in accordance with section 772(b) of the Act. Normal value (NV) is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. In addition, Commerce has relied on facts available with an adverse inference (AFA) under sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act for Nikoo Forge Pvt. Ltd. (Nikoo Forge), Pan International (Pan), Disha Auto Components Pvt. Ltd, Dynamic Flow Products, Kirtanal Steel Pvt Ltd, Metal Forgings Pvt Ltd, Patton International Limited, Sage Metals Limited, and Technotrak Engineers. For a full description of the methodology underlying the preliminary

determination, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

All-Others Rate

Sections 733(d)(1)(ii) and 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act provide that in the preliminary determination Commerce shall determine an estimated all-others rate for all exporters and producers not individually examined. This rate shall be an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero and *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely under section 776 of the Act.

Pursuant to section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act, if the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for all exporters and producers individually examined are zero, *de minimis* or determined based entirely on facts otherwise available, Commerce may use “any reasonable method” to establish the estimated weighted-average dumping margin for all other producers or exporters. One method contemplated by section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act is “averaging the estimated weighted

average dumping margins determined for the exporters and producers individually investigated.”

Commerce has preliminarily determined that the estimated weighted-average dumping margin for Shakti is zero. In addition, Commerce has preliminarily determined the estimated weighted-average dumping margins for Nikoo Forge and Pan entirely on the basis of facts otherwise available (*i.e.*, 293.40 percent).⁸ Because we have no calculated rates that are not based entirely on facts available, zero, or *de minimis*, we have determined that a reasonable method for assigning a margin to all other producers or exporters is to average the weighted-average dumping margins calculated for the three mandatory respondents. The simple average of these rates is 195.60 percent, and, pursuant to section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act, this is the rate we are preliminary assigning as the all-others rate.

Preliminary Determination

Commerce preliminarily determines that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins exist:

Exporter/producer	Estimated weighted-average dumping margin (percent)	Cash deposit rate (adjusted for subsidy offset(s)) (percent)
Shakti Forge Industries Pvt. Ltd ⁹	* 0.00	NA
Nikoo Forge Pvt. Ltd.	** 293.40	290.87
Pan International	** 293.40	290.87
Disha Auto Components Pvt. Ltd	** 293.40	290.87
Dynamic Flow Products	** 293.40	290.87
Kirtanal Steel Pvt Ltd	** 293.40	290.87
Metal Forgings Pvt Ltd	** 293.40	290.87
Patton International Limited	** 293.40	290.87
Sage Metals Limited	** 293.40	290.87
Technotrak Engineers	** 293.40	290.87
All Others	195.60	193.07

* (*de minimis*)
 ** (AFA).

Consistent with section 733(b)(3) of the Act, Commerce disregards *de minimis* rates and preliminarily determines that the individually examined respondent with a *de minimis* rate (*i.e.*, Shakti) has not made sales of subject merchandise at LTFV.

Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 733(d)(2) of the Act, Commerce will direct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to suspend liquidation of entries of subject merchandise, as described in Appendix I, entered, or withdrawn from

warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Further, pursuant to section 733(d)(1)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(d), Commerce will instruct CBP to require a cash deposit equal to the estimated weighted-average dumping margin or the estimated all-others rate, as follows: (1) The cash deposit rate for the respondents listed above will be equal to the company-specific estimated weighted-average dumping margins determined in this preliminary determination; (2) if the exporter is not a respondent identified

above, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be equal to the company-specific estimated weighted-average dumping margin established for that producer of the subject merchandise; and (3) the cash deposit rate for all other producers and exporters will be equal to the all-others estimated weighted-average dumping margin.

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose its calculations and analysis performed to interested parties in this preliminary

⁷ See Memorandum, “Forged Steel Fittings from India and the Republic of Korea: Scope Comments Preliminary Decision Memorandum,” dated

concurrently with this preliminary determination (Preliminary Scope Memorandum).

⁸ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

⁹ Commerce preliminarily determines that Shakti and Shakti Forge are a single entity. See Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

determination within five days of any public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Verification

As provided in section 782(i)(1) of the Act, Commerce intends to verify the information relied upon in making its final determination.

Public Comment

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance no later than seven days after the date on which the last verification report is issued in this investigation unless Commerce alters the time limit. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be submitted no later than seven days after the deadline date for case briefs. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this investigation are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, limited to issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, whether any participant is a foreign national, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, at a time and date to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date. Note that Commerce has modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information until July 17, 2020, unless extended.¹⁰

Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures

Section 735(a)(2) of the Act provides that a final determination may be postponed until not later than 135 days after the date of the publication of the

preliminary determination if, in the event of an affirmative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by exporters who account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise, or in the event of a negative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by the petitioner. Section 351.210(e)(2) of Commerce's regulations requires that a request by exporters for postponement of the final determination be accompanied by a request for extension of provisional measures from a four-month period to a period not more than six months in duration.

On May 11, 2020, and May 12, 2020, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.210(e), the petitioners and Shakti, respectively, requested that Commerce postpone the final determination and that provisional measures be extended to a period not to exceed six months.¹¹ In accordance with section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii), because: (1) The preliminary determination is affirmative; (2) the requesting exporter accounts for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise; and (3) no compelling reasons for denial exist, Commerce is postponing the final determination and extending the provisional measures from a four-month period to a period not greater than six months. Accordingly, Commerce will make its final determination no later than 135 days after the date of publication of this preliminary determination.

International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, Commerce will notify the International Trade Commission (ITC) of its preliminary determination. If the final determination is affirmative, the ITC will determine before the later of 120 days after the date of this preliminary determination or 45 days after the final determination whether subject imports are materially injuring, or threaten material injury to, the U.S. industry.

Notification to Interested Parties

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(c).

Dated: May 20, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise covered by these investigations is carbon and alloy forged steel fittings, whether unfinished (commonly known as blanks or rough forgings) or finished. Such fittings are made in a variety of shapes including, but not limited to, elbows, tees, crosses, laterals, couplings, reducers, caps, plugs, bushings, unions (including hammer unions), and outlets. Forged steel fittings are covered regardless of end finish, whether threaded, socket-weld or other end connections. The scope includes integrally reinforced forged branch outlet fittings, regardless of whether they have one or more ends that is a socket welding, threaded, butt welding end, or other end connections.

While these fittings are generally manufactured to specifications ASME B16.11, MSS SP-79, MSS SP-83, MSS SP-97, ASTM A105, ASTM A350 and ASTM A182, the scope is not limited to fittings made to these specifications.

The term forged is an industry term used to describe a class of products included in applicable standards, and it does not reference an exclusive manufacturing process. Forged steel fittings are not manufactured from casings. Pursuant to the applicable standards, fittings may also be machined from bar stock or machined from seamless pipe and tube.

All types of forged steel fittings are included in the scope regardless of nominal pipe size (which may or may not be expressed in inches of nominal pipe size), pressure class rating (expressed in pounds of pressure, e.g., 2,000 or 2M; 3,000 or 3M; 6,000 or 6M; 9,000 or 9M), wall thickness, and whether or not heat treated.

Excluded from this scope are all fittings entirely made of stainless steel. Also excluded are flanges, nipples, and all fittings that have a maximum pressure rating of 300 pounds per square inch/PSI or less.

Also excluded from the scope are fittings certified or made to the following standards, so long as the fittings are not also manufactured to the specifications of ASME B16.11, MSS SP-79, MSS SP-83, MSS SP-97, ASTM A105, ASTM A350 and ASTM A182:

- American Petroleum Institute (API) 5CT, API 5L, or API11B;
- American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) B16.9;
- Manufacturers Standardization Society (MSS) SP-75;
- Society of Automotive Engineering (SAE) J476, SAE J514, SAE J516, SAE J517, SAE J518, SAE J1026, SAE J1231, SAE J1453, SAE J1926, J2044 or SAE AS 35411;
- Hydraulic hose fittings (e.g., fittings used in high pressure water cleaning applications, in the manufacture of hydraulic engines, to connect rubber dispensing hoses to a dispensing nozzle or grease fitting) made to ISO 12151-1, 12151-2, 12151-3, 12151-4, 12151-5, or 12151-6;

¹⁰ See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19; Extension of Effective Period*, 85 FR 29615 (May 18, 2020).

¹¹ See Petitioners' Letter, "Forged Steel Fittings from India: Request for Extension of Final Determination," dated May 11, 2020, and Shakti's Letter, "Forged Steel Fittings from India: Request to Postpone the Final Determination," dated May 12, 2020.

- Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) certified electrical conduit fittings;
- ASTM A153, A536, A576, or A865;
- Casing Conductor Connectors made to proprietary specifications;
- Machined steel parts (e.g., couplers) that are not certified to any specifications in this scope description and that are not for connecting steel pipes for distributing gas and liquids;
- Oil country tubular goods (OCTG) connectors (e.g., forged steel tubular connectors for API 5L pipes or OCTG for offshore oil and gas drilling and extraction);
- Military Specification (MIL) MIL-C-4109F and MIL-F-3541; and
- International Organization for Standardization (ISO) ISO6150-B.

Also excluded from the scope are assembled or unassembled hammer unions that consist of a nut and two subs. To qualify for this exclusion, the hammer union must meet each of the following criteria: (1) the face of the nut of the hammer union is permanently marked with one of the following markings: "FIG 100," "FIG 110," "FIG 100C," "FIG 200," "FIG 200C," "FIG 201," "FIG 202," "FIG 206," "FIG 207," "FIG 211," "FIG 300," "FIG 301," "FIG 400," "FIG 600," "FIG 602," "FIG 607," "FIG 1002," "FIG 1003," "FIG 1502," "FIG 1505," "FIG 2002," or "FIG 2202"; (2) the hammer union does not bear any of the following markings: "Class 3000," "Class 3M," "Class 6000," "Class 6M," "Class 9000," or "Class 9M"; and (3) the nut and both subs of the hammer union are painted.

Also excluded from the scope are component parts for hammer union assemblies, either subs or wingnuts, marked on the wingnut and subs with "FIG 1002," "FIG 1502," and "FIG 2002," and with pressure rating of 10,000 PSI or greater. These parts are made from AISI/SAE 4130, 4140 or 4340 steel and are 100 percent magnetic particle inspected before shipment.

Also excluded from the scope are tee, elbow, cross, adapter (or "crossover"), blast joint (or "spacer"), blind sub, swivel joint and pup joint which have wing nut or not. To qualify for this exclusion, these products must meet each of the following criteria: (1) Manufacturing and Inspection standard is API 6A or API 16C; and, (2) body or wing nut is permanently marked with one of the following markings: "FIG 2002," "FIG 1502," "FIG 1002," "FIG 602," "FIG 206," or "FIG any other number" or MTR (Material Test Report) shows these FIG numbers.

To be excluded from the scope, products must have the appropriate standard or pressure markings and/or be accompanied by documentation showing product compliance to the applicable standard or pressure, e.g., "API 5CT" mark and/or a mill certification report.

Subject carbon and alloy forged steel fittings are normally entered under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) 7307.92.3010, 7307.92.3030, 7307.92.9000, 7307.99.1000, 7307.99.3000, 7307.99.5045, and 7307.99.5060. They may also be entered under HTSUS 7307.93.3010, 7307.93.3040, 7307.93.6000, 7307.93.9010, 7307.93.9040, 7307.93.9060, and 7326.19.0010.

The HTSUS subheadings and specifications are provided for convenience and customs purposes; the written description of the scope is dispositive.

Appendix II

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Period of Investigation
- IV. Scope Comments
- V. Scope of the Investigation
- VI. Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures
- VII. Affiliation and Collapsing
- VIII. Application of Facts Available and Use of Adverse Inferences
- IX. Discussion of the Methodology
- X. Date of Sale
- XI. Product Comparisons
- XII. Export Price
- XIII. Normal Value
- XIV. Currency Conversion
- XV. Verification
- XVI. Adjustments to Cash Deposit Rates for Export Subsidies in Companion CVD Investigation
- XVII. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2020-11448 Filed 5-27-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-904]

Forged Steel Fittings From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination, and Extension of Provisional Measures

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that forged steel fittings (FSF) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) are being sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV). The period of investigation (POI) is October 1, 2018 through September 30, 2019. Interested parties are invited to comment on this preliminary determination.

DATES: Applicable May 28, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Caitlin Monks, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2670.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This preliminary determination is made in accordance with section 733(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Commerce published the notice of initiation of this investigation on November 21, 2019.¹ On February 28, 2020, Commerce postponed the preliminary determination of this investigation and the revised deadline is now May 20, 2020.² For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this investigation, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.³ A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as Appendix II to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are FSF from Korea. For a complete description of the scope of this investigation, see Appendix I.

Scope Comments

In accordance with the preamble to Commerce's regulations,⁴ the *Initiation Notice* set aside a period of time for parties to raise issues regarding product coverage (i.e., scope), which Commerce extended on March 27, 2020.⁵ Certain interested parties commented on the scope of the investigation as it appeared in the *Initiation Notice* and on a revised

¹ See *Forged Steel Fittings from India and the Republic of Korea: Initiation of Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigations*, 84 FR 64265 (November 21, 2019) (*Initiation Notice*).

² See *Forged Steel Fittings from India and the Republic of Korea: Postponement of Preliminary Determinations in the Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigations*, 85 FR 11965 (February 28, 2020).

³ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Determination in the Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation of Forged Steel Fittings from the Republic of Korea" dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

⁴ See *Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties, Final Rule*, 62 FR 27296, 27323 (May 19, 1997).

⁵ See *Initiation Notice*; see also Memorandum, "Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Investigations of Forged Steel Fittings from India and the Republic of Korea: Clarification of Deadlines for Scope Comments," dated March 27, 2020.