

733(e)(2)(A) of the Act, suspension of liquidation of silicon metal from Iceland as described in the "Scope of the investigations" in Appendix I, shall apply to unliquidated entries of merchandise from imports of silicon metal exported by PCC Bakki and all other producers/exporters from Iceland, that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date which is 90 days before the publication of this notice, the date suspension of liquidation is first ordered.

We will also instruct CBP, pursuant to section 733(d)(1)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(d) to require a cash deposit equal to the margins indicated in the charts above. These suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

Verification

Because each mandatory respondent in these investigations did not act to the best of their abilities to provide information requested by Commerce, and Commerce preliminarily determines each of the mandatory respondents to be uncooperative, we will not conduct verifications.

Disclosure

Normally, Commerce discloses to interested parties the calculations performed in connection with a preliminary determination within five days of any public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of the notice of preliminary determination in the Federal Register, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). However, because Commerce preliminarily applied AFA to each mandatory respondent in these investigations, in accordance with section 776 of the Act, there are no calculations to disclose.

Public Comment

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary determinations no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary determinations. 14 Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be submitted no later than seven days after the deadline date for case briefs. 15 Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in these proceedings are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief

summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, limited to issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants and whether any participant is a foreign national; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at a time and date to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the established deadline. Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice. 16

Final Determinations

Section 735(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(1) provide that Commerce will issue the final determination within 75 days after the date of its preliminary determination. Accordingly, Commerce will make its final determinations no later than 75 days after the signature date of these preliminary determinations.

International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act. Commerce will notify the International Trade Commission (ITC) of our affirmative preliminary determinations. If our final determinations are affirmative, the ITC will determine before the later of 120 days after the date of these preliminary determinations or 45 days after our final determinations whether these imports are materially injuring, or threaten material injury to, the U.S. industry.

Notification to Interested Parties

These determinations are issued and published in accordance with sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(c).

Dated: December 7, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

Scope of the Investigations

The scope of these investigations covers all forms and sizes of silicon metal, including silicon metal powder. Silicon metal contains at least 85.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon, and less than 4.00 percent iron, by actual weight. Semiconductor grade silicon (merchandise containing at least 99.99 percent silicon by actual weight and classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheading 2804.61.0000) is excluded from the scope of these investigations.

Silicon metal is currently classifiable under subheadings 2804.69.1000 and 2804.69.5000 of the HTSUS. While the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope remains dispositive.

Appendix II

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary **Decision Memoranda**

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Period of Investigation
- IV. Scope of the Investigations
- V. Application of Facts Available, Use of Adverse Inferences, Corroboration, and Calculation of All-Others Rate
- VI. Preliminary Critical Circumstances Finding
- VII. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2020-27316 Filed 12-10-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [C-428-848]

Forged Steel Fluid End Blocks From the Federal Republic of Germany: Final **Affirmative Countervailing Duty** Determination

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of forged steel fluid end blocks (fluid end blocks) from the Federal Republic of Germany (Germany).

DATES: Applicable December 11, 2020. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph Dowling or Robert Palmer, AD/ CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401

¹⁴ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(i); see also 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

¹⁵ See 19 CFR 351.309(d); see also 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

Service Requirements Due to COVID-19; Extension

 $^{^{16}}$ See Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD of Effective Period, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).

Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1646 or (202) 482–9068, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 26, 2020, Commerce published the *Preliminary* Determination of this countervailing duty (CVD) investigation, which also aligned the final determination of this CVD investigation with the final determination in the companion antidumping duty investigation of fluid end blocks from Germany. A summary of the events that occurred since Commerce published the *Preliminary* Determination, as well as a full discussion of the issues raised by parties for this final determination, may be found in the Issues and Decision Memorandum which is hereby adopted by this notice.² The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Final Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Period of Investigation

The period of investigation (POI) is January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018.

Scope of the Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are fluid end blocks from Germany. For a full description of the scope of this investigation, *see* the "Scope of the Investigation" in Appendix I.

Scope Comments

During the course of this investigation, Commerce received scope comments from interested parties. Commerce issued a Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum to address these comments.³ We received comments from interested parties on the Preliminary Scope Memorandum, which we address in the Final Scope Decision Memorandum, dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this final determination.⁴ Commerce is not modifying the scope language as it appeared in the *Preliminary Determination*. See Appendix I for the final scope of the investigation.

Analysis of Subsidy Programs and Comments Received

The subsidy programs under investigation and the issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties in this investigation are discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues raised by parties raised is attached to this notice at Appendix II.

Methodology

Commerce conducted this investigation in accordance with section 701 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, Commerce determines that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a financial contribution by an "authority" that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific. For a full description of the methodology underlying our final determination, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Verification

Commerce normally verifies information relied upon in making its final determination, pursuant to section 782(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). However, during the course of this investigation, we were unable to conduct on-site verification due to travel restrictions. Consistent with section 776(a)(2)(D) of the Act, Commerce relied on the information submitted on the record, which we used in making our *Preliminary Determination* and Post-Preliminary

Determination,⁷ as facts available in making our final determination.

All-Others Rate

We continue to calculate the allothers rate using a weighted average of the individual estimated subsidy rates calculated for the examined respondents (BGH Edelstahl Siegen GmbH and Schmiedewerke Gröditz GmbH) using each company's publicly ranged data for the value of their exports of subject merchandise to the United States.⁸

Final Determination

Commerce determines that the following estimated countervailable subsidy rates exist:

Company	Subsidy rate (percent)
BGH Edelstahl Siegen GmbH ⁹ Schmiedewerke Gröditz	5.86
GmbH ¹⁰ voestalpine Bohler Group ¹¹	6.71 14.81
All Others 12	6.29

Disclosure

We intend to disclose to parties in this proceeding the calculations performed for this final determination within five days of the date of publication of this notice, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

As a result of our *Preliminary Determination* and pursuant to sections 703(d)(1)(B) and (d)(2) of the Act, Commerce instructed U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to suspend liquidation of entries of subject merchandise from Germany that were

¹ See Forged Steel Fluid End Blocks from the Federal Republic of Germany: Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination, and Alignment of Final Determination with Final Antidumping Duty Determination, 85 FR 31454 (May 26, 2020) (Preliminary Determination), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Affirmative Determination of the Countervailing Duty Investigation of Forged Steel Fluid End Blocks from Federal Republic of Germany," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

³ See Memorandum to the File, "Forged Steel Fluid End Blocks from the Federal Republic of Germany, India, Italy, and the People's Republic of China: Scope Comments Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Determinations," dated May 18, 2020 (Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum).

⁴ See Memorandum, "Forged Steel Fluid End Blocks from the Federal Republic of Germany, India, Italy, and the People's Republic of China: Scope Comments Decision Memorandum for the Final Determinations," dated December 7, 2020 (Final Scope Decision Memorandum).

⁵ See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

⁶ See Memorandum, "Cancellation of Verification and Establishment of the Briefing Schedule," dated October 23, 2020.

⁷ See Memorandum, "Countervailing Duty Investigation of Forged Steel Fluid End Blocks from the Federal Republic of Germany: Post-Preliminary Analysis," dated October 26, 2020 (Post-Preliminary Determination).

⁸ See Preliminary Determination, 85 FR at 31454.

⁹ As discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, Commerce found the following companies to be cross-owned with BGH Edelstahl Siegen GmbH: Boschgotthardshütte O. Breyer GmbH, BGH Edelstahlwerke GmbH, Rohstoff-, Press- und Schneidbetrieb Siegen GmbH, and SRG Schrott und Recycling GmbH.

¹⁰ As discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, Commerce found the following companies to be cross-owned with Schmiedewerke Gröditz GmbH: GMH Schmiedetechnik GmbH, Georgsmarienhütte Holding GmbH, and GHM Recycling GmbH.

¹¹ See Memorandum, "AFA Calculation Memorandum for the Final Determination," dated December 7, 2020.

¹² For discussion of the calculation of this rate, see Memorandum, "Countervailing Duty Investigation of Forged Steel Fluid End Blocks from the Federal Republic of Gemany: Final Determination Calculation of All Other's Rate," dated December 7, 2020.

entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after May 26, 2020, the date of publication of the *Preliminary Determination* in the **Federal Register**. In accordance with section 703(d) of the Act, effective September 23, 2020, we instructed CBP to discontinue the suspension of liquidation of all entries of subject merchandise, but to continue the suspension of liquidation of all entries of subject merchandise between May 26, 2020 and September 22, 2020.

If the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) issues a final affirmative injury determination, we will issue a CVD order and require a cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties for entries of subject merchandise in the amounts indicated above, in accordance with section 706(a) of the Act. If the ITC determines that material injury, or threat of material injury, does not exist, this proceeding will be terminated, and all estimated duties deposited or securities posted as a result of the suspension of liquidation will be refunded or canceled.

ITC Notification

In accordance with section 705(d) of the Act, Commerce will notify the ITC of its final affirmative determination that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of fluid end blocks from Germany. As Commerce's final determination is affirmative, in accordance with section 705(b) of the Act, the ITC will determine, within 45 days, whether the domestic industry in the United States is materially injured, or threatened with material injury. In addition, we are making available to the ITC all nonprivileged and nonproprietary information related to this investigation. We will allow the ITC access to all privileged and business proprietary information in our files, provided the ITC confirms that it will not disclose such information, either publicly or under an administrative protective order (APO), without the written consent of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

In the event that the ITC issues a final negative injury determination, this notice will serve as the only reminder to parties subject to the APO of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is

hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 705(d) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(c).

Dated: December 7, 2020.

Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

Scope of the Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are forged steel fluid end blocks (fluid end blocks), whether in finished or unfinished form, and which are typically used in the manufacture or service of hydraulic pumps.

The term "forged" is an industry term used to describe the grain texture of steel resulting from the application of localized compressive force. Illustrative forging standards include, but are not limited to, American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) specifications A668 and A788.

For purposes of this investigation, the term "steel" denotes metal containing the following chemical elements, by weight: (i) Iron greater than or equal to 60 percent; (ii) nickel less than or equal to 8.5 percent; (iii) copper less than or equal to 6 percent; (iv) chromium greater than or equal to 0.4 percent, but less than or equal to 20 percent; and (v) molybdenum greater than or equal to 0.15 percent, but less than or equal to 3 percent. Illustrative steel standards include, but are not limited to, American Iron and Steel Institute (AISI) or Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) grades 4130, 4135, 4140, 4320, 4330, 4340, 8630, 15–5, 17-4, F6NM, F22, F60, and XM25, as well as modified varieties of these grades.

The products covered by this investigation are: (1) Cut-to-length fluid end blocks with an actual height (measured from its highest point) of 8 inches (203.2 mm) to 40 inches (1,016.0 mm), an actual width (measured from its widest point) of 8 inches (203.2 mm) to 40 inches (1,016.0 mm), and an actual length (measured from its longest point) of 11 inches (279.4 mm) to 75 inches (1,905.0 mm); and (2) strings of fluid end blocks with an actual height (measured from its highest point) of 8 inches (203.2 mm) to 40 inches (1,016.0 mm), an actual width (measured from its widest point) of 8 inches (203.2 mm) to 40 inches (1,016.0 mm), and an actual length (measured from its longest point) up to 360 inches (9,144.0 mm).

The products included in the scope of this investigation have a tensile strength of at least 70 KSI (measured in accordance with ASTM A370) and a hardness of at least 140 HBW (measured in accordance with ASTM E10).

A fluid end block may be imported in finished condition (*i.e.*, ready for incorporation into a pump fluid end assembly without further finishing operations) or unfinished condition (*i.e.*,

forged but still requiring one or more finishing operations before it is ready for incorporation into a pump fluid end assembly). Such finishing operations may include: (1) Heat treating; (2) milling one or more flat surfaces; (3) contour machining to custom shapes or dimensions; (4) drilling or boring holes; (5) threading holes; and/or (6) painting, varnishing, or coating.

Excluded from the scope of this investigation are fluid end block assemblies which (1) include (a) plungers and related housings, adapters, gaskets, seals, and packing nuts, (b) valves and related seats, springs, seals, and cover nuts, and (c) a discharge flange and related seals, and (2) are otherwise ready to be mated with the "power end" of a hydraulic pump without the need for installation of any plunger, valve, or discharge flange components, or any other further manufacturing operations.

The products included in the scope of this investigation may enter under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 7218.91.0030, 7218.99.0030, 7224.90.0015, 7224.90.0045, 7326.19.0010, 7326.90.8688, or 8413.91.9055. While these HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Appendix II

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary

II. Background

III. Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences

IV. Subsidies Valuation

V. Analysis of Programs

VI. Analysis of Comments

Comment 1: Whether Commerce Properly Initiated This Investigation

Comment 2: Whether the Administrative Record of This Investigation Is Complete Comment 3: Whether Commerce Provided Sufficient Time To Review Its Post-

Preliminary Determination and Submit Case Briefs Comment 4: Whether the Application of

Adverse Facts Available (AFA) to the Federal Republic of Germany (FRG) for Certain Programs Is Warranted Comment 5: 2018 Special Equalization

Scheme—Reduced EEG 13 Surcharge
5a: Whether the EEG Program Constitutes
a Financial Contribution

5b: Whether the EEG Program Is Specific 5c: Whether Commerce's Calculation of the EEG Program Benefit Is Correct

Comment 6: Special Equalization Scheme: Reduced Surcharge Under the Combined Heat and Power Act (KWKG) 14

6a: Whether the KWKG Program
Constitutes a Financial Contribution
6b: Whether the KWKG Program Is Specific
6c: Whether the KWKG Program Confers a
Benefit

Comment 7: Offshore Surcharge Relief Program

 $^{^{\}rm 13}\,\rm Erneuerbare\text{-}Energien\text{-}Gesetz}$ (EEG) or Renewable Energy Resources Act.

¹⁴ Combined Heat and Power Act or Kraft-Wärme-Kopplungsgesetz.

- 7a: Whether the Offshore Surcharge Relief Program Constitutes a Financial Contribution
- 7b: Whether the Offshore Surcharge Relief Program Is Specific
- 7c: Whether the Offshore Surcharge Relief Program Confers a Benefit
- Comment 8: Whether the Concession Fee Ordinance ¹⁵ Relief Program Is Countervailable
- Comment 9: The Energy Tax Act (EnergieStG) ¹⁶ and Electricity Tax Act (StromStG) ¹⁷ Programs
- 9a: Whether Section 9a of the StromStG and Section 51 of the EnergieStG Are Specific
- 9b: Whether Section 9b and 10 of the StromStG Are Specific
- 9c: Whether Section 37 of the EnergieStG Is Specific
- Comment 10: Whether Commerce Should Find European Union (EU) Emissions Trading System (ETS) Countervailable
- Comment 11: Whether the EU ETS— Compensation of Indirect CO2 Costs Program Is Countervailable
- Comment 12: Whether the EU Research Fund for Coal and Steel (RFCS) Program Is Countervailable
- Comment 13: Whether Commerce Should Include Sales of Services in Calculating SWG's Subsidy Rate
- Comment 14: Whether Commerce Correctly
 Attributed BGH Siegen's Benefit

VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020–27335 Filed 12–10–20; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-891; A-580-904]

Forged Steel Fittings From India and the Republic of Korea: Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: Based on affirmative final determinations by the Department of Commerce (Commerce) and the International Trade Commission (ITC), Commerce is issuing antidumping duty orders on forged steel fittings from India and the Republic of Korea (Korea).

DATES: Applicable December 11, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Caitlin Monks, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2670.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.210(c), on October 19, 2020, Commerce published its final affirmative determinations in the lessthan-fair-value (LTFV) investigations of forged steel fittings from India and Korea.¹ On November 25, 2020, the ITC notified Commerce of its final affirmative determinations that an industry in the United States is materially injured within the meaning of section 735(b)(1)(A)(i) of the Act, by reason of LTFV imports of forged steel fittings from India and Korea.²

Scope of the Orders

The products covered by these orders are forged steel fittings from India and Korea. For a complete description of the scope of the orders, *see* the Appendix to this notice.

Antidumping Duty Orders

On November 25, 2020, in accordance with sections 735(b)(1)(A)(i) and 735(d) of the Act, the ITC notified Commerce of its final determinations in this investigation, in which it found that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of forged steel fittings from India and Korea.³ Therefore, in accordance with section 735(c)(2) of the Act, Commerce is issuing these antidumping duty orders. Because the ITC determined that imports of forged steel fittings from India and Korea are materially injuring a U.S. industry, unliquidated entries of such merchandise from India and Korea, entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption, are subject to the assessment of antidumping duties.

As a result of the ITC's final affirmative determinations, in accordance with section 736(a)(1) of the Act, Commerce will direct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess, upon further instruction by Commerce, antidumping duties equal to the amount by which the normal value of the merchandise exceeds the export price or

constructed export price of the merchandise, for all relevant entries of forged steel fittings from India.

Antidumping duties will be assessed on unliquidated entries of forged steel fittings from India and Korea entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after May 28, 2020, the date of publication of the *Preliminary Determinations*, 4 but will not include entries occurring after the expiration of the provisional measures period and before publication of the ITC's final injury determination, as further described below.

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 736 of the Act, Commerce will instruct CBP to continue to suspend liquidation of all relevant entries of forged steel fittings from India and Korea, as described in the Appendix of this notice, which are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the ITC's notice of final determination in the **Federal Register**. These instructions suspending liquidation will remain in effect until further notice. Because the rate for Shakti Forge Industries Pvt. Ltd (Shakti) ⁵ is zero, we will not instruct CBP to suspend liquidation of entries of subject merchandise produced and exported by Shakti or to require cash deposits on such entries. Entries of subject merchandise exported to the United States by any other producer/ exporter combination, e.g., merchandise produced by a third party and exported by Shakti, or produced by Shakti and exported by a third party, are not entitled to this exclusion from suspension of liquidation and are subject to the applicable cash deposit rates noted below.

Commerce will also instruct CBP to require cash deposits equal to the estimated weighted-average dumping margins included in the tables below. Accordingly, effective on the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of the ITC's final affirmative injury determinations, CBP will require, at the same time as importers would

¹⁵ Concession Fee Ordinance (Konzessionsabgabenverordung or KAV) Relief. ¹⁶ Energy Tax Act or Energiesteuergesetz (EnergieStG).

¹⁷ Electricity Tax Act or Stromsteuergesetz (StromStG).

¹ See Forged Steel Fittings from India: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, 85 FR 66306 (October 19, 2020); see also Forged Steel Fittings from the Republic of Korea: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, 85 FR 66302 (October 19, 2020).

² See ITC's Letter, "Notification of ITC Final Determinations," dated November 25, 2020.

³ See ITC Notification; see also Forged Steel Fittings from India and Korea (Inv. Nos. 701–TA– 631 and 731–TA–1463–1464 (Final), USITC Publication 5137, November 2020).

⁴ See Forged Steel Fittings from India: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less-Than-Fair-Value, Postponement of Final Determination, and Extension of Provisional Measures, 85 FR 32007 (May 28, 2020); see also Forged Steel Fittings from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less-Than-Fair-Value, Postponement of Final Determination, and Extension of Provisional Measures, 85 FR 32010 (May 28, 2020); (Preliminary Determinations).

⁵ Commerce has determined Shakti Forge Industries Pvt. Ltd and its affiliate Shakti Forge to constitute a single entity. See Preliminary Decision Memorandum.