UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

VERTICAL METAL FILE CABINETS FROM CHINA
) 701-TA-623 AND 731-TA-1449
) (PRELIMINARY)

Pages: 1 - 82

Place: Washington, D.C. Date: Tuesday, May 21, 2019



Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

Stenotype Reporters
1625 I Street, NW
Suite 790
Washington, D.C. 20006
202-347-3700
Nationwide Coverage
www.acefederal.com

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	BEFORE THE
3	INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF:) Investigation Nos.:
6	VERTICAL METAL FILE CABINETS) 701-TA-623 AND 731-TA-1449
7	FROM CHINA) (PRELIMINARY)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Main Hearing Room (Room 101)
13	U.S. International Trade
14	Commission
15	500 E Street, SW
16	Washington, DC
17	Tuesday, May 21, 2019
18	
19	The meeting commenced pursuant to notice at 9:30
20	a.m., before the Investigative Staff of the United States
21	International Trade Commission, Nannette Christ, Director of
22	Investigations, presiding.
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	Staff:
3	William R. Bishop, Supervisory Hearings and
4	Information Officer
5	Tyrell T. Burch, Management Analyst
6	
7	Nannette Christ, Director of Investigations
8	Craig Thomsen, Supervisory Investigator
9	Jessica Oliva, Investigator
10	Karl Tsuji, International Trade Analyst
11	Pamela Davis, International Economist
12	Samuel Varela-Molina, Accountant/Auditor
13	Robin Turner, Attorney/Advisor
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 APPEARANCES: 2 Opening Remarks: In Support of Imposition (Kathleen W. Cannon, Kelley Drye & 3 4 Warren LLP) 5 In Support of the Imposition of Antidumping and 6 7 Countervailing Duty Orders: Kelley Drye & Warren LLP 8 Washington, DC 9 10 on behalf of Hirsh Industries LLC 11 12 Thomas Bailey, President and CEO, Hirsh Industries LLC 13 Brandon Wetterberg, Director of Product Management, Hirsh Industries LLC 14 15 Dave Jensen, Vice President for Sales, Hirsch Industries LLC 16 17 Michael T. Kerwin, Assistant Director, Georgetown 18 Economic Services LLC 19 William B. Hudgens, Senior Trade Analyst, Georgetown Economic Services LLC 2.0 21 Kathleen W. Cannon, R. Alan Luberda and Joshua R. Morey 22 - Of Counsel

In Support of Imposition (Kathleen W. Cannon, Kelley Drye &

23

24

25

Rebuttal/Closing Remarks:

Warren LLP)

1	I N D E X	
2		Page
3	In Support of Imposition (Kathleen W. Cannon, Kelley Dr	ye &
4	Warren LLP)	6
5		
6	Thomas Bailey, President and CEO, Hirsh Industries LLC	10
7		
8	Brandon Wetterberg, Director of Product Management, Hir	sh
9	Industries LLC	18
10		
11	Joshua R. Morey, Kelley Drye & Warren LLP	24
12		
13	In Support of Imposition (Kathleen W. Cannon, Kelley Dr	ye &
14	Warren LLP)	80
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
> F		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	9:31 a.m.
3	MR. BISHOP: Will the room please come to order?
4	MS. CHRIST: Good morning and welcome to the U.S.
5	International Trade Commission's conference in connection
6	with the preliminary phase of Antidumping and Countervailing
7	Duty Investigation Nos. 701-TA-623 and 701-TA-1449
8	concerning vertical metal file cabinets from China.
9	My name is Nannette Christ. I am the Director of
10	Investigations and I will preside at this conference. Among
11	those present from the Commission Staff are from my far
12	right: Craig Thompson the Supervisory Investigator, Jessica
13	Oliva the Investigator, Robin Turner the Attorney Advisor,
14	Pamela Davis the Economist, Sam Varela-Molina the Accountant
15	Auditor and Karl Tsuji the Industry Analyst.
16	I understand that parties are aware of the time
17	allocations.
18	Any questions regarding the time allocations
19	should be addressed with the Secretary. I would remind
20	speakers not to refer in your remarks to business
21	proprietary information and to speak directly into the
22	microphones.
23	We also ask that you state your name and
24	affiliation for the record before beginning your
25	presentation or answering questions for the benefit of the

1 court reporter. All witnesses must be sworn in before presenting testimony. Are there any questions? Mr. 2 Secretary, are there any preliminary matters? 3 4 MR. BISHOP: No, Madam Chairman. 5 MS. CHRIST: Thank you very much, Mr. Secretary. 6 We will begin with opening remarks. MR. BISHOP: Opening remarks on behalf of those in support of imposition will be given by Kathleen W. Cannon 8 9 of Kelley, Drye and Warren. Ms. Cannon, you have five 10 minutes. 11 STATEMENT OF KATHLEEN W. CANNON 12 MS. CANNON: Thank you. Good morning Ms. Christ 13 and Members of the Commission Staff. I'm Kathleen Cannon of 14 Kelley Drye appearing today on behalf of the Petitioner 15 Hirsch Industries. 16 Our case today involves a new product to the 17 Commission but a story you have heard many times. Yet another U.S. Industry is struggling to stay alive due to 18 19 unfairly traded import competition from China. The product 2.0 at issue today is vertical metal file cabinets. You likely 21 have these cabinets in a file room here at the Commission. 22 We certainly have plenty of them in our law offices. 23 As our witnesses will discuss, vertical files are

freestanding metal storage units. They are used to file and

store documents and permit ready retrieval of the paper

24

Τ	documents. Vertical files are generally produced from
2	cold-rolled steel or corrosion resistant steel.
3	As fabricated steel products, vertical files have
4	benefitted from the largess of the Chinese government which
5	subsidizes and encourages the export of these downstream
6	fabricated steel products. Unfortunately, those exports
7	have had a devastating effect on the competing Domestic
8	Industry.
9	The Petitioner, Hirsh Industries, is the largest
10	manufacturer of vertical files in the United States. It has
11	a highly efficient production operation and takes pride in
12	the quality and in the innovations it has developed and
13	implemented. Despite its efficient operations Hirsch has
14	been increasingly unable to compete with the unfairly
15	low-priced imports from China, leading it to file this trade
16	action.
17	As you have seen in other cases involving
18	fabricated steel products, the Chinese Producers are able to
19	produce products that are interchangeable with the U.S.
20	Product and that meet the specifications of U.S. Customers,
21	but they price those products at absurdly low levels in an
22	attempt to gain U.S. market share.
23	That market share, of course, comes at the
24	expense of competing U.S. Producers. That exact scenario
2.5	has accurred in the westigal files industry. As the record

1 data show, the Chinese vertical file producers have been able to increase sales to the U.S. Market significantly 2 since 2016. 3 4 China accounts for a sizeable share of the U.S. 5 Market and that share has grown significantly from 2016 to 6 2018. Notably, those increasing import volumes took place while demand for this product has declined modestly. Vertical files is a mature category and demand 8 9 has been in a slow decline that has continued over the past 10 few years. Chinese Producers nonetheless increased exports to the market resulting in displaced Domestic Industry sales 11 12 and market share as well as reductions in non-subject import 13 market share. 14 How did that rapid import market penetration by 15 China occur? Based on low prices that undercut U.S. 16 Producer prices as you've seen in many other cases. The 17 underselling by China has caused U.S. Producers to lose sales and has placed downward pressure on U.S. Producer's 18 19 prices. There are a relatively small number of major 20 purchasers of vertical files in the United States, so losing 21 any account is significant. 22 Hirsch lost a major account to unfair imports from china that undercut its price in 2018, leading to 23 24 significant trade and financial declines. Even those

accounts that remain loyal to Hirsch pressure its pricing as

1	they are aware of competing offers from China.
2	At a time of rising costs, Hirsch has not been
3	able to increase its prices as needed due to the import
4	pricing pressures leading to price suppression and declining
5	profits. Nor is Hirsch the only U.S. Producer experiencing
6	these problems. You have received questionnaire responses
7	from other U.S. Producers that document similar injury
8	caused by low unfairly traded prices of Subject Imports.
9	The decline in the industry's overall trade and
10	financial variables in just two years has been severe.
11	Production, shipment, employment, net sales and
12	profitability have all plummeted. Capacity utilization in
13	this industry is at an appallingly low level. Information
14	we have gathered on first quarter 2019 performance shows
15	that those declines are continuing and growing even worse.
16	Perhaps it is knowledge of the compelling facts
17	showing injury here that has led to no party appearing to us
18	in opposition today. There is no question that this injury
19	will continue if left unchecked. Chinese Producers of
20	vertical files have enormous capacity. They are
21	export-focused and the U.S. Market is a major target of
22	those exports.
23	The Chinese government continues to subsidize the
24	production of these files and encourage their export. The
25	IIS tariffs that have been imposed to date on China have

Τ	not prevented imports from increasing or the resulting
2	injury to this industry. Relief is badly needed to prevent
3	the vertical files industry from becoming yet another in a
4	long line of U.S. Industries that have gone out of business
5	due to unfairly traded imports from China.
6	We urge the Commission to issue an affirmative
7	preliminary determination as the first step toward restoring
8	fair trade conditions in this market. Thank you.
9	MR. BISHOP: Thank you, Ms. Cannon. Madam
10	Chairman, the Panel in support of the imposition of
11	antidumping and countervailing duty orders have been seated.
12	All witnesses on this panel have been sworn in. This Panel
13	has 60 minutes for their direct testimony.
14	MS. CHRIST: Thank you. Welcome to all the Panel
15	members. Please begin when ready.
16	STATEMENT OF THOMAS BAILEY
17	MR. BAILEY: Good morning. My name is Tom Bailey
18	and I am the President and CEO of Hirsch Industries, LLC.
19	I've been with Hirsch and involved in the vertical metal
20	file cabinets industry since 2014. Hirsch is the largest
21	producer of vertical files in the United States. We have a
22	substantial production facility in Dover, Delaware and our
23	corporate headquarters are located in West Des Moines, Iowa,
24	which is where our precursor company got its start nearly a
2.5	contury ago in 1024

1	I'm here this morning to discuss our motivations
2	for filing this case and the destructive impact that unfair
3	imports from China have had on my company and on our
4	industry.
5	I'm a big believer in free markets and generally
6	feel that healthy competition should be allowed to determine
7	who wins and who loses in the marketplace but it has become
8	apparent over the last few years that producers of Vertical
9	files in China do not play by the same rules as both we and
10	our domestic competitors do.
11	Hirsch has made a commitment to being an
12	efficient producer of vertical files. For decades now we
13	have made major capital investments in our Dover Facility in
14	order to automate a large portion of our production
15	processes. One of our big innovations was pioneering the
16	folding of cold-rolled steel for the cabinet shell and body,
17	which eliminated welds, increased throughput, and reduced
18	material usage and scrap.
19	As a result of our automation efforts we believe
20	that our Dover location is the most efficient facility
21	producing vertical files in the world. We know that the
22	Chinese Industry does not have any production advantages
23	over us and we should readily be able to compete with China
24	in our own home market. That has not proven to be the
25	and Over the last three wars we have seen Chinese

1	Imports offered at prices that dramatically undercut our
2	prices and that seem completely disconnected from the actual
3	cost to produce the product. As a result these imports have
4	taken large sales volumes from us and have held down prices
5	in the U.S. Market during a time of rising raw material
6	costs.
7	Vertical files are highly interchangeable
8	regardless of their manufacturer or country of origin so
9	price drives sales. While vertical files are sometimes
10	produced to an individual customer's specifications, these
11	do not vary significantly. Most Chinese vertical file
12	producers are capable of meeting U.S. customer
13	specifications.
14	In fact, Chinese Producers have produced
15	prototypes of our Hirsch products to physically demonstrate
16	to our customers that they can meet the exact same
17	specifications as our vertical files we produce for them and
18	at a substantial discount in relation to Hirsch's pricing.
19	There are a relatively small number of purchasers
20	such as big box retailers that account for the vast majority
21	of the purchases of vertical files in the U.S. Market.
22	Concentration of the purchaser base has increased in recent
23	years with a notable development occurring at the beginning
24	of 2019.
25	A major retailer of office supplies purchased and

Τ	merged with one of the largest distributors of office
2	supplies. This concentration of purchasers has had a
3	notable impact in terms of price pressures in the market.
4	In fact, just a few weeks ago in April this new combined
5	company sent out a joint announcement that they expect
6	suppliers to significantly reduce prices via increased
7	allowances and to make these retroactive to January 1, 2019
8	As you have seen from the information we have
9	placed on the record, in early 2018 Hirsch lost nearly all
10	of its sales of vertical files at one of the major U.S.
11	office product retail accounts to imports from China. This
12	customer switch was done strictly on the basis of price.
13	While we were given no advance notice of this
14	change we were later told expressly that Chinese Imports
15	were priced well below Hirsch's products. Because of this
16	customer's size that lost sale has had a devastating impact
17	on Hirsch's sales and profitability on vertical files.
18	Due to the intense level of competition in the
19	marketplace and common knowledge among purchasers of the
20	prices being offered by Chinese Imports, the move by this
21	major account to source its vertical files using imports
22	from China has generally also resulted in price pressures at
23	essentially all of our large accounts. Even accounts that
24	have remained loyal to Hirsch to date have explored their
25	options in potentially sourcing their vertical files from

1 China. Thus they have firsthand information on the pricing being offered on the Chinese Imports. 2 3 We have great customers and we work hard to keep 4 them happy and provide a higher level of service. In fact, 5 Hirsch won a highly coveted award in March 2019 by one of our largest customers, a market-leading distributor in North 6 America for outstanding service across all of their product categories last year. We were voted their top overall 8 9 supply out of over a total of 400 suppliers. 10 But our good relationships with our customers do not prevent them from placing pricing pressure on us in our 11 sales negotiations on vertical files. Frankly we understand 12 13 why they place these pressures on us because they are facing 14 similar price competition themselves. Their ultimate 15 customer sees the pricing that could be offered by office 16 supply dealers that are buying low-priced Chinese Imports. 17 Our customers have no option but to come close to those prices if they want to continue to make sales of 18 19 vertical files. To make that happen they in turn pressure 2.0 us to reduce our prices or when costs increase, not to 21 increase our prices. So price pressures from China imports 22 affect our sales even inn accounts that continue to purchase from Hirsch. These developments are not sustainable. 23 2.4 As you can see in the data reported by my 25 company, the increasing volumes of low-priced imports from

1	China have caused Hirsch to suffer major declines in
2	production, capacity utilization, production workers,
3	shipments and operating profits. Our company actually
4	showed an operating loss on our production and sales of
5	vertical files in two of the last three quarters, the first
6	time that has happened in many, many years.
7	As our multiple incurred costs have increased
8	over the last couple of years we have been unable to obtain
9	sufficient price increases to recover costs. We have been
10	facing stiff price competition from Chinese Imports for a
11	number of years with China undercutting our prices and
12	causing us to lose sales and profits.
13	For example, in early 2017 we were pressured to
14	forgo a contractually agreed price increase due to
15	competition from Chinese Imports. The problem became even
16	more acute after imposition of the Section 232 tariffs on
17	steel in 2018. These tariffs increase our costs for
18	cold-rolled steel. Because of the underselling of the
19	competing Chinese Imports, we have not been able to increase
20	prices for our vertical files to the level necessary to
21	cover these higher costs.
22	substantial decline in our profitability.
23	Customers are not willing to accept price
24	increases when Chinese Imports are maintaining or even
25	reducing prices seemingly without any connection to their

1 underlying costs. Just last year we attempted to get a price increase with one of our customers in order to cover 2 rising input costs. This customer responded that they were 3 4 not accepting any pricing increases and that the buyer who 5 would be making the ultimate decision was actually traveling in China. 6 Again, purchasers know full well what prices are being offered by the Chinese Producers and they use that 8 9 knowledge to suppress Hirsch's prices. I should also note 10 that the 10 percent duty that was imposed on imports of 11 metal file cabinets under the Section 301 announcement by 12 the president has not allowed us to gain back any market 13 share or get prices up to realistic levels. 14 Because the Chinese government has reduced the 15 value of its currency and Chinese Producers have acted to 16 absorb the duties, the price increase and tariffs has been 17 essentially erased. After the filing of our Petition the 18 President announced that he would be increasing the Section 19 301 tariffs to 25 percent but it is too soon to know what 2.0 affect that change may or may not have on the market place 21 or for how long those tariffs will remain in place. 22 Importantly, the threat of 25 percent duties has been looming since last fall but we have received no new 23 24 inquiries for vertical files as a result of that threat.

Hirsch Industries is not a large, diversified company. Our

Τ	biggest product is vertical metal file cabinets and office
2	storage solutions are the foundation of our company.
3	We do not have other divisions that can help to
4	bring up the overall profitability of our company or other
5	markets to see us through the tough times of vertical files.
6	Our company really lives and dies based on this products
7	success so we cannot sustain any lengthy period of operating
8	losses on vertical files.
9	Hirsch has done a lot to keep our operations
10	efficient and competitive. We are an innovator in our market
11	and we have made capital investments to streamline and
12	automate our production processes to keep costs down. We
13	value our employees and encourage their suggestions for
14	methods to improve profitability but these efforts have not
15	been sufficient to keep us from losing market share to
16	unfairly traded Chinese Imports or from being forced to
17	sell at prices that fail to cover our costs.
18	We know that other U.S. Producers has either
19	drastically cut back their production of vertical files or
20	exited the business entirely. We are proud of the fact that
21	we have been able to remain in the U.S. Market for vertical
22	files but we cannot hold on for much longer.
23	Something must be done to remedy the unfair
24	imports pouring in from China and displacing our sales. We
25	need an improvement in II C. Market priging in order to

1	generate a reasonable return on our domestic operations. We
2	hope that the Commission will take action on the unfair
3	imports from China that are destroying our market and reach
4	an affirmative determination in this investigation.
5	Thank you for your time and attention. Those are
6	my remarks.
7	STATEMENT OF BRANDON WETTERBERG
8	MR. WETTERBERG: Brandon Wetterberg, Hirsh
9	Industries. Good morning. My name is Brandon Wetterberg. I
10	am the Director of Product Management for Hirsh Industries,
11	LLC.
12	I have been employed by Hirsh for 21 years. I
13	have overlapping responsibilities for product design,
14	marketing, and product engineering for Hirsh's vertical
15	metal file cabinets.
16	I would like to discuss with you the product and
17	industry that are the subject of this case and all of the
18	challenges we have faced trying to respond to the unfair
19	competition from imports of dumped and subsidized vertical
20	files from China over the last few years.
21	I think everybody in this room probably has
22	intuitive understanding of what a vertical metal file
23	cabinet is. I'm sure you've seen them in the back office or
24	storage area here at the Commission. Vertical files are
25	free-standing metal storage units designed for the filing,

_	organization, and ready retrieval or paper documents. Tou
2	can see a picture of a four-drawer vertical file on the
3	first page of the product handout we provided.
4	Consistent with this file storage and retrieval
5	purpose, vertical files have at least two extendable drawers
6	of a size that will allow for hanging folders to hold either
7	$8-1/2 \times 11$ inch letter-sized documents, or $8-1/2 \times 14$ inch
8	legal-sized documents. Thus, the cabinets are typically
9	going to be $14-1/4$ to $18-1/4$ inches wide. Each drawer will
10	also be 10 inches to 12 inches high to allow for file
11	folders to hang.
12	Vertical files are usually between 18 and 28-1/2
13	inches deep. As a picture on the second page of the product
14	handout shows, they typically come in models with two to
15	four file storage drawers. A four-drawer vertical file is
16	about 52 inches tall. So you can see why they're called
17	"vertical files" by everyone in the industry, because by
18	their nature they have a depth and a height that are each
19	greater than or equal to the width of the unit.
20	The tall, narrow design provides for efficient
21	document storage with a small footprint. These features
22	distinguish vertical files from other office storage units
23	that you may have also seen: lateral file cabinets and
24	pedestal storage units.
25	Lateral files are document and general office

1	storage units that are much wider than they are deep, and
2	therefore much wider than vertical files. Lateral files
3	typically have a width of 30 to 42 inches and tend to be
4	heavier duty to hold more weight, and more complex and labor
5	intensive to build.
6	The other metal office storage units you may have
7	seen are known as pedestal cabinets. Pedestals are
8	flexible, multi-purpose metal storage cabinets. Rather than
9	just serving as functional document storage, pedestals are
10	typically made to be office furniture, apart from an office
11	furniture system. Under industry standards, they are no
12	more than 31 inches in height, and they're most often much
13	lower than that. This is because they are made to fit
14	under, hang from, or support a desk or other work surface.
15	Because they are designed as office furniture for
16	multi-purpose storage in conjunction with a desk, they
17	typically have only one, or even no file size drawers.
18	Instead, they often have a number of smaller drawers known
19	as box or pencil drawers for storage of personal belongings
20	and other office supplies at the desk side.
21	Pedestal units are commonly not free-standing.
22	Many pedestals are made with open tops because they are
23	meant to attach to a desk. When they are free-standing,
24	they often come with padded seat tops. Again, pedestals are
25	an office furniture product designed for multi-purpose work

1	station storage and may or may not include file storage.
2	While Hirsh manufactures vertical files, lateral
3	files, and pedestals, these are all produced on different
4	equipment, using different manufacturing processes. The
5	production of pedestals, for example, is much less automated
6	and more labor intensive than vertical file production.
7	Vertical files, lateral files, and pedestals are
8	also each advertised and sold as separate product. If you
9	look at our website and those of our domestic competitors,
10	you will see that each of those office storage products is
11	identified in separate product groupings.
12	The third and fourth pages of the product handout
13	shows examples from the websites of Hirsh and Han
14	distinguishing these different products.
15	The same product differentiation is true with our
16	customers. You can go to the websites for Staples or Amazon
17	for example and see that they too distinguish between
18	vertical files, lateral files, and pedestals. We provided
19	those examples at the end of our product handout.
20	Finally, this differentiation of product types is
21	also consistent with the separate treatment of these
22	products by the standard-setting entity for business
23	furniture, the Business and Institutional Furniture
24	Manufacturers Association commonly known as BIFMA.
25	As Tom testified Hirsh is a leading producer in

Τ	the vertical file industry and is the largest producer of
2	vertical files in the United States. Hirsh produces
3	vertical files at our Dover, Delaware, plant. The lines on
4	which we produce vertical files do not produce other
5	products.
6	We are very proud of the high quality of our
7	products and our innovations in the production process. The
8	lines on which we produce vertical files are fast, highly
9	automated, capable of producing multiple units per minute.
10	That high efficiency in production innovation, however, has
11	not prevented unfairly traded imports from China from
12	harming Hirsh's vertical file business.
13	Chinese vertical file cabinets started arriving
14	in the U.S. market in larger numbers. They did so at prices
15	substantially lower than Hirsh's pricing. For awhile, our
16	high quality, excellent customer service, product
17	innovation, strong customer relationships allowed us to keep
18	most of our business.
19	Circumstances have changed rapidly over the last
20	couple of years, however. Price has become singularly
21	important in the purchasing decisions, given the very low
22	Chinese pricing. That focus on price is shifting purchasers
23	away from Hirsh and toward Chinese imports.
24	Our customers now frequently shop for vertical
25	files in China. Many even maintain purchasing offices in

1	China that allow them to go directly to the producers of
2	these Chinese vertical files.
3	One of our major customers required us to send
4	our product packaging to China so they could set a
5	merchandise plan-o-gram for their vertical file offerings.
6	This let the Chinese suppliers know the shelf space for
7	which they were competing for vertical files, and with whom
8	they were competing.
9	Another major customer recently told us that one
10	of the major Chinese producers of vertical files already
11	supplying the U.S. market had a complete lineup of Hirsh
12	vertical files and prepared to quote prices for the entire
13	line. Losing the entire line of products of a major
14	customer would be devastating to our business.
15	We also know that our customers, whether they are
16	traveling to China or not, generally are buying vertical
17	files directly from the Chinese manufacturers and not
18	through importer middlemen. These direct imports cut out
19	middlemen expenses and make it all the more inexpensive to
20	the customer. It also makes it all the more difficult for
21	Hirsh to compete on the basis of price.
22	You can see from our 2018 results that we have
23	suffered significant declines in U.S. production and
24	shipments, and we are being forced to sell at prices that
25	are causing us to lose money in order to compete with China.

1	A decline in volume was not the result of decline
2	in demand. While there has been a slow erosion of demand
3	for filing cabinets over the long term due to slowly
4	declining office paper consumption, we have not seen any
5	significant decline over the last few years.
6	There's still a significant market for vertical
7	files. Hirsh has ample and increasingly idle capacity that
8	we are unable to use due to the surge in unfairly traded
9	imports from China. The significant decline in sales we saw
10	last year was the result of our customers shifting to
11	low-priced imports from China. That is the problem we need
12	to address and why we are here today.
13	Thank you.
14	STATEMENT OF JOSHUA R. MOREY
15	MR. MOREY: Good morning. My name is Josh Morey
16	of the law firm, Kelley Drye & Warren, and I am here today
17	representing the petitioner, Hirsh Industries, LLC. I will
18	discuss a few legal points, largely focused on the domestic
19	like product, and briefly on threat of material injury.
20	The scope of this case covers vertical files,
21	which are metal file cabinets that are less than 25" wide
22	and have two or more extendable storage elements, or
23	drawers, that are sized for storing documents. The
24	Commission's six-factor test demonstrates that the domestic
25	like product should mirror the scope

1	As Mr. Wetterberg just testified, vertical files
2	are produced from cold-rolled steel or other metals and have
3	two or more drawers sized to permit hanging files. All
4	vertical files are also less than 25" wide. Additionally,
5	consistent with BIFMA's definition of vertical files, all
6	vertical files in the market today have a depth that is
7	greater than or equal to their width.
8	All vertical files are also freestanding. This
9	means that vertical files are not designed to be attached to
10	a desk or wall, but stand up on their own. The primary use
11	of all vertical files is the storage and retrieval of legal
12	and lawyer-sized documents.
13	Vertical files are produced in the United States
14	using a largely automated manufacturing process that
15	involves slitting, bending and punching of metal. The lines
16	that are used to produce the vertical files at Hirsh are
17	dedicated to the production of this product. No other
18	products, including other types of file cabinets, are
19	produced on these lines. Additionally, the employees
20	involved in the assembly and packaging stages of the process
21	are trained specifically to produce vertical files.
22	Based on their differing physical characteristics
23	and uses, vertical files are not interchangeable with other
24	metal storage products. As previously noted, vertical files
25	are deeper than they are wide. This is in contrast to

1	lateral files, which are much wider and, as such, do not fit
2	in the same spaces.
3	Additionally, vertical files are used primarily
4	for storing and retrieving documents. Certain other types
5	of metal storage, such as pedestals, are used to store a
6	variety of office materials and may also be used as seating.
7	All vertical files are sold through the same
8	channels of distribution. They are sold to big box office
9	equipment and office supply stores and other
10	brick-and-mortar and online retail outlets.
11	Producers and consumers perceive vertical files
12	to be a single product line. As Mr. Wetterberg showed you
13	just a few minutes ago, producers and retailers advertise
14	vertical files separately from other types of filing and
15	organizing metal furniture. Moreover, consumers distinguish
16	vertical files from other types of metal storage based on
17	the size and shape of vertical files, as well as the primary
18	purpose of storing and retrieving legal and letter-sized
19	documents.
20	Vertical files are also priced along a continuum,
21	depending upon the number of drawers and features included
22	in the model. Other types of file cabinets tend to be
23	higher priced than vertical files. Accordingly, vertical
24	files are a single domestic like product.
25	Based on a like product definition that mirrors

1	the scope, the domestic industry consists of all U.S.
2	producers of vertical files. There are two major U.S.
3	producers of vertical files, the petitioner and the HON
4	Company, LLC. Additionally, to the best of the petitioner's
5	knowledge, there are nine other companies that produce a
6	small number of vertical files in the United States.
7	Several of the non-petitioning companies have
8	expressed support for this case and have reported indicia of
9	injury by imports in their responses as well. Petitioner is
10	not aware of any related party issues that would support the
11	exclusion of any U.S. producers from the domestic industry.
12	As Ms. Cannon will describe, the preliminary
13	record presents a clear case of present material injury.
14	The factors that would support a finding of threat of
15	material injury are also present. Chinese producers have
16	massive capacity to produce vertical files, and this
17	capacity is only increasing. The Chinese industry is also
18	export-oriented and has demonstrated an ability to rapidly
19	penetrate the U.S. market.
20	Additionally, subject vertical files are already
21	entering the U.S. market at prices that are having
22	significant depressing and suppressing effects on domestic
23	prices, and they are likely to continue to do so absent

Lastly, Chinese producers of vertical files

24

25

trade relief.

Τ	benefit heavily from subsidies that meet the statutory
2	threat criteria. These subsidies only encourage Chinese
3	producers to further increase their exports to the United
4	States. As a result, the preliminary record supports a
5	determination that vertical files from China present a
6	threat of material injury to the domestic injury.
7	Finally, a brief word about American Lamb. The
8	record in this preliminary phase of the investigation is
9	significantly lacking in importer and foreign producer
10	responses. This weighs significantly in favor of an
11	affirmative determination at this early stage of the
12	investigation.
13	Only domestic producers have provided the agency
14	with adequate data from which the Commission could make a
15	determination. As a result, the Commission should rely on
16	information provided by the domestic industry to make its
17	preliminary determination with respect to issues such as
18	negligibility and threat, as that is the best information
19	available. Thank you.
20	MS. CANNON: For the record, I'm Kathleen Cannon
21	and I will conclude our presentation today by addressing the
22	statutory injury factors that provide a reasonable
23	indication the domestic vertical files' industry has
24	suffered material injury here. You should each have
25	received a mink handout that contains confidential charts

_	I will be lefelling to those in my testimony today.
2	Let's begin with the statutory negligibility and
3	volume factors. As indicated in our petition, vertical
4	files are included in an HTS tariff category that covers all
5	metal filing cabinets. Mr. Wetterberg identified the three
6	basic types of file cabinets: verticals, laterals and
7	pedestals. We estimate that roughly one-third of the
8	imports in the tariff category are vertical files. That
9	estimated level and the trends are consistent with Hirsh's
10	experience in the market.
11	Typically, where a basket category is involved,
12	the Commission will rely on importer questionnaire data in
13	lieu of official statistics to calculate volumes. Here,
14	however, the responses to your questionnaire from the
15	importers are extremely limited. Accordingly, relying on
16	the importer questionnaires provides no basis to assess
17	import volume levels or trends from China. Under the
18	circumstances, the best data available to the Commission on
19	import volumes are the official statistics adjusted to
20	account for the product at issue.
21	Chart 1 in your handout shows our calculation of
22	negligibility ratios, based on the official statistics.
23	Those statistics reflect only values, so we also made
24	adjustments to obtain estimated volumes. As you see in the
25	most recent twelve months. China accounted for a substantial

1	share of the total volume of imports in this category.
2	Imports from China well surpassed the 3% threshold for
3	negligibility.
4	Chart 2 shows that import volumes from China are
5	also significant on an absolute basis. As our pie graph
6	indicates, China again accounts for a significant share of
7	total imports.
8	As shown in Chart 3, the volume of imports from
9	China has been increasing rapidly over the past two years.
10	Official statistics show a very significant rate of growth
11	between 2016 and 2018.
12	As you see in Chart 4, China's share of the U.S.
13	market has also grown rapidly from 2016 to 2018. From a
14	significant base in 2016, unfair imports grabbed substantial
15	additional market share by 2018. A double-digit percentage
16	rate of growth. The statutory factors that address whether
17	subject import volumes are significant on an absolute or
18	relative basis, or are increasing on an absolute or relative
19	basis, are all met in this case.
20	Notably, these import inroads are occurring
21	despite declining demand in the U.S. market. As Chart 5
22	demonstrates, as demand fell, subject imports rose. That
23	allowed them to capture a larger share of a smaller pie.
24	These market share gains were obtained through
25	unfairly low pricing by the subject imports the next

1 statutory factor. As is true of other steel products and steel fabricated products you have reviewed, the vertical 2 files market is price-driven. You heard Mr. Bailey testify 3 4 as to the interchangeability of the products from the United 5 States and China, and the result of price-based nature of 6 sales. You also heard testimony as to the significant volume of sales that Hirsh lost to a major U.S. customer 8 9 just last year because its price was undercut by a major 10 Chinese supplier of vertical files. Other U.S. producers similarly reported in questionnaires that they are suffering 11 12 injurious effects due to the low prices of vertical file 13 imports from China. 14 Data you have received in response to importer 15 questionnaires on price is not only sparse, but none of the 16 quarterly pricing data provided to date so far have matching the price descriptors. So those data are unusable. We are 17 hoping additional pricing data will be submitted by 18 19 importers so you can see the underselling the U.S. producers 2.0 have experienced. 21 I would like to highlight the comments by one 22 responding producer, as shown on Chart 6 of our handout, that corroborate both the increased volume of imports from 23 24 China and the lower prices of those imports. Further, we 25 fully expect that once the quarterly data are submitted by

1	importers, you will see a significant degree of direct
2	import sales of vertical files. Direct sales by importers
3	are an important condition of competition that the
4	Commission has seen increasingly in industries of this type
5	where the importers are big box stores or similar large
6	retail purchasers.
7	To our best knowledge, the vast majority of
8	imports of vertical files are made directly to the retailer
9	by the foreign producer. As Mr. Wetterberg testified, the
10	price the purchaser compares to U.S. producer prices are not
11	prices offered by a middleman distributor, as you see in
12	some cases, but are the prices that are offered by the
13	foreign producers directly themselves.
14	A direct import approach by purchasers is a
15	matter of simple economics. They don't have to pay a markup
16	by another importer or selling agent if they import
17	directly. Just as the purchasers compare the foreign
18	producer prices to the U.S. producer prices in making buying
19	decisions, so, too, should the Commission analyzing
20	underselling assuming these quarterly data are ultimately
21	submitted. The Commission has recognized in a number of
22	cases the importance of relying on direct import prices in
23	assessing underselling.
24	Chart 7 shows what has happened to U.S. producer
25	prices as a result of the underselling by subject imports.

1	Despite increased unit cost, the U.S. producers were not
2	able to raise prices sufficiently to cover those costs due
3	to the competing imports from China. Chart 7 compares the
4	increases in the unit cost of goods sold with the increases
5	in unit net sales values for the U.S. producers. As you
6	see, the U.S. prices could not keep pace with rising costs.
7	Faced with this condition of competition, U.S.
8	producers struggled because of the low prices offered from
9	the Chinese imports. These facts present a classic case of
10	prices suppression within the meaning of the statute. While
11	you don't have quarterly pricing data to demonstrate the
12	import-related nature of this price suppression, you do have
13	numerous comments from U.S. producers to confirm this point.
14	Chart 8 sets forth comments of individual U.S.
15	producers in their questionnaire responses, stating that
16	they have suffered price suppression due to the low-priced
17	imports from China.
18	The adverse impact of these surging volumes of
19	low-priced imports on the domestic industry has been severe.
20	The domestic industry experienced substantial reductions in
21	all key trade variables over the 2016 to 2018 period.
22	Chart 9 shows the significant production declines
23	from 2016 to 2018, based on the U.S. producer
24	questionnaires.
25	Chart 10 shows similar shipment declines over the

1	period of investigation.
2	Chart 11 is particularly dire, reflecting the
3	huge drop in capacity utilization that the industry has
4	suffered in just two years. The industry has substantial
5	capacity now sitting idle because of lost sales to subject
6	imports.
7	These effects have also been felt by the workers
8	as you see in Chart 12. Employment has dropped
9	significantly over the period of investigation. Companies
10	report shift cutbacks as well for those workers who are
11	remaining on the job.
12	The industry's financial variables show even
13	steeper declines than its trade variables over the past few
14	years. Net sales value, gross profits, operating profits
15	and net profits have all fallen dramatically.
16	Chart 13 shows the steep decline in the
17	industry's operating profits over the period. Worse, those
18	declines are continuing and worsening this year. Although
19	the Commission did not request First Quarter data in its
20	questionnaire, certain producers submitted it anyway to show
21	the continuing declines they are experiencing.
22	Additional data on the First Quarters of 2018 and
23	2019 have been provided to us and will be included in our
24	brief. Given that it is now mid-May, that information is

highly relevant, and we urge the Commission to consider it

- 1 in its preliminary analysis.
- 2 As shown on Chart 14, in the First Quarter of
- 3 2019, U.S. producers experienced significant further erosion
- 4 of their operating profits, as compared to First Quarter,
- 5 2018.
- 6 Chart 15 tracks the operating profit to sales
- 7 ratio for the industry beginning in 2016 and ending in First
- 8 Quarter of 2019. As you see, the decline is steep and
- 9 significant over that period, with profits continuing to
- 10 drop this year. These financial declines demonstrate an
- 11 industry in crisis. You've heard the industry witnesses
- describe some of the negative effects that Hirsh has
- 13 suffered due to subject imports. Other U.S. producers have
- 14 provided additional evidence of negative effects their
- 15 companies have suffered at the hands of unfair imports.
- 16 Chart 16 provides more specifics on those effects
- 17 from the other domestic producer questionnaire responses.
- 18 There is no question that there's a causal nexus between the
- increasing volumes of low-priced subject imports and the
- 20 injury the U.S. industry has suffered.
- 21 As you see on Chart 17, the increased market
- share gains by China were at the U.S. industry's expense.
- 23 Chart 17 shows that, as the unfair imports' market share
- 24 surge, the domestic industry share fell precipitously. The
- 25 market share of nonsubject imports also declined over this

1 period, thus all of the U.S. industry's market share loss 2 was to the subject imports. Chart 18 depicts the correlation between the 3 4 subject import market share penetration and the erosion of 5 the domestic industry's financial condition. As low-priced subject imports entered the U.S. market in increasing 6 7 volumes, they pressured U.S. prices and caused severe declines in the industry's profits as well. Other factors 8 9 do not explain the severe injury the domestic vertical file 10 industry has suffered. 11 While demand declined somewhat over the period, 12 U.S. production dropped by much more as shown in Chart 19. 13 That is because U.S. producers were also losing sales to 14 imports from China that were growing despite the falling 15 demand. Nonsubject imports are also not to blame for the 16 injury the U.S. industry has experienced. Those imports are 17 losing market share to China as well as shown previously in 18 Chart 17. 19 Finally, the injury the U.S. industry has 2.0 suffered cannot be blamed on the Section 232 duties, nor has 21 it been alleviated by the Section 301 tariffs. First, as 22 Mr. Bailey testified, the industry was experiencing pricing pressure from imports before the Section 232 tariffs were 23

imposed in early 2018. Second, as I mentioned earlier, the

Section 232 tariffs on steel input products are a condition

24

Τ	of competition the industry has faced, but the industry was
2	unable to increase its prices to cover those increased costs
3	due to the unfair Chinese imports.
4	Chart 20 documents the industry's inability to
5	increase its prices to keep pace with the cost increases,
6	causing the severe price suppression and huge financial
7	downturns for the U.S. industry. As to the Section 301
8	tariffs, vertical files fall in the third traunch of
9	products that were subject to the 10% tariffs beginning in
10	September of 2018.
11	This 10% tariff on vertical file imports from
12	China has had no real impact, as Mr. Bailey testified, due
13	either to the exchange rate changes or the absorption of
14	these duties. The tariff increased to 25% only took effect
15	ten days ago, so it is too soon to tell what effect, if any,
16	those increased tariffs may have.
17	In sum, the information we have presented
18	provides compelling evidence of material injury to the
19	domestic vertical files industry caused by dumped and
20	subsidized imports from China. Accordingly, we urge the
21	Commission to issue an affirmative preliminary decision in
22	this case. Thank you. That concludes our testimony. We
23	will be happy to answer your questions.
24	MS. CHRIST: Thank you very much for coming down
25	and sharing your perspectives on the company and the case.

Τ	I appreciate that it's not as straightforward. I do see
2	metal filing cabinets around, despite our CIO's best efforts
3	to make us go paperless. We just hide them farther back,
4	because I love my paper.
5	(Laughter.)
6	MS. CHRIST: So we will now begin with the staff
7	questions, and we will start with Jessica Oliva, the
8	Investigator.
9	MS. OLIVA: Good morning. Jessica Oliva,
10	investigator on this case. Thank you very much for coming
11	here today.
12	I've been wondering if there's demand for
13	unassembled vertical metal file cabinets, or if you're aware
14	that that is a product that's imported?
15	MR. WETTERBERG: Brandon Wetterberg, Hirsh
16	Industries. We are aware of some unassembled vertical file
17	cabinets in the market, but we believe it's a very small
18	percentage of the market and we have not really had any
19	significant demand requests for unassembled versions of our
20	product.
21	MS. OLIVA: Thank you. Being mindful of business
22	proprietary information, based on our initial APO release
23	are there any U.S. producers, importers, or foreign
24	producers that we might have not included?
25	MS. CANNON: Kathy Cannon. That you might not

Τ	have included in your request for information? Or may not
2	have received information from?
3	MS. OLIVA: That we have not requested
4	information from.
5	MS. CANNON: To our knowledge, you've requested
6	information from the people that we are aware of. You
7	obviously haven't received it all, but, yes, we've
8	identified, to our best knowledge, the relevant parties.
9	MS. OLIVA: To the best of your knowledge, are
10	there any antidumping or countervailing duties ordered in
11	third-country markets?
12	MS. CANNON: To our knowledge, there are not.
13	MS. OLIVA: Thank you. And my last question:
14	How would you describe the domestic industry's employment
15	trends? Has the domestic workforce changed or evolved over
16	the last couple of years?
17	MS. CANNON: Kathy Cannon. In terms of the macro
18	data that we have, the employment has done down sort of
19	commensurate with the decline in production and the
20	deteriorating sales of the industry that we've experienced.
21	But that's consistent with your experience? So, yes.
22	MS. OLIVA: And in one of the briefs it was
23	briefly mentioned that automation was also starting to play
24	a part in this. Do you know the percentage, or when in that

year that started happening?

1	MR. BAILEY: We've been working toTom Bailey,
2	Hirsh Industries. We've been working to automate our
3	production process continuously for over 20 years. There
4	hasn't been any major changes in the last three years in
5	that regard.
6	MS. OLIVA: Thank you.
7	MS CHRIST: Thank you. We will now turn to the
8	attorney, Robin Turner.
9	MS. TURNER: Good morning. I'm going to focus on
10	some like-product-type questions, even though you've gone
11	through the six factors, to try to get a little bit better
12	idea of the relationships of the vertical filing cabinet to
13	say lateral or other types of storage units.
14	So my first question is, these are metal vertical
15	filing cabinets. I know they make wood ones, as well. Is
16	that somethingI mean, what is, aside from they are
17	probably produced differently, though some of them might, is
18	that the key difference between a wood vertical filing
19	cabinet and a metal vertical filing cabinet?
20	Whoever wants to answer.
21	MR. WETTERBERG: Brandon Wetterberg, Hirsh
22	Industries. Yeah, the primary difference is going to be the
23	material of construction. There are no other significant
24	differences I'm aware of.
25	MS. TURNER: Do you produce wood vertical cabinet

1	cabinets?
2	MR. WETTERBERG: We do not produce any wood file
3	cabinets.
4	MS. TURNER: Okay.
5	MS. CANNON: Kathy Cannon. So let me just
6	supplement that. In terms of the like-product factors and
7	what you would look at, they wouldn't be made in the same
8	factories by the same people. They wouldn't use the same
9	materials, and generally they're sold differently. They
10	wouldn't be sold through your Walmarts or big box stores
11	types of things generally, either. They're going to be at a
12	different price point and a different level. So quite a
13	different industry.
14	MS. TURNER: Actually, I did buy my wood one for
15	home at a big box store, but actually that leads to a
16	question I was going to get to in terms of sales. Are most
17	of your sales directly to commercial markets? Or are they
18	to more big box stores? And if that's BPIand where is it
19	you're seeing the competition as well? Are you seeing it
20	with a commercial market? Or are you seeing it with the
21	big box stores, the Staples, the Office Depots, the
22	Walmarts?
23	MR. BAILEY: Tom Bailey, Hirsh Industries. We
24	sell to a variety of channels. Big box retail is very
25	important to us. And we also sell to wholesalers, or

- distributors, more commonly known as distributors,
- 2 primarily. Direct sales are much less frequent, if that's
- 3 what you meant by your question.
- 4 MS. TURNER: So the competition you're seeing,
- 5 the direct sales, because we'll be looking at direct
- 6 pricing, the imports are more towards the big box direct
- 7 sales?
- 8 MR. BAILEY: Yes. Yes.
- 9 MS. TURNER: Okay. And yours are more towards
- 10 distributors--
- 11 MR. BAILEY: No, they're like for like. You'll
- 12 find that they're like for like. And as I said in my
- 13 testimony, one of the largest big box retailers just merged
- 14 with one of the largest distributors, which just kind of
- 15 blended them together at the beginning of this year.
- 16 And in the memo that they sent out to their
- 17 suppliers in April, they requested that the pricing be
- 18 equilibrated. So if you were a supplier to one side of the
- 19 house versus the other, it has to be common at the lower
- level of course with a concession.
- 21 MS. TURNER: I'm sure the economists might follow
- 22 up on a few of those questions.
- 23 Then the question about the assembled versus the
- unassembled. So the imports are coming in assembled?
- 25 MR. WETTERBERG: Brandon Wetterberg, Hirsh

1 Industries. Yes, most of the imports that we see in the market are coming in fully assembled. 2 MS. TURNER: The lateral versus the vertical 3 4 filing cabinets, I understand there's a size difference and 5 you produce them on different--but if a commercial buyer, 6 not just somebody for their home, somebody for our offices 7 here, a distributor that we might get it from, are they comparing the vertical files to the lateral files when 8 they're deciding to buy? I mean, you could place two 9 10 vertical files probably as equivalent to a lateral file in terms of the amount of storage. 11 12 MR. WETTERBERG: Brandon Wetterberg, Hirsh 13 Industries. Typically we don't see many customers comparing 14 it like that. They usually come to us asking for a vertical 15 file or a lateral file, depending on their needs. Very 16 rarely would I say we would suggest substituting a vertical 17 file in place of a lateral file, or vice versa. 18 MS. TURNER: Why would that be? 19 MR. WETTERBERG: Well the physical size 20 characteristics really does play a significant role. I 21 mean, laterals and verticals just don't fit in the same 22 space. Not just, you know, putting two vertical files next to each other isn't the same thing as having a lateral file 23

there. To give you an example, if you were going to extend

a vertical file that's let's say 26-1/2 inches deep, you

24

1	need to extend the door 26-1/2 inches deep. So you need not
2	just the width of the floor space that the product takes up,
3	but in order to open and close it vertical files require
4	more use of space.
5	So in an office where space is at a high premium,
6	they prefer lateral files because an 18-inch deep lateral
7	file is only going to extend 18 inches. So you can utilize
8	the space much more efficiently and not take up so much of
9	your high-priced floor space in your office.
10	MS. TURNER: Are lateral files a newer invention
11	than vertical?
12	MR. WETTERBERG: That's a good question. I'm
13	afraid I haven't been around long enough to know the answer,
14	but I think the rise in laterals has been relatively more
15	recent than vertical files. We think of vertical files as
16	going back to, you know, actually being used on ships and
17	like the early 1900s. So from that regard, yes, lateral
18	files are a more modern invention. But certainly not new
19	in any regard.
20	MS. TURNER: Mr. Jansen, did you have a comment?
21	MR. JANSEN: I'm Dave Jansen with Hirsh
22	Industries. I would just echo what Brandon has said. I've
23	been in the industry for over 20 years, as well, and there's

really been on difference between the split between vertical

files and lateral files during that period of time. They've

24

- both been pretty prevalent in the marketplace for the
 different uses.

 MR. BAILEY: This is Tom Bailey from Hirsh
- 4 Industries. Just one other point to make. I don't think
- 5 Brandon mentioned it. Lateral files tend to be used for
- 6 general-purpose storage, as a practical matter, in the
- office. While they can be used for document storage, of
- 8 course, many customers are using them for storing first-aid
- 9 supplies, snacks, other general-purpose office storage.
- 10 Vertical files are pretty much a pure use with archival
- 11 document storage.
- 12 And they're made in very different ways, as well.
- 13 They're marketed very differently. So those are some of the
- 14 other differences.
- 15 MS. TURNER: The--just because it might be
- 16 something that we're going to have to go through, counsel,
- 17 when you're doing your postconference brief, can there be a
- 18 comparison of the lateral files and the vertical files using
- 19 the six factors? I mean, that would be something that--.
- 20 MS. CANNON: Yes, we'll be happy to do that.
- 21 MS. TURNER: --my colleagues might find helpful
- 22 when she's providing information to the Commission.
- 23 MR. BAILEY: This is Tom Bailey, Hirsh
- 24 Industries. You could also visit our Dover facility where
- we make them both, and you'll see that they're very, very

- 1 different. They're both made in that facility in completely
- 2 different ways.
- MS. TURNER: I'm sure if, any final, that might
- 4 be something that the Commission might do. In a prelim, our
- 5 time frame is a little short, unfortunately, but I'm sure
- 6 our colleagues will take that under consideration.
- 7 In terms of the 232 and the effect the 232 has
- 8 had on your steel prices, is that because you're using
- 9 imported steel for that?
- 10 MR. BAILEY: Tom Bailey, Hirsh Industries. Well
- 11 certainly imposing import duties has resulted in an increase
- in steel prices, so it's been across the board almost to the
- 13 25 percent level. It's not exactly that, because there are
- other costs that go into steel besides steel, per se.
- 15 There's things like transformation costs, and you have to
- 16 roll it. You have to ship it and store it. But it's very
- 17 close to the 25 percent. So steel has gone up across the
- 18 board as a result of those tariffs.
- 19 And as Ms. Cannon said, we did take some pricing
- 20 to recover that. But because of suppression, because of
- 21 unfairly traded Chinese product, we weren't able to get full
- 22 recovery. And of course there are many input costs besides
- 23 steel that go into making these cabinets. There's hardware.
- There's paint. There's labor. There's packaging. There's
- 25 overhead. So if your volumes go down because you've lost

ot been able d Chinese rt of that ct of the reased costs else. But iffs on ake it
rt of that ct of the reased costs else. But iffs on
ct of the reased costs else. But iffs on
ct of the reased costs else. But iffs on
reased costs else. But iffs on
else. But
iffs on
ake it
el? Or are
1.
the 301, as
hinese
fallen by
know if it's
cause the
we don't
We don't
l j

which as Ms. Cannon said went into effect 10 days ago, it's

1 too early to know what the impacts may or may not be. And we don't know how long those tariffs will be in place. But 2 really importantly, when President Trump announced the 25 3 4 percent tariffs last fall, they were originally supposed to 5 go into effect January 1st. We had absolutely no 6 correspondence with anyone asking us about that. You know, 7 you might reasonably ask if prices could rise by 25 percent if I'm buying foreign product, maybe I should look around 8 9 for additional source of supply. It has had absolutely no 10 impact at all. It doesn't seem to be important to those 11 importers from what we can tell. 12 MS. TURNER: In terms of the cost aspect--and 13 this would be more for postconference briefs, I know there's just been announced on the 232, I believe Canada and Mexico 14 15 the tariffs have been lessened or decreased, if that's going 16 to have any impact that you see on imported steel prices for 17 this industry? 18 MR. BAILEY: It's too early to tell. It stands 19 to reason that if you reduce barriers then prices might come 2.0 down. But we don't know the full details of the agreements 21 vis-a-vis Canada and Mexico of what their duty-bound to do 22 with imports say into their countries. And also President Trump recently reduced the tariff on Turkish steel. But all 23 24 of this is very recent, and we don't know what the impacts 25 will be.

1	MS. TURNER: Thank you. Whatever you can add to
2	that in terms of the postconference brief would be helpful.
3	And, let me see, on negligibility I know, and I
4	expect there's a few other questions about the data set that
5	my colleagues will be asking you about, so I don't think I
6	have anything else on that.
7	So with that, I'll turn it over. Thank you very
8	much.
9	MS. CHRIST: Thank you. We will now turn to
10	Pamela Davis, the economist.
11	MS. DAVIS: Good morning. Have you noticed the
12	shift in demand for each size, each product size as files
13	have become increasingly digitized?
14	MR. WETTERBERG: Good morning, Brandon
15	Wetterberg, Hirsh Industries. I can't share too specific a
16	level of detail out here, but we can provide you more in the
17	postconference brief. But we have seen a general trend to a
18	slightly shallower depth of products.
19	MS. DAVIS: Thank you.
20	MR. BAILEY: Tom Bailey, Hirsh Industries. Just
21	to build on Brandon's comments. So besides the unfairly
22	traded Chinese product, the demand for our products is quite
23	robust. And maybe it's because these products are so highly
24	specialized, so good at what they're designed to do, and

they're used in so many different locations from the home to

Τ	small business, to even industrial and educational
2	environments, demand has been surprisingly strong.
3	We have seen no impact, or very little impact,
4	aside from the unfairly traded Chinese product.
5	MS. DAVIS: Thank you. What is the product life
6	cycle of vertical metal file cabinets?
7	MR. WETTERBERG: Brandon Wetterberg, Hirsh
8	Industries. Could you clarify that a little bit more in
9	terms of how long the product is supposed to last?
10	MS. DAVIS: Yes.
11	MR. WETTERBERG: Well there's really a range in
12	the market. There are light-duty all the way up through
13	heavy-duty units. So there's not like one specific answer
14	to that.
15	MS. DAVIS: And in terms of demand, new versus
16	replacement, could you provide a general idea of what share
17	of demand is for new customersnew customers versus those
18	that are just replacing their existing cabinets?
19	MR. WETTERBERG: I don't have any information on
20	that.
21	MS. DAVIS: Has there been any impact in terms of
22	alternate office floor space, in terms of open office plans?
23	MR. WETTERBERG: Brandon Wetterberg, Hirsh
24	Industries. So could you clarify a bit more in your

question? You're looking for the impact on what?

1	MS. DAVIS: Open office floor plans.
2	MR. WETTERBERG: Yeah, open office floor plans
3	are increasingly popular. They're not a majority yet, and
4	they're still, you know, smaller than traditional office
5	furniture, cubicle-type installations or single-office type
6	installations, but there has been an increase in demand for
7	open office type. But the types of products that are used
8	with open office, since their work stations tend to be
9	pedestal products not vertical files.
10	MS. DAVIS: And are there firm-specific
11	differences in vertical metal file cabinets amongst U.S.
12	producers?
13	MR. WETTERBERG: Brandon, Hirsh. There tends to
14	be a range of vertical files being produced out there. So
15	there are different specifications for different products.
16	So I guess I'm not exactly clear, but there are slight
17	differences in the product we make, but we all make vertical
18	files and there's a range of specifications out there.
19	MS. DAVIS: Thank you.
20	MS. CHRIST: Thank you. We will now turn to the
21	accountant, Samuel Varela-Molina.
22	MR. VARELA-MOLINA: Good morning. I only have a
23	couple questions for you today, so it should be painless.
24	First I would like to state that due to the nature of my
25	financial questions, please feel free to answer anything in

1	postconference brief.
2	My first question concerns raw materials. So I
3	heard many times today that volume has declined in the last
4	years. However, I can see that raw material has been
5	consistently increasing. How could you explain that?
6	MR. BAILEY: Tom Bailey, Hirsh Industries. I'm
7	sorry, Mr. Varela-Molina, could you repeat the first part of
8	your question? You said something is declining, and I
9	didn't hear what you said.
10	MR. VARELA-MOLINA: So I heard that volume has
11	been declining. But then I see raw materials going up.
12	MR. BAILEY: Yeah, volume: That's exactly right,
13	volumes are declining and raw material costs are going up.
14	That is correct. So the raw material costs, steel is one of
15	them, but as I mentioned earlier there are many input costs
16	to producing a filing cabinet. There's steel. There's
17	hardware. There's paint. There's packaging. There's
18	labor. And of course there's overhead.
19	And maybe because the economy has been pretty
20	strong in recent years, there are some increases in those
21	costs. The volumes are declining because we have lost
22	considerable share to unfairly traded Chinese products. And
23	furthermore, we have been unable to recoup all of the
24	impacts of those higher raw material costs. So we have made

efforts to pass along those costs in terms of higher

1	pricing, but we have been unable to do so as we've testified
2	earlier, and as Ms. Cannon said in her remarks.
3	MR. VARELA-MOLINA: Thank you. And my other
4	question to you concerns capital expenditures. We could see
5	from your questionnaire that they were consistent for the
6	first two years, and then for the last year it was like a
7	sharp increase.
8	MR. BAILEY: Yeah, there is a comment in our
9	questionnaire, and we'd prefer to address that in a
10	postconference brief with you on what happened.
11	MR. VARELA-MOLINA: Those are all my questions
12	for today. Thank you.
13	
14	MS. CHRIST: Thank you. We will no turn to the
15	industry analyst, Karl Tsuji.
16	MR. TSUJI: Good morning, everyone. I have
17	several questions about the subject product, as well as the
18	excluded products.
19	First of all, when it comes to the vertical metal
20	filing cabinets versus the lateral and the pedestal filing
21	cabinets, are there different raw materials that go into the
22	subject product versus the excluded products?
23	MR. WETTERBERG: Brandon Wetterberg, Hirsh
24	Industries. The raw materials generally tend to be the same
25	when you're talking about metal filing cabinets, cold-rolled

- steel and sometimes galvanized steel are the primary
 components of raw materials.

 MR. TSUJI: Okay. And then you can put the
- 4 details into your posthearing brief, but can you provide a
- 5 synopsis about how the manufacturing processes differ
- 6 between the domestic like product, the vertical filing
- 7 cabinets, vertical metal filing cabinets, versus the
- 8 excluded lateral and pedestal filing cabinets.
- 9 MR. BAILEY: Without divulging anything
- 10 proprietary, one of the important points is that vertical
- 11 files are designed primarily for document storage. So they
- 12 come in two widths. They have a handful of depths, but just
- 13 two widths, and they have a handful of colors.
- 14 So because these are highly standardized
- products, they lend themselves towards significant amounts
- of automation where multiple units can be made per minute.
- Whereas, that is not true of the others.
- 18 And beyond that, I prefer to divulge that in a
- 19 postconference brief.
- MR. TSUJI: That would be fine.
- MR. BAILEY: Thank you.
- 22 MR. WETTERBERG: Brandon with Hirsh. I'd just
- 23 like to add that we do not make pedestals in our U.S.
- 24 facility. So that's another differentiation between peds,
- 25 laterals and verticals. They're not even made in the same

1	factory.
2	MR. TSUJI: Okay. Now let's switch over to the
3	subject Chinese firms. Are you aware whether or not these
4	firms that providethat export the subject vertical metal
5	filing cabinets to the U.S. market also export the
6	nonsubject lateral and pedestal filing cabinets?
7	MR. BAILEY: Yes, they do. Tom Bailey, Hirsh
8	Industries.
9	MR. TSUJI: Okay. Now raw materials,
10	particularly the steel for vertical metal filing cabinets,
11	are you aware of vertical metal filing cabinets made from
12	other materials other than the cold-rolled carbon steel or
13	the galvanized steel?
14	For example, have you seen vertical metal filing
15	cabinets made of say stainless steel, alloy steels, or
16	aluminum in the U.S. market?
17	MR. WETTERBERG: Brandon Wetterberg, Hirsh
18	Industries. No, we have not seen any kind of vertical
19	files in the U.S. market made from, you know, metal alloys
20	like stainless steel or aluminum, anything of that nature.
21	MR. TSUJI: How about aluminum?

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 202-347-3700

MR. WETTERBERG: No, we have not seen any

MR. WETTERBERG: I would clarify that. Only as

products made with aluminum, either.

MR. TSUJI: Okay.

22

23

24

1	components. Sometimes handles are made of aluminum, but
2	it's not a substantial raw material in construction of the
3	cabinet.
4	MR. TSUJI: Okay. Good. You're thinking one
5	step ahead of me. That was going to be my next question
6	about the accessories, because I presume the handles, the
7	lock mechanism, sometimes, or the frames for holding the
8	folders, et cetera, those could be either of aluminum or
9	steel.
10	MR. WETTERBERG: YeahBrandon, Hirshyeah,
11	sometimes the accessories like the handles or the card
12	holders, or thumb buttons can be made out aluminum or
13	plastic, or castsometimes the locks are cast products made
14	of zinc. But they constitute a pretty small portion of the
15	overall material usage in a vertical file.
16	MR. TSUJI: Okay. And from what I've heard so
17	far in the testimony, the reason I'm asking these questions,
18	I want it on the record, specifically on the record so we
19	can put it in our staff report. Are there any notable
20	differences in the manufacturing process, or manufacturing
21	technology, used to produce these vertical metal filing
22	cabinets by the U.S. producers versus the Chinese producers?
23	And then, I would also add, nonsubject producers?
24	MR. WETTERBERG: Brandon, Hirsh. Hirsh believes
25	that we are the most efficient, most advanced producer of

metal vertical file cabinets in the world. We believe that 1 our Chinese competitors are using less efficient, more 2 manual labor-intensive means of production. 3 4 MR. TSUJI: Okay. And then to follow up, what 5 are the leading nonsubject countries that provide vertical metal filing cabinets to the U.S. market? You can put that 6 in your posthearing brief, if--8 MR. BAILEY: Tom Bailey, Hirsh Industries. The 9 largest importers of vertical filing cabinets are China, 10 Mexico, and Canada. And there's a tail-end of many small countries, but they are very, very, very small. 11 12 MR. TSUJI: Okay, great. And then, this is a 13 question more for Ms. Cannon. You had already mentioned 14 that the petitioners were not aware of any current 15 antidumping or countervailing duty orders in third-country 16 markets on China's vertical metal filing cabinets. 17 Just wanted to go one step beyond. Are you aware of any ongoing antidumping or countervailing duty 18 19 investigations in these third-country markets? Or any 20 safeguard orders or investigations? 21 MS. CANNON: Not to our knowledge, no. 22 MR. TSUJI: Okay, thank you. MR. BAILEY: Tom Bailey, Hirsh Industries. 23

could just add, that might be just a matter of timing. We

don't know of any. But we're only talking to you now and it

24

- 1 could be that there would be subsequent action in Canada or
- other places, but that's just conjecture. We don't know.
- 3 MR. TSUJI: Okay, thank you. I think that's the
- 4 last of my questions. Ms. Christ, I have no further
- 5 questions.
- 6 MS. CHRIST: Thank you. We'll now turn to Craig
- 7 Thomsen, the Supervisory Investigator.
- 8 MR. THOMSEN: Good morning, and thank you all
- 9 again for coming in and testifying for us. We always
- 10 appreciate the opportunity to talk with you and get some
- 11 direct answers here and learn more about your industries. I
- have a few areas that I just wanted to touch on briefly,
- 13 hopefully. And some of these are really just follow-ups to
- other questions to which and other ones are just
- 15 clarifications.
- 16 The first one I wanted to look at is a market
- 17 structure question. You had noted that you don't really
- 18 sell online. Do you have any direct sales that you do
- online? Or is it all through third-party areas?
- 20 MR. JENSEN: Dave Jensen with Hirsh Industries.
- 21 We do sell some online to end users.
- 22 MR. THOMSEN: Okay. And how much of your sales
- does that account for, approximately?
- MR. JENSEN: I'm gonna say 15% probably.
- MR. THOMSEN: Okay.

1	MR. JENSEN: In that neighborhood.
2	MR. THOMSEN: And so those are direct sales. And
3	then are there other indirect sales via the internet? Like
4	through, like, Amazon or something like that.
5	MR. JENSEN: I mean our distributors sell to
6	dealers who have an online presence, too.
7	MR. THOMSEN: Okay. And I guess, in general, you
8	know, we've seen the large growth in online sales throughout
9	many industries. How have the increase in online sales
10	affected Hirsh Industries, or even the market in general?
11	MR. JENSEN: I mean it's been a bit of a shift,
12	so some of the demand has moved to the online market.
13	Again, as we were discussing, as we were talking yesterday,
14	the online market is they price to the markets, so the
15	prices that an online retailer sells, they're trying to line
16	up and make sure that they're competitive against whoever
17	else is selling vertical file cabinets. So they go out and
18	competitively shop and so they're looking at companies that
19	are sourcing file cabinets from Chinese suppliers as well.
20	And so they come back to us and make sure that they can sell
21	our products profitably based on
22	MR. THOMSEN: So they're looking at other
23	distributors of Chinese products
24	MR. JENSEN: They're looking at the market as a
25	whole.

1	MR. THOMSEN: Yeah. Okay.
2	MR. WETTERBERG: Excuse me, Mr. Thomsen
3	MR. THOMSEN: Yeah, Mr. Wetterberg?
4	MR. WETTERBERG: Could you please this is
5	Brandon at Hirsh Industries. Could you please clarify
6	exactly what you mean by direct sale online?
7	MR. THOMSEN: If you have a website, say
8	HirshIndustries.com, or some other online outlet that you're
9	selling directly through, but not through another
10	distributor.
11	MR. WETTERBERG: Okay.
12	MR. JENSEN: I guess I misunderstood your
13	question then.
14	MR. THOMSEN: No problem, Mr. Jensen.
15	MR. JENSEN: The direct sales I'm talking about
16	would be through an Amazon or through a Wayfair.
17	MR. THOMSEN: Okay.
18	MR. JENSEN: Hirsh does not sell direct to end
19	users.
20	MR. THOMSEN: Okay.
21	MR. BAILEY: Tom Bailey, Hirsh Industries. Mr.
22	Thomsen, maybe I can clarify one thing for you that is
23	different about how things are done today than, say, twenty
24	years ago, before online sales became prevalent. And that
25	is, it used to be that you go to a store and you find a

1	product and you buy it.
2	And that still happens, but increasingly, you can
3	just shop from your computer. And you may go to Amazon or
4	Cymax or any of the otherWayfairany of these other large
5	online resellers, and you can buy a filing cabinet from
6	them. They will not carry the inventory. The order will be
7	routed to the supplier, say, Hirsh Industries, and we will
8	direct fulfill it from our warehouse.
9	And so, they still get the sale, they still get
10	margin, and they are still responsible for keeping that
11	customer happy if there's a customer service issue, say,
12	they will call them. But they're no longer carrying the
13	inventoryin many casesfor those online sales. And
14	that's a different structure than it used to be.
15	And it has increased the complexity of our
16	operations. Because it's one thing to fill a truckload once
17	a week than it is to get orders that have to be put out for
18	Federal Express or UPS to pick up by 11:00 a.m. It's a much
19	harder thing to do. And in addition, as Mr. Jensen has
20	said, once upon a time if you're a retailer and you wanna

Now, you have the luxury of doing it from your

21

22

23

24

some advertisements.

know what your competition is doing, you probably had to,

stores to find out what the prices were, or maybe you'd see

you know, do the "sneaker net" and walk around to their

- 1 computer. So you can readily see what everyone's pricing.
- 2 And actually, this is automated where it'll have bops.
- 3 Amazon can go out and find out, and that's one of the ways
- 4 that price is propagated the marketplace so rapidly, and
- 5 that is why unfairly traded Chinese products are so
- 6 disruptive. Because once someone sees a price, it goes all
- 7 the way across the board pretty quickly.
- 8 MR. THOMSEN: Sure. And so, with your direct
- 9 fulfillment, has that caused you to increase the amount of
- inventories that you hold in your warehouses?
- 11 MR. BAILEY: It has had some effect. Without
- getting into too much of what we do, a good portion of
- 13 Hirsh's business is private-label. So we have different
- 14 branded products that are slightly different. We work every
- day to try to, you know, as we say, maximize the value
- 16 variety by minimizing the cost of complexity. So
- interchangeable inventory or inventory that can be
- 18 differentiated at the last minute through relatively modest
- 19 changes, is important.
- 20 MR. THOMSEN: Okay, thank you. That's very
- 21 helpful. I'm gonna switch to demand. I heard two different
- 22 things and I just wanna get a clarification on here. I
- 23 heard from Ms. Cannon multiple times that demand has been
- 24 declining for vertical files. I heard from Mr. Wetterberg
- 25 that, he said that demand has been steady. Is it steady for

- 1 your other products? Is it steady for vertical files? I'm
- 2 trying to figure out where we actually are in terms of
- 3 demand.
- 4 MR. BAILEY: Tom Bailey, Hirsh Industries. I
- 5 think the reconciliation, too, is Ms. Cannon has data that
- 6 Hirsh Industries is not privy to.
- 7 MR. THOMSEN: Sure.
- 8 MR. BAILEY: So, from our perspective, demand has
- 9 been pretty strong except for the unfairly traded Chinese
- 10 product. We are extremely efficient. We told you, we
- 11 testify that we think we're the most efficient producer in
- 12 the United States, in the entire world, in fact -- high
- degrees of automation -- and so we, just because we've been
- impacted by unfairly traded Chinese product has not
- prevented us from trying to go out and grow our business.
- 16 And we don't know if that's stealing share from
- 17 other manufacturers. We have no way of knowing. Certainly,
- we all are aware colloquially of, you know, a paperless
- 19 society and some of those trends. But the demand for these
- 20 cabinets is quite strong. It's a large market. They're so
- 21 specialized at what they do. So I think that reconciles it.
- MS. CANNON: Kathy Cannon. So my comments were
- 23 based on the macro data that we have on the record, at least
- 24 to the best that we can aggregate it from what we've
- 25 received so far.

1	MR. THOMSEN: Okay, great. And when you're
2	this is a question, it can be for anyone on the panel, maybe
3	the economists are the best people to attach this to, but
4	are there indices to which demand for the vertical files
5	might be tied? Like, office space or housing starts,
6	business formation? Or what type of indices do you look at
7	when you're trying to project forward your demand for
8	vertical files?
9	MR. BAILEY: Tom Bailey, Hirsh Industries.
10	Certainly we're aware of some correlation between, say,
11	office vacancy rates and demand. So when vacancies go up,
12	demand goes down. It's somewhat tied to the business cycle.
13	But in terms of long-term planning, really what matters the
14	most for us for demand is whether or not a large customer's
15	gonna do a promotion, which might result in a meaningful
16	lift.
17	And it's usually additive, it's not, you know,
18	just for buying in one period when there's a promotion, then
19	it falls off. It does generate underlying increases in
20	demand. But it's more promotional activity than macro
21	trends which we're unable to discern exactly how those
22	impact our business.
23	MR. THOMSEN: Okay. And are those seasonal? Or
24	is there any seasonal nature to this business?
25	MR. BAILEY: I think perhaps Ms. Cannon could

- address the industry data which we are not privy to. Our
- 2 business has some seasonality to it, simply because of our
- 3 specific customer mix that we could detail in a
- 4 post-conference brief.
- 5 MR. THOMSEN: Sure, that would be great.
- 6 MR. BAILEY: I think it's specific to us, but I
- 7 don't know what the other companies do, what they
- 8 experience.
- 9 MR. THOMSEN: Ms. Cannon?
- 10 MS. CANNON: Yes. Given that what I know about
- 11 the other companies is based on U.S. producer
- 12 questionnaires, we would need to address it in a
- post-conference brief.
- MR. THOMSEN: That's great, thank you. Okay, I'm
- gonna switch over to supply for just a couple of questions.
- 16 Are certain types of vertical files only available from
- 17 certain sources? You had noted that you had different
- 18 private-label brands that may have, you know, small changes?
- 19 I don't know if that's a lock on the left side versus the
- 20 center versus the right side or, you know, it's something of
- 21 that nature. But, you know, is there a focus for different
- 22 sources on different types? Do mostly two drawers come
- 23 from China and four drawers come from Mexico? Or is there
- 24 any kind of differentiation among products in the market?
- 25 It can be either for yourself, or for the market, I mean

- 1 you're the market leader, so obviously, you know, what
- 2 happens with Hirsh is very important.
- 3 MS. CANNON: For some of the overall market
- 4 indicators, again, a lot of that's gonna be based on
- 5 questionnaires, and we can address that further in the
- 6 brief. And I think Mr. Bailey could address it for Hirsh.
- 7 MR. BAILEY: I would like to defer some of it to
- 8 post-conference brief, because we do have a manufacturing
- 9 strategy and we have more than one manufacturing location.
- 10 MR. THOMSEN: Okay.
- 11 MR. BAILEY: So we also manufacture some product
- in Mexico.
- 13 MR. THOMSEN: Okay. That's actually where my
- 14 next question was going, so thank you for bringing that up.
- And, were those pedestal files that Mr. Wetterberg was
- 16 talking about, or is that in a different facility?
- 17 MR. BAILEY: Yes, those are made in Mexico by
- 18 Hirsh Industries, pedestal files.
- 19 MR. THOMSEN: And are vertical files also made in
- 20 Mexico by Hirsh?
- MR. BAILEY: Yes.
- MR. THOMSEN: Okay.
- 23 MR. BAILEY: As well as in the United States, of
- 24 course.
- 25 MR. THOMSEN: Of course. And how about lateral

- 1 files?
- 2 MR. BAILEY: Those are made in the United States
- 3 only.
- 4 MR. THOMSEN: Okay.
- 5 MR. BAILEY: By Hirsh.
- 6 MR. THOMSEN: Okay, great. That's very helpful.
- 7 And this probably gets into a post-conference brief, but
- 8 I'll ask it here. What influences your decisions as to
- 9 whether to produce or, obviously for lateral files, your
- 10 decision is--and for pedestal files, it's only for where
- 11 it's located obviously, but for vertical files, since you
- have both, what I'm looking for, you know, some sort of
- direction as to your decisions.
- MR. BAILEY: I'd like to address that in the
- 15 post-conference brief. But I will add for the record that
- our facility in Mexico we've had for twenty years.
- MR. THOMSEN: Okay.
- MR. BAILEY: It's not a recent development. And
- it was added for capacity, to expand capacity.
- 20 MR. THOMSEN: Okay. Great, thank you. I guess
- 21 the last things that I just wanna touch on are just some
- 22 data issues. One of them is with respect to the official
- 23 trade stats that was used in your presentation. You noted
- 24 that they were noted as adjusted, and you had also noted
- 25 that it was about a third of their -- is that how you

Τ	adjusted it? You just took official stats and divided by
2	three? Or was it more complicated?
3	MS. CANNON: We looked at the official stats,
4	which are value-based, so that made it even more
5	challenging. So we estimated that they were a third, but
6	then we also had to do an adjustment to recalculate those
7	for volume and we I'm not sure how I'm looking at my
8	colleague from GES maybe Mr. Hudgens can explain more
9	specifically.
10	MR. HUDGENS: I think our methodology's detailed
11	in Exhibit 7 of the petition. But in addition to multiplying
12	the value by a third, we also computed quantity based on
13	AUVs and we used two different a separate AUV for China
14	versus AUV for other countries, and those AUVs are detailed
15	in Exhibit 7.
16	MR. THOMSEN: Okay, great. And this is kind of
17	getting to another point of two of my prior questions. Are
18	there different AUVs for pedestal files versus lateral
19	files? And would that be showing up in the import data?
20	MS. CANNON: Kathy Cannon. To the best of our
21	knowledge, all of these are in the one metal filing cabinet
22	category. Vertical files, lateral files, pedestal files.
23	It's all within the same tariff category.
24	MR. THOMSEN: And in the market, are there
2.5	different prices for lette car a wertical file of the came

- 1 size as the pedestal file, a two-drawer file, are they about
- 2 the same price? Or is there some sort of --
- 3 MR. WETTERBERG: Brandon Wetterberg, Hirsh
- 4 Industries. We tend to see very different pricing.
- 5 Vertical files tend to be the least expensive of the three
- 6 categories. Pedestals and then lateral files would be the
- 7 most expensive. And there's pretty significant difference
- 8 in prices in the market.
- 9 MR. THOMSEN: Okay. So if I were to look in the
- 10 market and, you can just give me general ranges here, I'm
- 11 not looking for anything specific. If I'm looking for a
- 12 two-drawer vertical file versus a two-drawer pedestal file
- 13 versus a two-drawer lateral file, what's the range that I'm
- looking at, at a distributor level?
- 15 I'm sure, because retail markets vary so widely,
- 16 it's really hard to get it at a retail level, but, you know,
- 17 if this goes too much into your data, that's fine, you can
- 18 put it in the post-conference brief.
- 19 MR. WETTERBERG: We'll provide the detail in the
- 20 post-conference.
- 21 MR. THOMSEN: Okay, great. That would be
- 22 wonderful.
- 23 MR. MOREY: This is Josh Morey with Kelley Drye.
- Just wanted to point out, pedestal files typically are at
- one or fewer file-sized drawers.

- 1 MR. THOMSEN: Okay.
- MR. MOREY: Pedestal drawers are typically not a
- 3 file drawer.
- 4 MR. THOMSEN: I quess a pedestal, that is of the
- 5 similar height to a two-drawer vertical file. Thank you.
- 6 In your presentation, you had noted, Ms. Cannon, that you
- 7 had some First Quarter data, right? Was that only for
- 8 Hirsh?
- 9 MS. CANNON: We have that for other companies as
- 10 well, but I --
- MR. THOMSEN: Okay.
- MS. CANNON: -- that's why I said I'll put it in
- 13 post-hearing because that's all confidential.
- 14 MR. THOMSEN: Perfect. I just wanted to dot that
- 15 I. And the last one is also another post-conference brief
- 16 request. You had noted, Mr. Bailey, you had received
- 17 communication from large retailers demonstrating that price
- 18 was the motivating factor for switching sources. If you
- 19 could put that on the record in your post-conference brief,
- 20 that would be wonderful. In particular, I'm interested in
- 21 the documented related to that large lost sale that you had
- 22 referenced multiple times in your testimony.
- 23 MR. BAILEY: Tom Bailey, Hirsh. Okay.
- MR. THOMSEN: Great, thank you. And that's all
- 25 the questions that I have.

- 1 MS. CHRIST: Thank you. I'll see if we have any
- 2 follow-up questions?
- MS. DAVIS: Good morning. Again, Pamela Davis.
- 4 I'm actually interested in your post-conference brief if you
- 5 could provide information on capacity utilization between
- 6 the U.S. production facility and the Mexico production
- 7 facility. Thank you.
- 8 MR. BAILEY: Tom Bailey, Hirsh. Noted.
- 9 MR. TSUJI: This is Karl Tsuji, the industry
- 10 analyst. Real quick, where is the location of your facility
- in Mexico? The city and the state?
- 12 MR. BAILEY: It is in Mexicali, Baja. State of
- 13 Baja.
- 14 MR. TSUJI: Baja California Norte?
- MR. BAILEY: Mm-hmm.
- MR. TSUJI: Okay, thank you.
- 17 MS. TURNER: Robin Turner, Office of the General
- 18 Counsel. Just one follow-up. Exports, is that a
- 19 substantial share of your product? Do you export the
- 20 vertical metal filing cabinets? And if so, what are your
- 21 main export markets?
- 22 MR. BAILEY: We have exports to Canada primarily,
- of vertical files from the United States. Tom Bailey,
- 24 Hirsh.
- 25 MS. CHRIST: Thank you. Any other -- Great.

1 Thank you very much. I was just going through and going up, it looks like everybody asked most of the follow-up 2 questions that I had noted. 3 4 Just as a clarification, I heard two types of 5 behavior on the part of the imports from China. I understood that for the imports of the cold-rolled steel, 6 there were tariff increases, although potentially not the full impact because of the makes of domestic versus imported 8 9 sourcing on those. But that that was completely passed 10 through and has affected your prices, or your input prices. On the other side of the production process, which is the 11 12 vertical metal cabinets, I heard that the tariffs were 13 absorbed by the Chinese producers and the potential increase 14 was -- the potential effect of those imports were mitigated 15 by exchange rate changes. 16 Could you explain why it seems to be that on one part of the production process, everything is coming through 17 and I didn't hear about any mitigation from the exchange 18 19 rate, but on the other side there's complete absorption by 2.0 the Chinese producers and mitigation by manipulation of the 21 exchange rate. 22 MS. CANNON: Yes. Kathy Cannon. So on the Section 232 tariffs, remember that those were applied 23 24 globally. Almost everybody in the world is subject to those 25 tariffs. That has made a major impact because it's not just

1	China. It's everybody in the world, so you're not talking
2	about mitigated exchange rates worldwide. What China may or
3	may not be doing. In fact, China as you know is subject to
4	lots of antidumping and countervailing duties already on
5	many of those products including cold-rolled steel. So
6	you're talking about a worldwide effect that happened on
7	the 232 tariffs that caused the price increases that have
8	occurred in the market.
9	Conversely, the Section 201 tariffs that applied
10	to the vertical files were only China and they were only
11	10%. And that, you know, that was quite different. So
12	you're talking now only China and only 10%. And that was
13	between the exchange rate shift and their ability to absorb
14	them was what made the difference.
15	MS. CHRIST: Thank you. Could I just get a
16	clarification, was that merger between the retailer and the
17	distributor, did you say that was 2018?
18	MR. BAILEY: 2019.
19	MS. CHRIST: 2019?
20	MR. BAILEY: Yeah, it just happened.
21	MS. CHRIST: It just happened. And subsequent to
22	that merger was when they sent out this announcement saying
23	you kind of had to, that the new prices were gonna be the
24	lowest of the two prices that they were originally receiving

from producers and retroactive, is that what I heard of the

1	testimony?
2	MR. BAILEY: Yes, and an additional concession in
3	pricing was requested. And that memo was sent out in April
4	of 2019.
5	MS. CHRIST: Okay. So I'm curious about how much
6	of their request for the pricing concessions were a function
7	of the increased market power that the purchaser now had
8	with this consolidation? And you've mentioned there's been
9	consolidation over the years. Why did they not ask for
10	these pricing concessions in '16 or '17 or '18?
11	MR. BAILEY: This particular one of the two
12	merging parties buys vertical filing cabinets from China, in
13	addition to market power of course. Tom Bailey, Hirsh.
14	MS. CHRIST: Okay. So I wanted to follow up on
15	Craig Thomsen's question about the shifting logistics with
16	the direct sales. So, for example, if somebody purchases a
17	vertical metal cabinet on Amazon and it's ordered through
18	them, but then it's directly fulfilled through you,
19	potentially increasing the inventory-carrying costs. If
20	somebody purchases one and it's a Chinese-produced product,
21	where is that fulfilled from?
22	MR. JENSEN: Dave Jensen, Hirsh Industries.
23	Amazon would likely warehouse that product themselves.
24	MS. CHRIST: So, for products that are produced
25	in the United States, just as a hypothetical, going through

1	a direct sale from Amazon, the U.S. producer would
2	predominantly carry the inventory-carrying costs for that,
3	whereas Amazon would likely, for imported product, carry the
4	inventory-carrying costs for that?
5	MR. JENSEN: Correct. Yes. U.S. producers
6	Amazon will stock some product, warehouse some product from
7	U.S. producers, but the vast majority of it is
8	direct-fulfilled by the manufacturer.
9	MS. CHRIST: This is for Ms. Cannon. Did you
10	mention in your opening statement that there is a long-term
11	structural steady decline in demand or apparent consumption?
12	I mean, long-term, given sort of, say, not just like the
13	last three years, but sort of a more long-term, given the
14	maturity of the market, the maturity of the type of demand
15	and end uses and things like that?
16	MS. CANNON: Yes, our understanding is, that over
17	a long period of time for many years, you've seen sort of a
18	slow decline in the product. But as, you know, Mr. Bailey
19	testified, over the last three years, it hasn't been
20	anything dramatic. In fact, for his company, it's been the
21	opposite. They've actually seen some increased demand for
22	their product.
23	And my testimony focused over the last three
24	years on the data that we are estimating as best we can,
25	which is showing some decline over this period. But the

1 perception of people in the marketplace is not that there's been any significant change in the period that you're 2 3 looking at. 4 MS. CHRIST: To the extent that there's some 5 additional information on the role of electronic files in 6 demand and the long-term structural demand, if you could 7 provide that. And that's probably not something you may have off the top of your head, but if you happen to have 8 9 that information in forecasting your own business process. 10 MR. BAILEY: Tom Bailey, Hirsh. We don't have anything specific about that. But, of course, we're aware 11 12 of cloud computing and mobile computing and how you can get 13 a boarding pass for your flight on your phone and things 14 like that, that have reduced the demand for paper. But the 15 demise of vertical filing, I think, if that's what you're 16 getting at, we're not seeing anything like that. 17 And in my experience in other consumer goods industries, it's remarkable how long tails can be in a 18 19 marketplace. Because people have done something one way, 2.0 they continue to like to do it. And it's very 21 cost-effective, these products, they deliver a lot of value 22 for what you pay for 'em. MS. CHRIST: Thank you. I'll just -- One more? 23 24 MR. THOMSEN: Sorry, I had one more question.

This is Craiq Thomsen from the Office of Investigations.

1	And it's not something that we had touched on before, or at
2	least, if Mr. Tsuji has asked about this, I missed it. He
3	had asked about the different types of steel that was being
4	used, whether it's stainless or not. But I didn't know
5	about the gauge of steel? I know that I have seen some
6	older vertical files that are really heavy gauge steel. And
7	the ones that I see nowadays, not so much.
8	And so I was wondering if I could just get a
9	little bit of information regarding, number one, the type
10	and gauge of steel that's used by Hirsh or in the market
11	over time. You can look, you know, long-term, medium-term,
12	short-term, if it's changed at all during the period of
13	investigation. And also a comparison of the steel used by
14	Hirsh versus the steel that's used by subject imports, as
15	you know about the marketplace competition between there.
16	MR. WETTERBERG: Brandon at Hirsh Industries.
17	So, generally speaking, if you're talking about the
18	long-term, you know, comparing a file cabinet made in, like,
19	the 50s, compared to today, yeah, certainly, there's been a
20	reduction in the thickness of steel that's being used. We
21	believe that we have engineered a very equivalent product in
22	terms of strength and durability, you know, through
23	engineering and design, much the same way that cars are
24	lighter now than they were in the 50s, but we would argue
25	that, you know, they're probably superior than the cars that

1 were made in the 50s as well. So over the years, we have used engineering to 2 3 change the gauge. Sometimes that means lowering the gauge 4 and increasing engineering design. Sometimes, over the 5 years, we've actually had to increase our gauge as we face 6 new demands in our products, like, say, shipping through 7 FedEx, which is not so easy. So we've done both, you know, it really comes down to the engineering need and how we can 8 9 best design the product. And gauge is one of the factors 10 that we consider, but you know, you can't say single-handedly that if it's got more gauge, it's a better 11 12 product. 13 So it really comes down to what engineering 14 problem we're trying to solve and we look at, you know, 15 engineering and gauge to solve those, and then, regarding 16 the Chinese imports, the ones that we've evaluated actually 17 tend to use more steel. Even though they've largely knocked off our designs, they're actually using thicker-gauged steel 18 19 in a lot of cases. So their products actually have more raw 20 materials in them, but somehow they're, you know, priced 21 less than ours, so that's something we've been struggling 22 with. MR. BAILEY: Tom Bailey, Hirsh. Those Chinese 23 24 products also have more packaging as well. So they're

underselling us dramatically, and they've got more material

1 in them.

2

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 have demanding test requirements. So we may want to make a 4 change, but if it doesn't pass the test requirements, it's 5 not gonna get qualified, and these are pretty rigorous, with 6 a large big box store, it might be three to six months to 7 get our products through their testing and then BIFMA, the industry body that oversees our product category, they've 8 9 got their own set of tests which we have to meet. 10 MR. THOMSEN: Okay, thank you very much for those questions. And I have no further questions. 11 12 MS. CHRIST: Thank you very much. I think we've 13 finished our questions. I want to echo Craig's appreciation 14 that you are coming down here and helping us to, one, get some very critical information on the record, and to answer 15 questions because often, you know, you walk by various 16 17 products and you have absolutely no idea how complicated it is to do that, because, I guess, part of your job is to make 18

And one other comment to build on, our customers

all the evolution and the investment.

So I appreciate you guys all coming down and explaining to us that it's not as simple as, when I walk by it, and I certainly won't look at it the same way as I walk through the hallways and relish all the paper that I still

these things look simple to us and simple for us, that we

forget the complexity behind them, and the automation and

1	have that's mine, all mine. So with that, thank you very,
2	very much, and we will now turn to Mr. Secretary to closing
3	remarks.
4	MR. BURCH: Closing remarks on behalf of those in
5	support of imposition will be given by Kathleen Cannon of
6	Kelley Drye & Warren. Ms. Cannon, you have ten minutes.
7	CLOSING REMARKS BY KATHLEEN W. CANNON
8	MS. CANNON: Mercifully for you I will not be
9	taking ten minutes. There's nothing to rebut today. I very
10	much appreciate your attention and your questions. There's
11	nothing to rebut, some I'm not gonna spend a lot of time. I
12	do wanna say that, to the extent that we can be helpful in
13	trying to help you collect data, we obviously are interested
14	in making sure the record is as comprehensive as it can be.
15	You can tell that we have worked as we could with domestic
16	producers to try to get information, which is pretty
17	significant on the record there. But otherwise, we
18	appreciate your attention today. And we will attempt to
19	answer all the questions we haven't been able to answer
20	publicly in our post-conference briefs, so thank you.
21	MS. CHRIST: Thank you. Appreciate all your
22	efforts in helping us to make sure that we have a good
23	record for the Commissioners. So now, on behalf of the
24	Commission and the staff, I would like to thank all the
25	witnesses who same here today as well as souncel for

1	helping us to gain a better understanding of the product and
2	the conditions of competition in the vertical metal file
3	cabinets industry.
4	Before concluding, please let me mention a few
5	dates to keep in mind. The deadline for submission of
6	corrections to this transcript and for submission of
7	post-conference briefs is Friday, May 24th. If briefs
8	contain business proprietary information, a public version
9	is due Tuesday, May 27th.
10	The Commission has tentatively scheduled its vote
11	on these investigations for Thursday, June 13th, and it will
12	report its determination to the Secretary of the Department
13	of Commerce on Friday, June 14th. Commissioners' opinions
14	will be issued on Friday, June 21st. Thank you all for
15	coming.
16	(Whereupon at 11:19 a.m., the preliminary
17	conference was adjourned.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

TITLE: In The Matter Of: Vertical Metal File Cabinets from China

INVESTIGATION NOS.: 701-TA-623 and 731-TA-1449

HEARING DATE: 5-21-19

LOCATION: Washington, D.C.

NATURE OF HEARING: Preliminary

I hereby certify that the foregoing/attached transcript is a true, correct and complete record of the above-referenced proceeding(s) of the U.S.

International Trade Commission.

DATE: 5-21-19

SIGNED: Mark A. Jagan

Signature of the Contractor or the Authorized Contractor's Representative

I hereby certify that I am not the Court Reporter and that I have proofread the above-referenced transcript of the proceedings of the U.S. International Trade Commission, against the aforementioned Court Reporter's notes and recordings, for accuracy in transcription in the spelling, hyphenation, punctuation and speaker identification and did not make any changes of a substantive nature. The foregoing/attached transcript is a true, correct and complete transcription of the proceedings.

SIGNED: Duane Rice Proofreader

if it is

I hereby certify that I reported the above-referenced proceedings of the U.S. International Trade Commission and caused to be prepared from my tapes and notes of the proceedings a true, correct and complete verbatim recording of the proceedings.

SIGNED: Larry Flowers

Court Reporter