7304.59.80.45, 7304.59.80.50, 7304.59.80.55, 7304.59.80.60, 7304.59.80.65, 7304.59.80.70, 7304.59.80.80, 7305.31.40.00, 7305.31.60.90, 7306.30.50.55, 7306.30.50.90, 7306.50.50, and 7306.50.50.70.

The HTSUS subheadings above are provided for convenience and customs purposes only. The written description of the scope of the investigation is dispositive. [FR Doc. 2013–30559 Filed 12–20–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-821-820, A-307-824]

Ferrosilicon From the Russian Federation and Venezuela: Postponement of Preliminary Determinations of Antidumping Duty Investigations

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: December 23, 2013

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Irene Gorelik (Russia) or Kabir Archuletta (Venezuela), AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6905 or (202) 482– 2593, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 8, 2013, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated antidumping duty investigations on ferrosilicon from the Russian Federation ("Russia") and Venezuela. The *Initiation Notice* stated that, unless postponed, the Department would issue its preliminary determinations in these investigations no later than 140 days after the date of issuance of the initiations, in accordance with section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), and 19 CFR 351.205(b)(1).2

As explained in the memorandum from the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government from

October 1, through October 16, 2013.³ Therefore, all deadlines in these investigations have been extended by 16 days. The tolled deadline for the preliminary determinations of these investigations is January 13, 2014.

Postponement of the Preliminary Determinations

On December 5, 2013, Petitioners 4 made a timely request, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.205(e), for postponement of the preliminary determinations in these investigations to afford the Department additional time to review the respondent's sections A. B. C. and D questionnaire submissions and supplemental responses with revised data, as well as other information critical to the proceedings, such as the cost investigation of Russian ferrosilicon, the mandatory respondent's complex corporate structure and sales processes,⁵ review all questionnaire responses and supplemental responses complicated by a potential cost investigation of FerroAtlantica de Venezuela, S.A.⁶ ("FerroVen"), and data reported by FerroVen affected by high inflation in the Venezuelan economy.7

Because there are no compelling reasons to deny the request, the Department is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determinations in these investigations by 50 days to March 4, 2014, pursuant to section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(e). In accordance with section 735(a)(1) of the Act, the deadline for the final determinations of these investigations will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determinations, unless extended at a later date.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: December 17, 2013.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-922, A-583-842]

Raw Flexible Magnets From the People's Republic of China and Taiwan: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 1, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the initiation of the first sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on raw flexible magnets from the People's Republic of China and Taiwan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), as amended.¹ The Department finds that revocation of these antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping as indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Reviews" section of this notice.

DATES: Effective Date: December 23, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Michael A. Romani or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0198 or (202) 482–1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department received a notice of intent to participate in these sunset reviews from Magnum Magnetics Corporation (the domestic interested party), within the 15-day period specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The domestic interested party claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a producer of the domestic like product.

The Department received an adequate substantive response to the *Notice of Initiation* from the domestic interested party within the 30-day period specified

¹ See Ferrosilicon From the Russian Federation and Venezuela: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations, 78 FR 49471 (August 14, 2013) ("Initiation Notice").

² See id., 78 FR at 49474.

³ See "Memorandum for the Record from Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, 'Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government,'" dated October 18, 2013

⁴Petitioners are Globe Specialty Metals, Inc.; CC Metals and Alloys, LLC; the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union; and the International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America ("UAW").

⁵ See Letter to the Department from Petitioners; re: Ferrosilicon From the Russian Federation; Request for Postponement of the Preliminary Determination, dated December 5 2013.

⁶ See Letter to the Department from Petitioners; re: Ferrosilicon From Venezuela; Request for Postponement of the Preliminary Determination, dated December 5 2013.

⁷ See id.

¹ See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review, 78 FR 46575 (August 1, 2013) (Notice of Initiation).

in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department received no substantive response from any respondent interested parties. In accordance with section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department has conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on raw flexible magnets from the People's Republic of China and Taiwan.

Scope of the Orders

The products subject to these orders are certain flexible magnets regardless of shape,² color, or packaging.³ The complete scope language of these orders is listed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The products subject to the orders are currently classifiable principally under subheadings 8505.19.10 and 8505.19.20 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheadings are provided only for convenience and customs purposes; the written description of the scope of the orders is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in these reviews are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping in the event of revocation and the magnitude of dumping margins likely to prevail if the orders were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file electronically via IA ACCESS. IA ACCESS is available to registered users at http://iaaccess.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit (CRU) in Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at http:// enforcement.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Reviews

The Department determines that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on raw flexible magnets from the People's Republic of China and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

Manufacturers/producers/ exporters	Weighted- average margin (percent)
People's Republic of China: Exporters with a separate rate	105.00
Exporters which are part of	
the country-wide entity	185.28
Taiwan:	
Kin Fong Magnets Co., Ltd Magruba Flexible Magnets	38.03
Co., Ltd	38.03
JASDI Magnet Co., Ltd	38.03
All others	31.20

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a). Timely written notification of the destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

The Department is issuing and publishing the final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

Dated: December 16, 2013.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2013–30511 Filed 12–20–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Virginia, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscope

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301).

Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC

Docket Number: 13–025. Applicant: University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904–4745. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, the Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 78 FR 52760, August 26, 2013.

Docket Number: 13–026. Applicant: Yale University, 850 West Haven, CT 06516. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 78 FR 52760, August 26, 2013.

Docket Number: 13–027. Applicant: United States Army Medical Research Institute of Chemical Defense, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010–5400. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 78 FR 52760, August 26, 2013.

Docket Number: 13–029. Applicant: Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287–5212. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, the Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 78 FR 52760, August 26, 2013.

Docket Number: 13–032. Applicant: Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Chevy Chase, MD 20815. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: See notice at 78 FR 52760, August 26, 2013.

Docket Number: 13–033. Applicant: University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA 15261. Instrument: Electron Microsocpe. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 78 FR 52760, August 26, 2013.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as this instrument is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States at the time the instrument was ordered. Reasons: Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

²The term "shape" includes, but is not limited to profiles, which are flexible magnets with a non-rectangular cross-section.

 $^{^3\,\}mathrm{Packaging}$ includes retail or specialty packaging such as digital printer cartridges.