U.S. PURCHASERS' QUESTIONNAIRE

CUT-TO-LENGTH CARBON-QUALITY STEEL PLATE FROM INDIA, INDONESIA, ITALY, JAPAN, AND KOREA

This questionnaire must be received by the Commission by no later than August 10, 2011

See page 4 of the Instruction Booklet for filing instructions.

The information called for in this questionnaire is for use by the United States International Trade Commission in connection with its review of the countervailing duty and antidumping duty orders concerning cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate ("CTL plate") from India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, and Korea (Inv. Nos. 701-TA-388-391 and 731-TA-817-821 (Second Review)). The information requested in the questionnaire is requested under the authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII. This report is mandatory and failure to reply as directed can result in a subpoena or other order to compel the submission of records or information in your possession (19 U.S.C. § 1333(a)). Further information on this questionnaire can be obtained from Aimee Larsen (202-205-3179, aimee.larsen@usitc.gov).

Name of firm

| | State | Zip Code |
|--|---|--|
| World Wide | Web address | |
| • | purchased CTL plate (as defined in the instruction book ce January 1, 2005? | klet) from any source (domestic or foreign) |
| □NO | (Sign the certification below and promptly return only this p | age of the questionnaire to the Commission) |
| ☐ YES | (Read the instruction booklet carefully, complete all parts of questionnaire to the Commission so as to be received by the | |
| | CERTIFICATION | |
| J | | d verification by the Commission. |
| ans of this certification provided in ted by the Commisowledge that information, its employeding the records of the tegen to the tegen tegen to the tegen | cation I also grant consent for the Commission, and this questionnaire and throughout this proceeding assion on the same or similar merchandise. Transition submitted in this questionnaire response and test, and contract personnel who are acting in the cap of this proceeding or related proceedings for which this he programs and operations of the Commission pursugn non-disclosure agreements. | d its employees and contract personnel, to use the in any other import-injury proceedings or review and throughout this proceeding may be used by the pacity of Commission employees, for developing of information is submitted, or in internal audits and |
| ans of this certification provided in ted by the Commis owledge that information, its employeding the records of the tersonnel will signs and the tersonnel will signs. | cation I also grant consent for the Commission, and this questionnaire and throughout this proceeding assion on the same or similar merchandise. Transition submitted in this questionnaire response and test, and contract personnel who are acting in the cap of this proceeding or related proceedings for which this he programs and operations of the Commission pursugn non-disclosure agreements. | d its employees and contract personnel, to use the in any other import-injury proceedings or review and throughout this proceeding may be used by the pacity of Commission employees, for developing of information is submitted, or in internal audits and uant to 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3. I understand that a |

PART I.—GENERAL INFORMATION

The questions in this questionnaire have been reviewed with market participants to ensure that issues of concern are adequately addressed and that data requests are sufficient, meaningful, and as limited as possible. Public reporting burden for this questionnaire is estimated to average 40 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the questionnaire. Send comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to aimee.larsen@usitc.gov or via the other submission options described in the instruction booklet.

| 1a. | | sPlease report the eply to this question | | r of hours required pleting the form. | and the co | ost to your firm of | |
|------|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|----------------|----------------------|----|
| | | | | ho | ours | dollar | S |
| ·1b. | questionnaire in | n general or the | clarity of speci | comments you m fic questions. Ple ion options describe | ase attacl | h such comments | to |
| 2. | questionnaire (s | see page 3 of the i | nstruction book | l address of establis let for reporting gui e and trading symb | idelines). | | _ |
| ∙3. | OwnershipIs | _ | I, in whole or in | part, by any other f | firm? | | - |
| | Firm name | | Address | | | Extent of ownership | |
| 4. | domestic or for and/or Korea in | eign, that are enga to the United Stat y, Japan, and/or Ko | aged in importing tes or that are en | | ndia, Indo | onesia, Italy, Japar | 1, |
| | Firm name | | <u>Address</u> | | <u>Affilia</u> | <u>tion</u> | |
| | | | | | | | |

PART I.--GENERAL INFORMATION--Continued

| No | YesList | the following informa | tion. | | |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Firm name | | | | | |
| | | <u>Address</u> | | Affiliation | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| olan. Does yo | ur company or | any related firm have a | a business plan o | or any internal documents that | į |
| No | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | , , | |
| | | | | | |
| | olan. Does you lescribe, discu | olan. Does your company or a lescribe, discuss, or analyze e | olan. Does your company or any related firm have a lescribe, discuss, or analyze expected market condition. No YesPlease provide the requested in the sequence of the seque | olan. Does your company or any related firm have a business plan of lescribe, discuss, or analyze expected market conditions for CTL plants. In the provide the requested documents. In the provide the requested documents. | Business planIn Part III of this questionnaire we request a copy of your company's business plan. Does your company or any related firm have a business plan or any internal documents that lescribe, discuss, or analyze expected market conditions for CTL plate? No YesPlease provide the requested documents. If you are not providing the requested documents, please explain why not. |

PART II.--PURCHASES

| Please | identify the individual to be | contacted and indica | ate the metho | ds to be used | for questic | ons regarding |
|---------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|
| the con | fidential information in par | ts II to IV. | | | | |

| Name and title: | |
|-----------------|---------------|
| E-mail: | Telephone: () |

PLEASE NOTE:

For the remaining questions, when providing information regarding imports from Korea, please exclude imports from POSCO from your discussion. Imports from POSCO are not subject to the antidumping order and should be addressed when discussing nonsubject CTL plate.

II-1. **Purchases.--**Report, as indicated below, your firm's purchases (either directly or through a sales agent or broker) of CTL plate. Report based on delivery date, not order date.

| Item | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | JanJune 2010 | JanJune 2011 |
|--|----------|------|------|------|------|------|-----------------|-----------------|
| irchases of CTL plate p | oroduced | in | | | | | | |
| The United States: Quantity | | | | | | | | |
| Value | | | | | | | | |
| India: Quantity | | | | | | | | |
| Value | | | | | | | | |
| Indonesia: Quantity | | | | | | | | |
| Value | | | | | | | | |
| Italy: Quantity | | | | | | | | |
| Value | | | | | | | | |
| Japan: Quantity | | | | | | | | |
| Value | | | | | | | | |
| Korea: Quantity | | | | | | | | |
| Value | | | | | | | | |
| All other countries: ¹ Quantity | | | | | | | | |
| Value | | | | | | | | |

PART II.--PURCHASES--Continued

II-3.

II-2. <u>Changes in purchasing patterns.</u>— Please indicate how the relative levels of your firm's purchases of CTL plate from different sources (both domestic and foreign) have changed since 2005.

| Source of purchases | Trend | Explanation for trend |
|---------------------|---|-----------------------|
| The United States | ☐ Decreased ☐ Increased ☐ No change ☐ Fluctuated ☐ Did not purchase | |
| India | ☐ Decreased ☐ Increased ☐ No change ☐ Fluctuated ☐ Did not purchase | |
| Indonesia | ☐ Decreased ☐ Increased ☐ No change ☐ Fluctuated ☐ Did not purchase | |
| Italy | ☐ Decreased ☐ Increased ☐ No change ☐ Fluctuated ☐ Did not purchase | |
| Japan | ☐ Decreased ☐ Increased ☐ No change ☐ Fluctuated ☐ Did not purchase | |
| Korea | ☐ Decreased ☐ Increased ☐ No change ☐ Fluctuated ☐ Did not purchase | |
| All other countries | ☐ Decreased ☐ Increased ☐ No change ☐ Fluctuated ☐ Did not purchase | |

PART II.--PURCHASES--Continued

II-5. <u>Supplier identification.</u>--Please identify below the names and addresses of your firm's <u>FIVE</u> largest suppliers for CTL plate since 2005. Please also provide the name and telephone number of a contact person and the share of the quantity of your firm's total shipments of CTL plate that each of these suppliers accounted for in 2010.

| No. | Supplier's name | City and state | Contact person | Telephone number or e-mail address | Share of 2010 purchases (%) |
|-----|--------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |

| Firm typeWhich of the all that apply)? | following best describes | your firm as a purchaser of | of CTL plate (che |
|--|--|--|--|
| ☐ End user ☐ Distributor ☐ Other (Describe: | | | |
| | | reseller of CTL plate, do y ters from which you purch | |
| □ No □ | YesPlease describe | | |
| | | | |
| Types of customersIf y types of consumers to white types of customers. Tool and gas fabricators to storage tank and struct fabricators to consumers to white types of customersIf y types of customers to white types of consumers ty | ch you sell CTL plate? (tural fabricators | | portation-related r agricultural cturers |
| End usesIf your firm is consumed, the top 3 produ input. Please indicate what inputs. | cts for which your firm p | ourchases CTL plate as a co | omponent part o |
| | | each of the product(s) | |
| Product(s) you produce | CTL plate (percent) | Other inputs (percent) | Total |
| 1. | | | 100% |
| 2. | | | 100% |
| 3. | | | 100% |

| III-5. | Demand for end use products | | | | |
|--------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | (a) | If your firm is an end user of CTL plate, has the demand for your firm's final products incorporating CTL plate changed since 2005? | | | |
| | | ☐ Increased ☐ Decreased ☐ Fluctuated ☐ No change | | | |
| | (b) | Has this had any effect on your firm's demand for CTL plate? | | | |
| | | ☐ No—Please explain. ☐ YesPlease describe. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| III-6. | Chang | ges in end usesHave there been any changes in the end uses of CTL plate since 2005? YesPlease describe. | | | |
| | | reserved. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| III-7. | Antici CTL p | pated changes in end usesDo you anticipate any changes in terms of the end uses of late? | | | |
| | □ No | YesPlease describe. | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Sub | stitute product | Description of applications or end uses | | anges in the prices of this substitute the price of CTL plate since January 1, 2005? |
|---------|-----------------|--|------------|--|
| 1. | | | □ No | Yes Yes—please explain. |
| 2. | | | □ No | Yes—please explain. |
| 3. | | | □ No | Yes—please explain. |
| III-9. | | itutesHave there been any cl for CTL plate since 2005? YesPlease explain. | nanges in | the number or types of products that |
| III-10. | | ges in substitutesDo you an other products for CTL plate? YesPlease describe. | ticipate a | ny changes in terms of the |

| III-11. | Demand trends | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---------------|--|---|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | (a) | How has the demand within the United States for CTL plate changed since 2005? What principal factors affect changes in demand? | | | | | | | |
| | | ☐ Increased | Decreased | Fluctuated | ☐ No change | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | (b) | | and outside the Unite ffect changes in den | | ate changed since 2005? What | | | | |
| | | ☐ Increased | Decreased | Fluctuated | ☐ No change | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| III-12. | Antici | pated demand tren | <u>ıds</u> | | | | | | |
| | (a) | | | | ted States for CTL plate in se changes in demand? | | | | |
| | | ☐ Increase | Decrease | Fluctuate | ☐ No change | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | (b) | | | | ited States for CTL plate in se changes in demand? | | | | |
| | | ☐ Increase | Decrease | Fluctuate | ☐ No change | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| and demand and provide meaningful information that you rely on. |
|--|
| Changes in factors affecting supplyHave any changes occurred in any other factors affecting supply (e.g., changes in availability or prices of raw materials, energy or labor; transportation conditions; production capacity and/or methods of production; technology; export markets; or alternative production opportunities) that affected the availability of U.Sproduced CTL plate in the U.S. market since 2005? No YesPlease provide details. |
| Supply constraintsHave any suppliers (U.S. or foreign) refused, declined, or been unable to supply CTL plate since 2005? (Examples include being placed on allocation (or "controlled order entry"), supplier(s) declining to accept new customers or renew existing customers, delivering less than the quantity promised, been unable to meet timely shipment commitments, etc.) No Yes Please note the time period(s) (i.e., month and year), the customer involved, the amount and type of product involved; and the reason for the allocation. |
| \$ C & C & C & C & C & C & C & C & C & C |

| III-16. | | rtance of purchasing domestic productIs buying a product that is produced in the I States an important factor in your firm's purchases of CTL plate (check ALL that apply)? |
|---------|--------------|---|
| | ☐ No | |
| | Ye | esPurchasing the domestic product is important. However, when the domestic product does not meet the customer's specifications, the firm purchases plate from foreign sources. This involves percent of all our purchases of CTL plate. |
| | Ye | es Purchases of domestic product are required by law or regulation (for example, government purchases under "Buy American" provisions). This involves percent of all our purchases of CTL plate. |
| | ☐ Ye | esPurchases of domestic product are not required by law or regulation, but are by our customers. This involves percent of all our purchases of CTL plate. |
| | ☐ Ye | esPurchases of domestic product are required for other reasons (please specify these reasons below). This involves percent of all our purchases of CTL plate. |
| | | |
| III-17. | <u>Condi</u> | itions of competition |
| | (a) | Is the CTL plate market subject to business cycles or conditions of competition other than the changes in the overall economy? |
| | | ☐ No ☐ YesPlease explain and estimate the duration of any such cycle. |
| | (b) | Have the business evales or conditions of competition for CTL plate changed since 2005° |
| | (b) | Have the business cycles or conditions of competition for CTL plate changed since 2005? No YesPlease explain any such changes. |
| | | |
| | | |

| | <u>Decisions based on producer</u> Does your firm, and to the extent that you know, do your customers make purchasing decisions involving CTL plate based on the producer of the CTL plate you purchase? | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| | Your firm: | Always | Usually | Sometimes | Never | | | |
| | Your customers: | Always | Usually | Sometimes | Never | | | |
| | If at least sometimes why this information | | ow your firm or yo | our customers determi | ine the producer and | | | |
| | Your firm: | | | | | | | |
| | Your customers: | | | | | | | |
| 19. | your customers mak | e purchasing decis | | n, and to the extent tha | | | | |
| | the CTL plate you p | urchase: | | | country of origin of | | | |
| | Your firm: | Always | Usually | Sometimes | Never | | | |
| | | | Usually Usually | ☐ Sometimes ☐ Sometimes | _ | | | |
| | Your firm: Your customers: | ☐ Always ☐ Always s, please discuss 1 | Usually | <u> </u> | ☐ Never | | | |
| | Your firm: Your customers: If at least sometime | ☐ Always ☐ Always as, please discuss len is important. | Usually | Sometimes your customers determ | ☐ Never | | | |

PART III.-- MARKET CHARACTERISTICS AND PURCHASING PRACTICES--Continued

| III-20. | Purcha | sing frequency | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | (a) | How frequently do you make purchases? | | | | |
| | | ☐ Daily ☐ Weekly ☐ Monthly ☐ Quarterly ☐ Annually | | | | |
| | | Other (specify)) | | | | |
| | (b) | Do you expect this purchasing pattern to change in the next two years? | | | | |
| | | ☐ No ☐ Yes How and why do you expect these changes to occur? | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| III-21. | Number purchase | er of suppliers contactedHow many suppliers do you generally contact before making a se? firms | | | | |
| III-22. | Supplier negotiations | | | | | |
| | (a) | Do purchases of CTL plate usually involve negotiations between supplier and purchaser? | | | | |
| | | ☐ No ☐ YesPlease describe these negotiations. In your response, please comment on whether purchasers generally quote competing prices as part of the negotiation process. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | (b) | Does your firm tend to vary its purchases from a given supplier within a specified time period based on the price offered for that period? | | | | |
| | | ☐ No ☐ YesSpecify the time period. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

III-23. **Type of sale.**—Approximately what share of your firm's purchases of domestic and imported CTL plate in **2010** were on a (1) long-term contract basis (multiple deliveries for more than 12 months), (2) short-term contract basis (multiple deliveries up to 12 months), and (3) spot sales basis (for a single delivery)?

| | Share of sales | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Type of sale | Domestic | Subject imports | Nonsubject imports | | | |
| Long-term contracts | % | % | % | | | |
| Short-term contracts | % | % | % | | | |
| Spot sales | % | % | % | | | |
| | 100% | 100% | 100% | | | |

| □ No | YesPlease list the supplier or suppliers and indicate whether the firm was added or dropped as a supplier. Also give the reasons for the change and how frequently you change suppliers. |
|---------------|--|
| New su | ippliers |
| (a) | Are you aware of any new suppliers, either foreign or domestic, that have entered the market since 2005? |
| | No YesPlease identify the firms and indicate how you became aware of them. |
| (b) | Do you expect new CTL plate suppliers to enter the U.S. market? No YesPlease provide details. |
| | |
| <u>Suppli</u> | er qualification |
| | you require your suppliers to be or to become certified or qualified to sell CTL plate to rm? |
| ☐ No | Yes percent of value of purchases in 2010 Yesall purchases |
| describ | ase provide a general description of the certification or qualification process. Briefly e the factors that you consider when qualifying a new supplier (e.g., quality of product ity of supplier, etc.) |
| | (a) Supplie (a) Do your find No (b) Pledescrib |

| I-27. | <u>Failure to certify</u> Since 2005, have any certify or qualify their CTL plate with you | | | _ |
|-------|--|-------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| | No YesPlease identify the reasons why the | | ountries where the fication/qualifica | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| I-28. | Purchasing factorsFor the factors listed your purchase decision for CTL plate. | d below, please r | ate each in terms | of its importance in |
| | | Very important | Somewhat important | Not important |
| | Availability | | | |
| | Delivery terms | | | |
| | Delivery time | | | |
| | Discounts offered | | | |
| | Extension of credit | | | |
| | Price | | | |
| | Minimum qty requirements | | | |
| | Packaging | | | |
| | Product consistency | | | |
| | Quality meets industry standards | | | |
| | Quality exceeds industry standards | | | |
| | Quality meets customer specifications | | | |
| | Product range | | | |
| | Reliability of supply | | | |
| | Technical support/service | | | |
| | U.S. transportation costs | | | |
| | Other (specify): | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | П | П | |

| III-29. | Major purchasing factorsPlease list, in order of their importance, the three major factors generally considered by your firm in deciding from whom to purchase CTL plate for any one order (examples include availability, extension of credit, contracts, price, quality, range of supplier's product line, traditional supplier, etc.). | | | | | | |
|---------|---|-------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 1 | | | | | | |
| | 2 | | | | | | |
| | 3 | | | | | | |
| | Other factors or | comments: | | | | | |
| III-30. | Quality characted quality of CTL pl | | eristics does your firm cons | ider when determining the | | | |
| III-31. | Frequency of de | | How often does your firm | n purchase the CTL plate that | | | |
| | Always | Usually | Sometimes | ☐ Never | | | |
| III-32. | either upward or | downward, that is follo | | that initiate a price change, one or more firms that have a eve to be the lowest priced | | | |
| | | | onsidered price leaders in the xhibited price leadership. | ne CTL plate market since | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

PART III.-- MARKET CHARACTERISTICS AND PURCHASING PRACTICES--Continued

III-33. Changes in U.S. industry.--

| responsible for ea | ch improvement/change. | hat was |
|--------------------|---|---------|
| | | |
| - | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | improvements/changes that you anticipate in the future y. Identify the time period and causes for these improve | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| III-34. | Effect of revocationWhat do you think will be the likely effects of any revocation of the subject countervailing duty and antidumping duty orders for imports of CTL plate from India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, and/or Korea? As appropriate, please discuss any potential effects of revocation of the subject countervailing duty and antidumping duty orders on (1) the future activities of your firm and (2) the U.S. market as a whole. Please note the future time period to which you are referring. Attach additional pages if necessary. |
|---------|--|
| | (1) Activities of your firm: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | (2) Entire U.S. market: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

PART IV.-- PRODUCT COMPARISIONS

| IV-1. | <u>Country knowledge</u> Please indicate the countries of chas actual marketing/pricing knowledge. | origin for CTL plate for which your firm | |
|-------|--|--|---|
| | ☐ United States | ☐ Italy | |
| | ☐ India | ☐ Japan | |
| | ☐ Indonesia | ☐ Korea | |
| | Other countries (Please specify | |) |
| IV-2. | <u>Interchangeability by country-pair.</u> Is CTL plate procountries interchangeable (<i>i.e.</i> , can they physically be unindicate below, using the following codes: | | |
| | A = Products from this country-pair are <i>always</i> interches F = Products from this country-pair are <i>frequently</i> interches S = Products from this country-pair are <i>sometimes</i> interches N = Products from this country-pair are <i>never</i> interches 0 = <i>No familiarity</i> with products from this country-pair | erchangeable erchangeable ¹ engeable ¹ | |

| Country-pair | India | Indonesia | Italy | Japan | Korea | Other countries |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| United States | | | | | | |
| India | | | | | | |
| Indonesia | | | | | | |
| Italy | | >< | | | | |
| Japan | | \geq | | > | | |
| Korea | | >< | | | \searrow | |
| ¹ For any c explain the fac | ountry-pair proc ctors that limit o | lucing CTL plater preclude interd | e that is <i>sometii</i> changeable use | mes or <i>never</i> int : | erchangeable, | please |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

- IV-3. **Factors other than price.**--Are differences other than price (*i.e.*, quality, availability, transportation network, product range, technical support, *etc.*) between CTL plate produced in the United States and in other countries a significant factor in your firm's purchases of the products? Please indicate below, using the following codes:
 - A = Products from this country-pair are always significant¹
 - F = Products from this country-pair are *frequently* significant¹
 - S = Products from this country-pair are *sometimes* significant
 - N = Products from this country-pair are *never* significant
 - 0 = No familiarity with products from this country-pair

| Country-pair | India | Indonesia | Italy | Japan | Korea | Other countries |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| United States | | | | | | |
| India | | | | | | |
| Indonesia | | | | | | |
| Italy | | | \nearrow | | | |
| Japan | | \nearrow | \backslash | | | |
| Korea | | \nearrow | \times | | \times | |
| ¹ For any c your firm's pur imparted by su | chases of glyci | vhich factors other. | ner than price a | lways or freque | ntly are a signifi antages or disa | cant factor in dvantages |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| CTL plate grades/types/sizes | Country source |
|---|---|
| Pressure vessel plate | |
| Floor plate | |
| Steel plate for offshore structures- API 2W | |
| Steel plate for offshore structures- API 2Y | |
| Shipbuilding plate | |
| Construction machinery plate | |
| X-70 (or higher) plate for line pipe | |
| Other: | |
| Other: | |
| Choice of product not based on priceIf you pure omparable product was available from another sou easons for doing so (please specify by country, included on subject foreign countries). Possibilities might intend of time to fill orders, minimum order size, relative to the countries of the cou | rce at a lower price, please explain y luding the United States and both su aclude transaction characteristics such |

IV-6. <u>Factor country comparisons.</u>--For the factors listed below, please rate how CTL plate produced in each country you identified in your response to the first question in Part IV compares with CTL plate produced in each of the other countries you identified (including the United States and both subject and nonsubject foreign countries).

| | product from United States compared to product from India | | | United col pro | product from United States compared to product from Indonesia | | | product from United States compared to product from Italy | | |
|--|---|------------|----------|----------------------|---|----------|----------|---|----------|--|
| Quality | Superior | Comparable | Inferior | Superior | Comparable | Inferior | Superior | Comparable | Inferior | |
| Availability | | | | | | | | | | |
| Delivery terms | | | | | | | | | | |
| Delivery time | | | | | | | | | | |
| Discounts offered | | | | | | | | | | |
| Extension of credit | | | | | | | | | | |
| Price ¹ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum quantity requirements | | | | | | | | | | |
| Packaging | | | | | | | | | | |
| Product consistency | | | | | | | | | | |
| Quality meets industry standards | | | | | | | | | | |
| Quality exceeds industry standards | | | | | | | | | | |
| Quality meets customer standards | | | | | | | | | | |
| Product range | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reliability of supply | | | | | | | | | | |
| Technical support/service | | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. transportation costs ¹ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other (specify): | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | П | | | | П | | | | |

¹ A rating of superior on price and U.S. transportation costs indicates that the first country generally has lower prices/U.S. transportation costs than the second country.

IV-6. Continued.

| IV-0. <u>Commueu.</u> | product from United States compared to product from Japan | | product from United States compared to product from Korea | | | product from United States compared to product from Nonsubject | | | |
|--|---|------------|---|----------|------------|--|----------|------------|----------|
| Quality | Superior | Comparable | Inferior | Superior | Comparable | Inferior | Superior | Comparable | Inferior |
| Availability | | | | | | | | | |
| Delivery terms | | | | | | | | | |
| Delivery time | | | | | | | | | |
| Discounts offered | | | | | | | | | |
| Extension of credit | | | | | | | | | |
| Price ¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum quantity requirements | | | | | | | | | |
| Packaging | | | | | | | | | |
| Product consistency | | | | | | | | | |
| Quality meets industry standards | | | | | | | | | |
| Quality exceeds industry standards | | | | | | | | | |
| Quality meets customer standards | | | | | | | | | |
| Product range | | | | | | | | | |
| Reliability of supply | | | | | | | | | |
| Technical support/service | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. transportation costs ¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| Other (specify): | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | П | | П | | П | | | | П |

¹ A rating of superior on price and U.S. transportation costs indicates that the first country generally has lower prices/U.S. transportation costs than the second country.

IV-6. Continued.

| CV 0. Commucu. | | product from | | | product from | | | product from | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------------------|--------------|----------|--|
| | compared to product from | | | compared to product from | | | compared to product from | | | |
| Quality | Superior | Comparable | Inferior | Superior | Comparable | Inferior | Superior | Comparable | Inferior | |
| Availability | | | | | | | | | | |
| Delivery terms | | | | | | | | | | |
| Delivery time | | | | | | | | | | |
| Discounts offered | | | | | | | | | | |
| Extension of credit | | | | | | | | | | |
| Price ¹ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum quantity requirements | | | | | | | | | | |
| Packaging | | | | | | | | | | |
| Product consistency | | | | | | | | | | |
| Quality meets industry standards | | | | | | | | | | |
| Quality exceeds industry standards | | | | | | | | | | |
| Quality meets customer standards | | | | | | | | | | |
| Product range | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reliability of supply | | | | | | | | | | |
| Technical support/service | | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. transportation costs ¹ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other (specify): | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | П | П | П | Ιп | П | | | П | | |

¹ A rating of superior on price and U.S. transportation costs indicates that the first country generally has lower prices/U.S. transportation costs than the second country.

| IV-7. | <u>Minii</u> | Minimum quality | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--------------|---|-------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | (a) | um quality spec | cifications | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Always | Usually | | Sometimes | Rarely or never | | | | | | |
| | | Please explain if you responded "Sometimes" or "Rarely or never." | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (b) | How often does imported subject CTL plate meet minimum quality specifications for your uses or your customers' uses? | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | \$ | Source | Always | Usually | Sometimes | Rarely or never | | | | | |
| | | India | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Indonesia | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Italy | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Japan | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Korea | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Please explain if you responded "Sometimes" or "Rarely or never." | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (c) | How often does imported nonsubject CTL plate (<i>i.e.</i> , CTL plate from countries other than India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, and/or Korea) meet minimum quality specifications for your uses or your customers' uses? | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ırce | Always | Usually | Sometimes | Rarely or never | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Please explain if | you responded "So | ometimes" or | "Rarely or ne | ver." | | | | | | |

PART IV.-- PRODUCT COMPARISIONS--Continued

| [V-8. | | CTL plate from India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, and Korea since 2005? |
|-------|-----------------|---|
| | U.S./India: | □ Prices have changed by the same amount. □ U.S. prices have increased relative to India. □ U.S. prices have decreased relative to India. |
| | U.S./Indonesia: | □ Prices have changed by the same amount. □ U.S. prices have increased relative to Indonesia. □ U.S. prices have decreased relative to Indonesia. |
| | U.S./Italy: | □ Prices have changed by the same amount. □ U.S. prices have increased relative to Italy. □ U.S. prices have decreased relative to Italy. |
| | U.S./Japan: | □ Prices have changed by the same amount. □ U.S. prices have increased relative to Japan. □ U.S. prices have decreased relative to Japan. |
| | U.S./Korea: | □ Prices have changed by the same amount. □ U.S. prices have increased relative to Korea. □ U.S. prices have decreased relative to Korea. |
| | U.S./nonsubject | imports: Prices have changed by the same amount. U.S. prices have increased relative to nonsubject imports. U.S. prices have decreased relative to nonsubject imports. |
| | | c.s. prices have decreased relative to nonsubject imports. |