

their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter with the subject merchandise. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

# **Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### **Administrative Protective Order**

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the term of an APO is a violation subject sanction.

## **Notification to Interested Parties**

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Dated: November 30, 2020.

# Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

### Appendix

# List of Companies Covered by This Review

- 1. Cangnan Color Make The Bag
- 2. Changle Baodu Plastic Co., Ltd.
- 3. First Way (H.K.) Limited
- 4. Han Shing Chemical Co., Ltd.
- 5. Jiangsu Hotson Plastics Co., Ltd.
- 6. Ningbo Yong Feng Packaging Co., Ltd.
- 7. Polywell Industrial Co.
- 8. Polywell Plastic Product Factory
- 9. Shandong Longxing Plastic Products Company Ltd.
- 10. Shandong Qikai Plastics Product Co., Ltd.
- 11. Shandong Qilu Plastic Fabric Group, Ltd.
- 12. Shandong Shouguang Jianyuan Chun Co., Ltd.
- 13. Shandong Youlian Co., Ltd.
- 14. Wenzhou Hotson Plastics Co., Ltd.
- 15. Zibo Aifudi Plastic Packaging Co., Ltd.
- 16. Zibo Linzi Luitong Plastic Fabric Co., Ltd.

- 17. Zibo Linzi Qitianli Plastic Fabric Co., Ltd. 18. Zibo Linzi Shuaiqiang Plastics Co., Ltd.
- 19. Zibo Linzi Worun Packing Product Co., Ltd.
- 20. Zibo Qigao Plastic Cement Co., Ltd.
- [FR Doc. 2020–26831 Filed 12–4–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-570-900]

Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Second Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** As a result of this sunset review, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof (diamond sawblades) from the People's Republic of China (China) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping as indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable December 7, 2020. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher Williams or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5166 or (202) 482–1690, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Background**

The antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades from China was published on November 4, 2009.¹ In accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i) and (ii), Commerce received a notice of intent to participate in this sunset review from Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition (the petitioner) within 15 days after the date of publication of the *Initiation Notice*.² The petitioner claimed interested party status under sections 771(9)(C) and (F) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Commerce received an adequate substantive response to the *Initiation* 

Notice from the domestic interested party within the 30-day period specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). Commerce received no substantive response from any respondent interested parties. In accordance with section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce conducted an expedited, *i.e.*, 120-day, sunset review of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades from China.

# Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is diamond sawblades. The diamond sawblades subject to the order are currently classifiable under subheadings 8202 to 8206 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) and may also enter under 6804.21.00. While the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>3</sup>

# **Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping in the event of revocation and the magnitude of dumping margins likely to prevail if the order was revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be found at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/ index.html. The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

#### **Final Results of Sunset Review**

Pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752(c) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China and the Republic of Korea: Antidumping Duty Orders, 74 FR 57145 (November 4, 2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review, 85 FR 47185 (August 4, 2020) (Initiation Notice).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

at weighted-average margins up to 164.09 percent.

#### **Notification to Interested Parties**

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a). Timely written notification of the destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Commerce is issuing and publishing the final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

Dated: November 30, 2020.

## Jeffrey I. Kessler,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

# Appendix

# List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary

II. Background

III. Scope of the Order

IV. History of the Order

V. Legal Framework

VI. Discussion of the Issues

VII. Final Results of Second Expedited

Sunset Review VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2020–26828 Filed 12–4–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# International Trade Administration

[A-570-895]

Certain Crepe Paper Products From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Third Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain crepe paper products from the People's Republic of China (China) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice.

**DATES:** Applicable December 7, 2020. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert Galantucci, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2923.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

On January 25, 2005, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the AD order on crepe paper products from China.<sup>1</sup> On August 4, 2020, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the third sunset review of the Order, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).2 On August 10, 2020, Commerce received a notice of intent to participate from Seaman Paper Company of Massachusetts, Inc. (Seaman Paper), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).3 Seaman Paper claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a producer of the domestic like product in the United States.

On September 3, 2020, Commerce received an adequate substantive response to the Initiation Notice from Seaman Paper within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).4 We received no substantive responses from respondent interested parties. On September 30, 2020. Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission that it did not receive an adequate substantive response from respondent interested parties.<sup>5</sup> As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the Order.

# Scope of the Order

For purposes of the *Order*, the term "certain crepe paper" includes crepe paper products that have a basis weight not exceeding 29 grams per square meter prior to being creped and, if appropriate, flame-proofed. Crepe paper has a finely wrinkled surface texture and typically but not exclusively is treated to be flame-retardant. Crepe paper is typically but not exclusively

produced as streamers in roll form and packaged in plastic bags. Crepe paper may or may not be bleached, dye colored, surface-colored, surface decorated or printed, glazed, sequined, embossed, die-cut, and/or flame retardant. Subject crepe paper may be rolled, flat or folded, and may be packaged by banding or wrapping with paper, by placing in plastic bags, and/ or by placing in boxes for distribution and use by the ultimate consumer. Packages of crepe paper subject to this order may consist solely of crepe paper of one color and/or style, or may contain multiple colors and/or styles.

The merchandise subject to this order does not have specific classification numbers assigned to them under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Subject merchandise may be entered under one or more of several different HTSUS subheadings, including: 4802.30; 4802.54; 4802.61; 4802.62; 4802.69; 4804.39; 4806.40; 4808.30; 4808.90; 4811.90; 4818.90; 4823.90; 9505.90.40. The tariff classifications are provided for convenience and customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

#### **Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum,6 which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum are (1) the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and (2) the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the Order were revoked. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/ *frn/.* The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

#### **Final Results of Sunset Review**

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the *Order* would likely lead to the continuation or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Crepe Paper from the People's Republic of China, 70 FR 3509 (January 25, 2005) (Order).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews, 85 FR 47185 (August 4, 2020) (Initiation Notice).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Seaman Paper's Letter, "Certain Crepe Paper from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Intent to Participate in Review," dated August 10, 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Seaman Paper's Letter, "Certain Crepe Paper from China: Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation of Sunset Review," dated September 3, 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Commerce's Letter, "Sunset Reviews Initiated on August 4, 2020," dated September 30, 2020

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited Third Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Crepe Paper from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).