of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of one domestic interested party, and the lack of a response from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of this antidumping duty order. As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels identified below in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice. EFFECTIVE DATE: March 10, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: David Cordell or Scott Hoefke, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, or Dana Mermelstein, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0408, (202) 482–4947 or (202) 482– 1391, respectively.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On November 2, 2009, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on hand trucks from the PRC pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. *See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 74 FR 56593 (November 2, 2009). The Department received a notice of intent to participate from the domestic interested parties, Gleason Industrial Products, Inc. and Precision Products, Inc. (collectively, Gleason) within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).

Gleason claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a U.S manufacturer and producer of the subject merchandise. On November 24, 2009, the Department received a complete substantive response from Gleason within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department received no substantive responses from respondent interested parties. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department has conducted an expedited sunset review of this order.

As explained in the memorandum from the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government from February 5, through February 12, 2010. Thus, all deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# International Trade Administration

[A-570-891]

Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited Five-year (Sunset) Review of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On November 2, 2009, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on hand trucks and certain parts thereof (hand trucks) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) pursuant to section 751(c) seven days. The revised deadline for the final results of this review is now March 9, 2010. *See* Memorandum to the Record from Ronald Lorentzen, DAS for import Administration, regarding "Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During the Recent Snowstorm," dated February 12, 2010.

## Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to this antidumping duty order consists of hand trucks manufactured from any material, whether assembled or unassembled, complete or incomplete, suitable for any use, and certain parts thereof, namely the vertical frame, the handling area and the projecting edges or toe plate, and any combination thereof.

A complete or fully assembled hand truck is a hand-propelled barrow consisting of a vertically disposed frame having a handle or more than one handle at or near the upper section of the vertical frame; at least two wheels at or near the lower section of the vertical frame; and a horizontal projecting edge or edges, or toe plate, perpendicular or angled to the vertical frame, at or near the lower section of the vertical frame. The projecting edge or edges, or toe plate, slides under a load for purposes of lifting and/or moving the load.

That the vertical frame can be converted from a vertical setting to a horizontal setting, then operated in that horizontal setting as a platform, is not a basis for exclusion of the hand truck from the scope of this order. That the vertical frame, handling area, wheels, projecting edges or other parts of the hand truck can be collapsed or folded is not a basis for exclusion of the hand truck from the scope of the order. That other wheels may be connected to the vertical frame, handling area, projecting edges, or other parts of the hand truck, in addition to the two or more wheels located at or near the lower section of the vertical frame, is not a basis for exclusion of the hand truck from the scope of the order. Finally, that the hand truck may exhibit physical characteristics in addition to the vertical frame, the handling area, the projecting edges or toe plate, and the two wheels at or near the lower section of the vertical frame, is not a basis for exclusion of the hand truck from the scope of the order.

Examples of names commonly used to reference hand trucks are hand truck, convertible hand truck, appliance hand truck, cylinder hand truck, bag truck, dolly, or hand trolley. They are typically imported under heading 8716.80.50.10 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), although they may also be imported under heading 8716.80.50.90. Specific parts of a hand truck, namely the vertical frame, the handling area and the projecting edges or toe plate, or any combination thereof, are typically imported under heading 8716.90.50.60 of the HTSUS. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the Department's written description of the scope is dispositive.

Excluded from the scope are small two-wheel or four-wheel utility carts specifically designed for carrying loads like personal bags or luggage in which the frame is made from telescoping tubular materials measuring less than 5/ 8 inch in diameter; hand trucks that use motorized operations either to move the hand truck from one location to the next or to assist in the lifting of items placed on the hand truck; vertical carriers designed specifically to transport golf bags; and wheels and tires used in the manufacture of hand trucks. The written description remains dispositive.

#### **Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in this case are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum from John M. Andersen, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, to Carole Showers, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated March 2, 2010 (Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail if the order was revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in room 1117 of the main Department building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at http:// ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### **Final Results of Sunset Review**

The Department has determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order on hand trucks from the PRC would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted–average margins:

Manufacturers/	Weighted-Average
Exporters/Producers	Margin (Percent)
Qingdao Taifa Group	
Co., Ltd	26.49 percent
True Potential Co	33.68 percent
Qingdao Huatian Hand	
Truck Co., Ltd	46.48 percent
Shandong Machinery	
Import & Export	
Group Corp	32.76 percent
Qingdao Future Tool	
Inc	32.76 percent
PRC-wide rate	383.60 percent

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

The Department is issuing and publishing these results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 2, 2010.

#### Carole Showers,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010–5111 Filed 3–9–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S