#### **PRODUCERS' QUESTIONNAIRE**

#### LOW ENRICHED URANIUM FROM FRANCE

#### Return completed questionnaire to:

#### UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Office of Investigations, Room 615 500 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20436

#### So as to be received by the Commission by no later than August 3, 2007

The information called for in this questionnaire is for use by the United States International Trade Commission in connection with its antidumping review concerning low enriched uranium ("LEU") from France (inv. No. 731-TA-909 (Review)). The information requested in the questionnaire is requested under the authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII. This report is mandatory and failure to reply as directed can result in a subpoena or other order to compel the submission of records or information in your possession (19 U.S.C. § 1333(a)).

Name of firm		
Address		
City	State	Zip Code
World Wide Web address _		
Has your firm produced LEU (a	s defined in the instruction bookle	et) at any time since January 1, 2002?
<b>NO</b> (Sign the certification be	low and promptly return only this pag	ge of the questionnaire to the Commission)
	oklet carefully, complete all parts of t ire to the Commission so as to be rec	he questionnaire, and return the entire eived by the date indicated above)

#### CERTIFICATION

I certify that the information herein supplied in response to this questionnaire is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and understand that the information submitted is subject to audit and verification by the Commission.

By signing this certification I also grant consent for the Commission, and its employees and contract personnel, to use the information provided in this questionnaire and throughout this review in any other import-injury investigations or reviews conducted by the Commission on the same or similar merchandise. (If you do not consent to such use, please note the certification accordingly.)

I acknowledge that information submitted in this questionnaire response and throughout this review may be used by the Commission, its employees, and contract personnel who are acting in the capacity of Commission employees, for developing or maintaining the records of this review or related proceedings for which this information is submitted, or in internal audits and investigations relating to the programs and operations of the Commission pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3. I understand that all contract personnel will sign non-disclosure agreements.

Name and Title of Authorized Official

Date

Signature of Authorized Official

Phone

Ext.:

Fax

E-mail address

PART I.—<u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u>

The questions in this questionnaire have been reviewed with market participants to ensure that issues of concern are adequately addressed and that data requests are sufficient, meaningful, and as limited as possible. Public reporting burden for this questionnaire is estimated to average 50 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the questionnaire. Send comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20436.

I-1a. Please report below the actual number of hours required and the cost to your firm of preparing the reply to this questionnaire and completing the form.

hours dollars

- I-1b. We are interested in any comments you may have for improving this questionnaire in general or the clarity of specific questions. Please attach such comments to your response or send them to the above address.
- I-2. Provide the name and address of establishment(s) covered by this questionnaire (see pages 3-4 of the instruction booklet for reporting guidelines). If your firm is publicly traded, please specify the stock exchange and trading symbol.

I-3. Do you support or oppose continuation of the antidumping duty order currently in place for subject LEU from France? Please indicate and explain.

Support Oppose Take no position

U.S. P	Producers' Questionnaire -	LEU fron	n France (731-TA-909 (Review))	I	Page 3
PART	TI.— <u>GENERAL INFOR</u>	MATION	<u> —Continued</u>		
I-4.	Is your firm owned, in v	vhole or ir	n part, by any other firm?		
	No	Yes-	List the following information		
	<u>Firm name</u>		Address	Extent of ownership	
I-5.			irms, either domestic or foreign, wh he United States or which are enga		 
	France to the United Sta				
	🗌 No	Yes	List the following information		
	<u>Firm name</u>		Address	<u>Affiliation</u>	
I-6.	importing LEU from co	untries otl	irms, either domestic or foreign, wh ner than France into the United Sta ner than France to the United States	tes or which are engage	ed in
	🗌 No	Yes	-List the following information		
	Firm name		Address	<u>Affiliation</u>	

#### PART I.—<u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u>—Continued

I-7. Does your firm have any related firms, either domestic or foreign, which are engaged in the production of LEU?

y related firm have a business plan or a expected future market conditions for I	•
YesPlease provide the request providing the requested of	sted documents. If you are not documents, please explain why
	expected future market conditions for YesPlease provide the reque

#### PART II.—<u>TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION</u>

II-2.

Further information on this part of the questionnaire can be obtained from Nathanael Comly (202-205-3174; <u>nathanael.comly@usitc.gov</u>). **Supply all data requested on a <u>calendar-year</u> basis**.

II-1. Who should be contacted regarding the requested trade and related information?

Company contact:							
	Name and title						
	( )						
	Phone number	E-mail address					
• •	since February 6, 2002	naracter of its operations or organization relating to (the date on which the antidumping duty order					
Plant openings		Relocations					
Expansions		Acquisitions					
Consolidations		Closures					
Prolonged Shutdow	vns <sup>1</sup>	Other					
Revised labor agre	ements <sup>2</sup>						
<sup>1</sup> Reasons include strikes or equipment failure; curtailment of production because of shortages of materials; or any other change in the character of your operations or organization.							

<sup>2</sup> Changes in wages, benefits, or work rules; or any other change in labor agreements.

Please supply details as to the time, nature, and significance of any such changes, and provide underlying assumptions, together with relevant portions of business plans, public corporate filings or other internal documentation, that address this issue in the space below.

U.S. Producers' Questionnaire - LEU from France (731-TA-909 (Review))

#### PART II.—<u>TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION</u>--Continued

II-3. Does your firm anticipate any changes in the character of your operations or organization (as noted above) relating to the production of LEU in the future?

No	changes and provide underlying assumptions, along with relevant portions of business plans or other supporting documentation, that address this issue. Include in your response a specific projection of your firm's capacity to produce LEU (in 1,000 kgs of enriched U and 1,000 SWUs) for 2007 and 2008.
noted above) relat	anticipate any changes in the character of your operations or organization (as ting to the production of LEU in the future if the antidumping duty order on were to be revoked?
🗌 No	YesSupply details as to the time, nature, and significance of such changes and provide underlying assumptions, along with relevant portions of business plans or other supporting documentation, that address this issue.
	noted above) relat LEU from France

U.S. Producers' Questionnaire - LEU from France (731-TA-909 (Review))

#### (w))

#### PART II.—<u>TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION</u>--Continued

No

II-5. Has your firm since January 1, 2002 produced, or does your firm anticipate producing in the future, other products on the same equipment and machinery used in the production of LEU and/or using the same production and related workers employed to produce LEU?

No	YesList the following information.							
Product	Period	Basis of allocation of capacity data						
LEU								

II-6. Is your firm able to increase supply using your existing equipment? Please describe the constraint(s) that set the limit(s) on your LEU production capacity.

- II-7. Is your firm able to switch production between enriched uranium and other products in response to a relative change in the price of LEU vis-a-vis the price of other products, using the same equipment and labor?
  - Yes--Please identify the other products, the approximate time and cost involved in switching, the minimum relative price change required for your firm to switch production to or from LEU, and any constraints.

II-8. Please provide the average product and tail assays for the LEU produced by your firm below (quantities should reconcile with production data reported in questions II-10 and II-11. If there is a significant variation in these assays, please attach a description of the variation to the appropriate page and identify which quantities reported the variation applies to.

Quantity (kgs enriched U)									
ltem	Calendar years						Jan-June		
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2006	2007		
Product assay									
Tails assay									

II-9. What is the range of tails assays that you offer your customers the right to choose within? If this range differs for different customers, please so specify and provide the range(s) and identify the customers. If the range(s) has changed during the period January 1, 2002-June 30, 2007, please so state and provide the range(s) and the period during which each was effective.

II-10. Do you produce any LEU by any means other than enrichment of natural  $UF_6$ ?

🗌 No

☐ Yes--Identify the quantities in kgs of enriched U produced by the method used (e.g., enrichment of slightly enriched UF<sub>6</sub>, blending down of HEU, etc.). Attach additional pages as necessary.

Quantity in 1,000 kgs of enriched U									
Production Method		Jan-June							
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2006	2007		
(Specify): <sup>1</sup>									
(Specify): <sup>2</sup>									
(Specify): <sup>3</sup>									
(Specify): <sup>4</sup>									
<sup>1</sup> Provide the typical product assay f	or the LEU	produced:							
<sup>2</sup> Provide the typical product assay f	or the LEU	produced:							
<sup>3</sup> Provide the typical product assay for the LEU produced:									
<sup>4</sup> Provide the typical product assay for the LEU produced:									

U.S. Producers' Questionnaire - LEU from France (731-TA-909 (Review))

#### Page 9

#### PART II.—TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION--Continued

II-11 Report your firm's production capacity, production, shipments, inventories, and employment related to the production of LEU in your U.S. establishment(s) during the specified periods. Do <u>not</u> include sales or purchased or imported material. (See definitions in the instruction booklet.)

	d 1,000 kgs of U or enriched U and <i>value</i> in \$1,000) Calendar years Jan-Jur						June
Item	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2006	2007
BEGINNING-OF-PERIOD INVENTORIES							•
Quantity of LEU (kgs of enriched U)							
Quantity of SWUs required to produce inventories (SWUs)							
PRODUCTION							
Quantity in SWUs							
Quantity in kgs of enriched U							
U.S. SHIPMENTS OF LEU UNDER							
SWU CONTRACTS							
Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)							
Estimated quantity delivered (kgs of enriched U)							
Value of SWUs sold							
Quantity of natural $UF_6$ received (kgs of U)							
Estimated value of natural UF6 received							
EUP CONTRACTS		•	•		•		
Quantity of EUP sold (kgs of enriched U)							
SWU component (estimated SWUs)							
Total Value of EUP sold							
Estimated value of SWU component							
EXPORT SHIPMENTS OF LEU UNDER <sup>1</sup> :							
SWU CONTRACTS							
Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)							
Estimated quantity delivered (kgs of enriched U)							
Value of SWUs sold							
Quantity of natural $UF_6$ received (kgs of U)							
Estimated value of natural UF6 received							
EUP CONTRACTS							
Quantity of EUP sold (kgs of enriched U)							
SWU component (estimated SWUs)							
Total Value of EUP sold							
Estimated value of SWU component							
END-OF-PERIOD INVENTORIES <sup>2</sup>							
Quantity of LEU (kgs of enriched U)							
<i>Quantity</i> of SWUs required to produce inventories ( <i>SWUs</i> )							
<sup>1</sup> Identify, by quantity, your principal export markets for 200	6:						

SWUs 🗌 Yes 🗋 No--Please explain:

Kgs of enriched U. Yes No--Please explain:

#### PART II.—<u>TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION</u>--Continued

II-12 Report your firm's capacity to produce LEU in your U. S. establishment(s) and your firm's employment related to the production of LEU in those facilities during the specified periods. (See definitions in the instruction booklet.)

ltem		Calendar years					Jan-June		
nem	2002	2002 2003 2004 2005 2006				2006	2007		
VERAGE PRODUCTION CAPACITY:						•			
Quantity in1,000 SWUs									
Quantity in 1,000 kgs of enriched U									
MPLOYMENT DATA:									
Average number of PRWs									
Hours worked by PRWs (1,000 hours)									
Wages paid to PRWs (value - \$1,000)									

# II-13 <u>SHIPMENTS BY CUSTOMER TYPES</u>: For the specified periods, please report shipments of your firm's production of LEU (regardless of whether shipped under SWU or EUP contracts) to utilities and to all other customers. (See definitions in the instruction booklet.) The totals of the shipments to utilities and to other customers should total the U.S. shipments, by type, reported in question II-11.

lt e m	Calendar years					Jan-	June
Item	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2006	2007
U.S. SHIPMENTS OF LEU UNDER							
SWU CONTRACTS WITH							
UTILITIES:							
Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)							
Estimated quantity of LEU (kgs of enriched U)							
OTHERS <sup>1</sup> :							
Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)							
Estimated quantity of LEU (kgs of enriched U)							
EUP CONTRACTS WITH							
UTILITIES:							
Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)							
Estimated quantity of LEU (kgs of enriched U)							
OTHERS <sup>2</sup> :					•		
Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)							
Estimated quantity of LEU (kgs of enriched U)							
<sup>1</sup> Specify customers:		•	•			•	•

II-14 <u>END-OF-PERIOD INVENTORIES OF LEU</u>: For the specified periods, please report the finished endof-period inventories of LEU that were produced in your U.S establishment(s). (See definitions in the instruction booklet.) DO NOT report inventories of product which you imported (such as inventories should be reported in the importers' questionnaire). DO NOT report inventories of purchases (report those in question II-21).

(Quantity in 1,000 SWUs and 1,000 kgs of enriched U)								
ltem		C	alendar yea	irs		Jan-June		
item	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2006	2007	
INVENTORIES OF LEU OWNED BY YOUR CUSTOMERS:								
Quantity (kgs of enriched U)								
<i>Quantity</i> of SWUs required to produce inventories (SWUs)								
INVENTORIES OF LEU OWNED BY YOUR FIRM:								
Quantity (kgs of enriched U)								
Quantity of SWUs required to produce inventories (SWUs)								
TOTAL INVENTORIES OF LEU:1						-		
Quantity (kgs of enriched U)								
Quantity of SWUs required to produce inventories (SWUs)								
<sup>1</sup> Total end-of-period inventories should match "ending inventories" in question II-11; if not, please explain why.								

## II-15 <u>OTHER END-OF-PERIOD INVENTORIES</u>: For the specified periods, please report your end-of-period inventories of natural $UF_6$ feed and other listed forms of uranium available to your firm, by ownership (See definitions in the instruction booklet.)

(Quantit	.y iii 1,000	-	nd 1,000 kg Calendar yea			lan-	June
Item	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2006	2007
NATURAL UF6:	2002	2000	2004	2000	2000	2000	2001
Inventories owned by your customers <sup>1</sup>							
Inventories owned by your firm where							1
Ownership was transferred from U.S. Dept. Of Energy							
Your firm purchased the material							
Your firm otherwise aquired the material <sup>2</sup>							
Other inventories in your establishments <sup>3</sup>							
OTHER:		1	1				1
Uranium tails (kgs)							
Uranium concentrates							
Less-enriched LEU							
Reprocessed uranium							
<sup>1</sup> Specify type of customer(s) (e.g. utilities):						•	
<sup>2</sup> Please explain how acquired:							
<sup>3</sup> Who owns this material?							

II-16 <u>SOURCE OF NATURAL  $UF_6$  USED TO PRODUCE LEU DELIVERED</u>: Please report, by source(s), the quantities of natural  $UF_6$  feed used by your firm to produce the LEU that was delivered by your firm during the specified periods (which were reported in question II-11). (See definitions in the instruction booklet.)

	( <i>Quantity</i> i	n 1,000 kgs	of U)				
ltem		Jan-June					
Item	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2006	2007
SWU CONTRACTS							
Natural UF <sub>6</sub> supplied by your customers <sup>1</sup>							
Natural UF <sub>6</sub> owned by your firm where							
Ownership was transferred from U.S. Dept. Of Energy							
Your firm purchased the material							
Your firm otherwise aquired the material <sup>2</sup>							
Other natural UF <sub>6</sub> used to produce LEU <sup>3</sup>							
EUP CONTRACTS							
Natural UF <sub>6</sub> supplied by your customers <sup>1</sup>							
Natural UF <sub>6</sub> owned by your firm where							
Ownership was transferred from U.S. Dept. Of Energy							
Your firm purchased the material							
Your firm otherwise aquired the material <sup>2</sup>							
Other natural UF <sub>6</sub> used to produce LEU <sup>3</sup>							
<ul> <li><sup>1</sup> Specify type of customer(s) (e.g. utilities):</li> <li><sup>2</sup> Please explain how acquired:</li> <li><sup>3</sup> Who supplied this material?</li> </ul>							

#### PART II.—<u>TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION</u>--Continued

II-17. If you do not supply SWU contracts using the customers' own natural  $UF_6$  feed to produce the LEU, how do you track the debiting of uranium feed inventory accounts? Please provide any inventory tracking records that may be useful. Please indicate whether your inventory records are consistent with your reporting of feed origin delivered to U.S. utilities as per the invoice(s) issued for the delivery of LEU.

II-18 <u>SHIPMENTS OF NATURAL  $UF_6$ </u>: Please report the quantities and value of the natural UF6 sold by your firm during the specified periods. (See definitions in the instruction booklet.)

Item		Calendar years						
item	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2006	2007	
SHIPMENTS:1								
Quantity								
Value								

#### Page 14

#### PART II.—<u>TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION</u>--Continued

II-19 <u>NON-IMPORT SWU PURCHASES</u>.—Other than direct imports, has your firm otherwise purchased LEU under SWU contracts since January 1, 2002? (See definitions in the instruction booklet.) DO NOT report purchases under EUP contracts (see question II-20).

 $\Box$  No  $\Box$  Yes--Report such purchases below for the specified periods.<sup>1</sup>

( <i>Quantity</i> in 1,000 SWUs ar	nd 1,000 kg	gs of U or e	enriched U a	and <i>value</i> i	n \$1, <b>000)</b>		
Item	_	C	alendar ye	ars		Jan-	June
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2006	2007
PURCHASES FROM U.S. IMPORTERS <sup>2</sup> OF SWU FF	ROM						
Specify country of enrichment:							-
Quantity of SWUs purchased (SWUs)							
Quantity of LEU delivered (kgs of enriched U)							
Value of SWUs purchased							
Q <i>uantity</i> of natural UF <sub>6</sub> provided to you ( <i>kgs of U</i> )							
Value of natural UF <sub>6</sub> provided by you							
Specify country of enrichment:							
Quantity of SWUs purchased (SWUs)							
Quantity of LEU delivered (kgs of enriched U)							
Value of SWUs purchased							
Q <i>uantity</i> of natural UF <sub>6</sub> provided to you ( <i>kgs of U</i> )							
Value of natural UF <sub>6</sub> provided by you							
Specify country of enrichment:							
Quantity of SWUs purchased (SWUs)							
Quantity of LEU delivered (kgs of enriched U)							
Value of SWUs purchased							
Q <i>uantity</i> of natural UF <sub>6</sub> provided to you ( <i>kgs of U</i> )							
Value of natural UF <sub>6</sub> provided by you							
PURCHASES FROM THE U.S. DEPT OF ENERGY: <sup>2</sup>							
Quantity of SWUs purchased (SWUs)							
Quantity of LEU delivered (kgs of enriched U)							
Value of SWUs purchased							
Quantity of natural UF <sub>6</sub> provided to you (kgs of U)							
Value of natural UF <sub>6</sub> provided by you							
PURCHASES FROM OTHER SOURCES: <sup>2</sup>		•	•			"	<u></u>
Quantity of SWUs purchased (SWUs)							
Quantity of LEU delivered (kgs of enriched U)							
Value of SWUs purchased							
Quantity of natural UF <sub>6</sub> provided to you (kgs of U)							
Value of natural UF <sub>6</sub> provided by you							
<sup>1</sup> Please indicate your reasons for purchasing this product.	-	-	-				
<sup>2</sup> Please list the name of the firm(s) from which you purchas listed supplier. For imported material, please list the impor	sed this proc ter of record	duct. If your s	suppliers diff b	by source, ple	ease identify th	ne source for	each

II-20 <u>NON-IMPORT EUP PURCHASES</u>.--Other than direct imports, has your firm otherwise purchased LEU under EUP contracts since January 1, 2002? (See definitions in the instruction booklet.) DO NOT report purchases under SWU contracts (see question II-19).

 $\square$  No  $\square$  Yes--Report such purchases below for the specified periods.<sup>1</sup>

( <i>Quantity</i> in 1,000 SWUs	and 1,000				\$1,000)	_	
Item		C	alendar yea	irs	1	Jan-	June
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2006	2007
PURCHASES FROM U.S. IMPORTERS <sup>2</sup> OF EUP F	ROM						
Specify country of enrichment:							
Quantity of EUP delivered (kgs of enriched U)							
SWU component (estimated SWUs)							
Total value of EUP purchased							
Estimated value of SWU component							
Specify country of enrichment:							
Quantity of EUP delivered (kgs of enriched U)							
SWU component (estimated SWUs)							
Total value of EUP purchased							
Estimated value of SWU component							
Specify country of enrichment:							
Quantity of EUP delivered (kgs of enriched U)							
SWU component (estimated SWUs)							
Total value of EUP purchased							
Estimated value of SWU component							
PURCHASES FROM THE U.S. DEPT OF ENERGY:	2						
Quantity of EUP delivered (kgs of enriched U)							
SWU component (estimated SWUs)							
Total value of EUP purchased							
Estimated value of SWU component							
PURCHASES FROM OTHER SOURCES: <sup>2</sup>							
Quantity of EUP delivered (kgs of enriched U)							
SWU component (estimated SWUs)							
Total value of EUP purchased							
Estimated value of SWU component							
<sup>1</sup> Please indicate your reasons for purchasing this produc	t. If your rea	asons differ b	y source, plea	se elaborate			
							<u> </u>
<sup>2</sup> Please list the name of the firm(s) from which you purch listed supplier. For imported material, please list the import			r suppliers diff	er by source	, please ident	ify the source	for each

II-21 <u>SHIPMENTS AND INVENTORIES OF NON-IMPORT LEU PURCHASES.</u>--Report your firm's shipments and inventories related to the non-import purchases of LEU (whether by SWU or EUP contracts) during the specified periods. DO NOT include product which you imported (report that in the importers' questionnaire) or produced (see question II-11). Please copy page and report separately for each source for which you reported purchases in question II-19 or II-20. Purchases shown should equal the total of purchases of LEU (whether purchased by a SWU or a EUP contract) reported in II-19 and II-20.

Item     200       BEGINNING-OF-PERIOD INVENTORIES:     Quantity of LEU (kgs of enriched U)       Quantity of LEU (kgs of enriched U)     SWUs component (estimated SWUs)       PURCHASES:     Quantity of LEU purchased (kgs of enriched U)       Quantity of SWUs to produce LEU (SWUs)     U.S. SHIPMENTS OF LEU UNDER       SWU CONTRACTS:     Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)       Quantity of LEU delivered (kgs of enriched U)     Value of SWUs sold		Calendar ye 2004	2005	2006	Jan 2006	2007
BEGINNING-OF-PERIOD INVENTORIES:         Quantity of LEU (kgs of enriched U)         SWUs component (estimated SWUs)         PURCHASES:         Quantity of LEU purchased (kgs of enriched U)         Quantity of SWUs to produce LEU (SWUs)         U.S. SHIPMENTS OF LEU UNDER         SWU CONTRACTS:         Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)         Quantity of LEU delivered (kgs of enriched U)						
SWUs component (estimated SWUs)         PURCHASES:         Quantity of LEU purchased (kgs of enriched U)         Quantity of SWUs to produce LEU (SWUs)         U.S. SHIPMENTS OF LEU UNDER         SWU CONTRACTS:         Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)         Quantity of LEU delivered (kgs of enriched U)						
PURCHASES:       Quantity of LEU purchased (kgs of enriched U)         Quantity of SWUs to produce LEU (SWUs)         U.S. SHIPMENTS OF LEU UNDER         SWU CONTRACTS:         Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)         Quantity of LEU delivered (kgs of enriched U)						
Quantity of LEU purchased (kgs of enriched U)         Quantity of SWUs to produce LEU (SWUs)         U.S. SHIPMENTS OF LEU UNDER         SWU CONTRACTS:         Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)         Quantity of LEU delivered (kgs of enriched U)						
Quantity of SWUs to produce LEU (SWUs)         U.S. SHIPMENTS OF LEU UNDER         SWU CONTRACTS:         Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)         Quantity of LEU delivered (kgs of enriched U)						
Quantity of SWUs to produce LEU (SWUs)         U.S. SHIPMENTS OF LEU UNDER         SWU CONTRACTS:         Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)         Quantity of LEU delivered (kgs of enriched U)						
SWU CONTRACTS:         Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)         Quantity of LEU delivered (kgs of enriched U)		L				
Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs) Quantity of LEU delivered (kgs of enriched U)						
Quantity of LEU delivered (kgs of enriched U)						
Value of SWUs sold						
Quantity of natural UF <sub>6</sub> received (kgs of U)						
Estimated value of natural UF <sub>6</sub> received						
EUP CONTRACTS:						
Quantity of EUP sold (kgs of enriched U)						
SWU component (estimated SWUs)						
Total Value of EUP sold						
Estimated value of SWU component						
EXPORT SHIPMENTS OF LEU UNDER <sup>1</sup>						
SWU CONTRACTS:						
Quantity of SWUs sold (SWUs)						
Quantity of LEU delivered (kgs of enriched U)						
Value of SWUs sold						
Quantity of natural UF <sub>6</sub> received (kgs of U)						
Estimated value of natural UF <sub>6</sub> received						
EUP CONTRACTS:						
Quantity of EUP sold (kgs of enriched U)						
SWU component (estimated SWUs)						
Total Value of EUP sold						
Estimated value of SWU component						
END-OF-PERIOD INVENTORIES <sup>2</sup> :						
Quantity of LEU (kgs of enriched U)						
Quantity of SWUs required to produce inventories (SWUs)						
<sup>1</sup> Identify, by quantity, your principal export markets for 2006: <u>2</u> Reconciliation of dataPlease note that the <b>guantities</b> reporte production, less total shipments, equals end-of-period inventories.	ed above should Do the data re	reconcile as fo	ollows: beginr le?	ning-of-period i	nventories, pl	us
SWUs Yes NoPlease explain:						

II-22.	Explain how the Russian HEU Agreement and any other legal constraints vis-à-vis the U.S. Government, such as your agreements pursuant to privatization, have impacted and will continue to impact your firm's production, sales therefrom, inventories, and employment.
II-24.	Since January 1, 2002, has your firm been involved in a toll agreement (see definition in the instruction booklet) regarding the production of LEU?
	No YesName firm(s):
II-25.	Does your firm produce LEU in a foreign trade zone (FTZ)?
	No YesIdentify FTZ(s):
II-26.	Since January 1, 2002, has your firm imported LEU?
[	No Yes <u>COMPLETE AND RETURN A U.S. IMPORTERS'</u> <u>QUESTIONNAIRE</u>
II-27.	Describe the significance of the existing antidumping duty order covering imports of LEU from France in terms of its effect on your firm's production capacity, production, U.S. shipments, inventories, purchases, employment, revenues, costs, profits, cash flow, capital expenditures, research and development expenditures, and asset values. You may wish to compare your firm's operations before and after the imposition of the order.

U.S. Producers	Questionnaire	- LEU from Franc	ce (731-TA-909	(Review))
----------------	---------------	------------------	----------------	-----------

II-28.	Would your firm anticipate any changes in its production capacity, production, U.S. shipments, inventories, purchases, employment, revenues, costs, profits, cash flow, capital expenditures, research and development expenditures, or asset values relating to the production of LEU in the future if the antidumping duty order on LEU from France were to be revoked?
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>YesSupply details as to the time, nature, and significance of such changes and provide underlying assumptions, along with relevant portions of business plans or other supporting documentation, for any trends or projections you may provide.</li> </ul>
II-29.	(a) Please discuss how the following parameters changed durning the period of review and how these parameters are expected to change in the foreseeable future. Load factor
	Tail assay (percent)
	Burn up
	Reactor power
	Overfeeding or underfeeding
	The Global Nuclear Energy Partnership Program
	MOX, recycling, and plutonium disposition
	(b) Please describe the impact of these parameter changes on your firm's production, sales, and profitability.
II-30.	Please describe how the scheduled termination of the HEU agreement in 2013 will impact your firm.

#### PART II.—<u>TRADE AND RELATED INFORMATION</u>--Continued

II-31. (a) Please discuss how reprocessing of uranium is changing and is likely to change in the foreseeable future in the United States and other countries. \_\_\_\_\_ (b) Please describe the impact of these changes on your firm's production, sales, and profitability. II-32. Please discuss your progress in completing a gaseous centrifuge plant or any other new enrichment facility, and how this development is likely to impact your operations. II-33. Please discuss your evolving strategy regarding the disposition of depleted uranium. Has your firm enriched depleted uranium and/or does it plan to do so within the next five years? II-34. Please discuss your firm's evolving strategies with regard to reprocessing and recycling. Does your firm anticipate major changes in this area? 

#### U.S. Producers' Questionnaire – LEU (701-365 (2<sup>nd</sup> Review))

PART III.—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

III-2.

Address questions on this part of the questionnaire to David Boyland (202-708-4725, david.boyland@usitc.gov).

III-1. Who should be contacted regarding the requested financial information?

Company cont		
	Name and title	
	<u>( )</u>	
	Phone number	Fax number
	E-mail address	Company web address
Briefly describ	be your financial accounting syste	m.
A.	When does your fiscal year end	(month and day)?
		ng the period examined, explain below:
B.1.	Describe the lowest level of one	erations (e.g., plant, division, company-wide) for
<b>D</b> .1.		
D.1.		prepared that include subject merchandise:
	which financial statements are p	prepared that include subject merchandise:
2.		prepared that include subject merchandise:
	which financial statements are p	prepared that include subject merchandise:
	which financial statements are p Does your firm prepare profit/lo Yes No How often did your firm (or par	prepared that include subject merchandise:
2.	which financial statements are p Does your firm prepare profit/lo Yes No How often did your firm (or par (including annual reports and 10	orepared that include subject merchandise:
2.	which financial statements are p Does your firm prepare profit/lo Yes No How often did your firm (or par (including annual reports and 10 Audited, unaudited, [	orepared that include subject merchandise:

Note: The Commission may request that your company submit copies of its financial statements, including internal profit-and-loss statements for the division or product group that includes LEU, as well as those statements and worksheets used to compile data for your firm's questionnaire response.

III-3. Briefly describe your cost accounting system (e.g., standard cost, job order cost, et cetera).

income and expenses.	or COGS, SG&A,	and interest expense and o
<u>Other products</u> Please list any other products y produced LEU and provide the share of net sale most recent fiscal year:		
Products		Share of sales
Does your company receive inputs (raw materia the production of LEU from any related company		or any other services) use
	ny?	-
the production of LEU from any related compar	ny?	te to question III-10 below
<ul> <li>the production of LEU from any related compar</li> <li>YesContinue to question III-7 below.</li> <li>In the space provided below, identify the inputs receives from related parties whose financial statements.</li> </ul>	ny?	te to question III-10 below eduction of LEU that your olidated with the financial
the production of LEU from any related compar YesContinue to question III-7 below. In the space provided below, identify the inputs receives from related parties whose financial sta- statements of your firm.	ny?	te to question III-10 below eduction of LEU that your olidated with the financial
the production of LEU from any related compar YesContinue to question III-7 below. In the space provided below, identify the inputs receives from related parties whose financial sta- statements of your firm.	ny?	te to question III-10 below eduction of LEU that your olidated with the financial
the production of LEU from any related compar YesContinue to question III-7 below. In the space provided below, identify the inputs receives from related parties whose financial sta- statements of your firm.	ny? NoContinuer of the product o	te to question III-10 below oduction of LEU that your a olidated with the financial <u>rty</u> nestion III-7 above, are the ments? (In other words, ar

#### PART III.—<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> - Continued

III-9. All intercompany profit on inputs <u>purchased from related parties</u> that is eliminated pursuant to formal financial statement consolidation should also be eliminated from the costs reported to the Commission; i.e., to the extent that they reflect the inputs purchased from related parties, costs should only reflect the related party's cost and not include an associated profit component. Reasonable methods for determining and eliminating the associated profit on inputs purchased from related parties are acceptable.

Has your firm complied with the Commission's instructions regarding costs associated with inputs purchased from related parties?

Yes

No--Please contact David Boyland at 202-708-4725.

III-10. <u>U.S. produced LEU</u>.--In each period listed below and for both enrichment and EUP, respectively, provide the annual volume and associated costs of production for U.S.-produced LEU. Information presented in these tables should be used, in conjunction with a reasonable approximation of the time and manner in which these costs are matched against revenue, to complete table III-12 and table III-14 below.

	(Quantity	in 1,000 SV	VUs, <i>valu</i> e	in \$1,000)			
ltem		Jan-June					
nem	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2006	2007
Quantity							
Cost of production:							
Raw materials							
Direct labor							
Energy							
Other factory costs							
Total cost of production: <sup>1</sup>							
<sup>1</sup> Appropriate adjustments should production.	be made to	account for a	ny activity w	hich effective	ely reduces g	ross cost of	1

Item	Calendar years						
item	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2006	2007
Quantity of EUP							
Cost to produce (value):							
Raw materials							
Direct labor							
Energy							
Other factory costs							
Total cost of production: <sup>1</sup>							

III-11. <u>Russian produced LEU</u>.--In each period listed below, provide the annual volume and total acquisition cost of all Russian-produced LEU. Provide data for the designated calendar years in chronological order from left to right, and for the specified interim periods. Information presented in these tables should be used, in conjunction with a reasonable approximation of the time and manner in which these costs are matched against revenue, to complete table III-13 below.

(Quantity in 1,000 kgs of enriched U, value in \$1,000)								
ltem		Ca	Jan-June					
nem	2002	2003	2006	2007				
Quantity								
Complete acquisition cost								

III-12 <u>U.S.-produced LEU.</u>--Report the revenue and related cost information requested below on the U.S.-produced LEU operations of your U.S. establishment(s). Note that internal consumption and transfers to related firms must be valued at fair market value and purchases from related firms must be at cost. Provide data for the designated calendar years in chronological order from left to right, and for the specified interim periods.

Item		C	Calendar yea	rs		Jan	June
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2006	2007
Net sales quantities <sup>1</sup>	•						
Domestic sales:	1	1		1		1	1
Enrichment ( <i>quantity</i> in 1,000 SWUs)							
EUP (quantity in 1,000 kg of enriched U)							
Export sales:		1	T		1		
Enrichment (quantity in 1,000 SWUs)							
EUP (quantity in 1,000 kg of enriched U)							
Total enrichment (quantity in 1,000 SWUs)							
Total EUP(quantity in 1,000 kg of enriched U)							
Net sales values <sup>1</sup>							
Domestic sales:		1					
Enrichment (value)							
EUP (value)							
Export sales: Enrichment (value)							1
EUP (value)							
Total enrichment (value)							
Total EUP(value)							
Total net sales (value)							
Cost of U.Sproduced SWU							
Cost of U.Sproduced EUP							
Total cost of goods sold							
Gross profit or (loss)							
Selling, general, and administrative (SG&A) expenses: <sup>2</sup>							
Selling expenses							
General and administrative expenses							
Total SG&A expenses							
Operating income or (loss)							
Other income and expenses:				1			
Special charges							
Advanced technology costs							
Interest expense							
All other expense items							
Continued Dumping and Subsidy Offset Act funds received							
All other income items			1				
Total other income or expenses, net			1				1
Net income of (loss) before income taxes							
Depreciation/amortization included above							

<sup>2</sup> Do not include special charges or advanced technology expenses in reported SG&A expenses.

<sup>3</sup> Because of their direct relationship to USEC's U.S. manufacturing operations, it is not generally expected that special charges and/or advanced technology expenses are allocable to USEC's activity related to Russian-produced SWU.

III-13. <u>Russian-produced LEU</u>.--Report the revenue and related cost information requested below on the Russian-produced LEU operations of your U.S. establishment(s).<sup>1</sup> Note that internal consumption and transfers to related firms must be valued at fair market value and purchases from related firms must be at cost. Provide data for the designated calendar years in chronological order from left to right, and for the specified interim periods.

Item		C	alendar year	S		Jan-June	
nem	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2006	2007
Net sales quantities <sup>1</sup>							
Domestic sales:		T					
Enrichment ( <i>quantity</i> in 1,000 SWUs)							
Export sales:		1					-
Enrichment (quantity in 1,000 SWUs)							
Total net sales (quantity in 1,000 SWUs)							
Net sales values <sup>1</sup>							
Domestic sales:		1					
Enrichment (value)							
Export sales:		1					
Enrichment ( <i>value</i> )							
Total net sales ( <i>value</i> )							
Total cost of purchased Russian SWU							
Gross profit or (loss)							
Selling, general, and administrative (SG&A) expenses: <sup>2</sup>							
Selling expenses							
General and administrative expenses							
Total SG&A expenses							
Operating income or (loss)							
Other income and expenses:							
Special charges3							
Advanced technology costs3							
Interest expense							
All other expense items							
Continued Dumping and Subsidy Offset Act funds received							
All other income items							
Total other income or expenses, net							
Net income of (loss) before income taxes							
Depreciation/amortization included above							

<sup>2</sup> Do not include special charges or advanced technology expenses in reported SG&A expenses.

<sup>3</sup> Because of their direct relationship to USEC's U.S. manufacturing operations, it is not generally expected that special charges and/or advanced technology expenses are allocable to USEC's activity related to Russian-produced SWU.

III-14 U.S. and Russian-produced LEU.--Report the revenue and related cost information requested below on the U.S.-produced LEU operations of your U.S. establishment(s). Note that internal consumption and transfers to related firms must be valued at fair market value and purchases from related firms must be at cost. Provide data for the designated calendar years in chronological order from left to right, and for the specified interim periods.

ltem	Item Calendar years				Jan-June		
· · ·	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2006	2007
Net sales quantities <sup>1</sup>							
Domestic sales:	-	T	1		1	1	1
Enrichment (quantity in 1,000 SWUs)							
EUP (quantity in 1,000 kg of enriched U)							
Export sales:		1					T
Enrichment (quantity in 1,000 SWUs)	-				-		
EUP (quantity in 1,000 kg of enriched U)	-				-		
Total enrichment (quantity in 1,000 SWUs)							
Total EUP( <i>quantity</i> in 1,000 kg of enriched U)							
Net sales values <sup>1</sup> Domestic sales:							
Enrichment (value)							
EUP (value)							
Export sales:							
Enrichment (value)							
( )							
EUP (value) Total enrichment ( <i>quantity</i> in 1,000 SWUs)							
Total EUP(quantity in 1,000 kg of enriched U)							
Total net sales ( <i>value</i> )							
Cost of U.Sproduced SWU	-				-		
Cost of U.Sproduced EUP							
Cost of purchased Russian SWU							
Total cost of goods sold							
Gross profit or (loss)							
Selling, general, and administrative (SG&A) expenses	s: <sup>2</sup>	T	-		•		
Selling expenses							
General and administrative expenses							
Total SG&A expenses							
Operating income or (loss)							
Other income and expenses:		1	1	1	1	1	1
Special charges							
Advanced technology costs							
Interest expense							
All other expense items							
Continued Dumping and Subsidy Offset Act funds received							
All other income items							
Total other income or expenses, net							
Net income of (loss) before income taxes							
Depreciation/amortization included above	1			İ		Ì	1

III-15. <u>Asset values associated with U.S.-produced LEU</u>.—Report the total assets associated with the production, warehousing, and sale of U.S.-produced LEU. To the extent that your firm does not maintain assets data specific to U.S. and Russian-produced LEU in the normal course of business, please estimate the requested items in a manner that is consistent with your cost allocations in previous questions. Provide data as of the end of the designated calendar years in chronological order from left to right, and as of the end of the specified interim periods.

		(V <i>alue</i> in \$1	,000)				
Item	Calendar years					Jan-June	
nem	2002	2003	2006	2007			
Assets associated with the production, warehousing, and sale of U.Sproduced LEU:							
1. Current assets							
2. Property, plant and equipment:							
A. Original cost of property, plant, and equipment							
B. Less: Accumulated depreciation							
C. Equals: Book value of property, plant, and equipment							
3. Other long-term assets							
4. Total assets (lines 1, 2C, 3)							

III-16. <u>Asset values associated with Russian.-produced LEU</u>.—Report the total assets associated with the production, warehousing, and sale of Russian-produced LEU. To the extent that your firm does not maintain assets data specific to U.S. and Russian-produced LEU in the normal course of business, please estimate the requested items in a manner that is consistent with your cost allocations in previous questions. Provide data as of the end of the designated calendar years in chronological order from left to right, and as of the end of the specified interim periods.

(V <i>alue</i> in \$1,000)								
ltem		С	Jan-June					
Rem	2002	2003	2006	2007				
Assets associated with the production, warehousing, and sale of Russian-produced LEU:								
1. Current assets								
2. Property, plant and equipment:								
A. Original cost of property, plant, and equipment								
B. Less: Accumulated depreciation								
C. Equals: Book value of property, plant, and equipment								
3. Other long-term assets								
4. Total assets (lines 1, 2C, 3)								

III-17. <u>Asset values associated with U.S. and Russian.-produced LEU</u>.—Report the total assets associated with the production, warehousing, and sale of U.S. and Russian-produced LEU. Provide data as of the end of the designated calendar years in chronological order from left to right, and as of the end of the specified interim periods.

	(V <i>alue</i> in \$1,000)							
ltem		С	Jan-June					
nem	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2006	2007	
Assets associated with the production, warehousing, and sale of U.S. and Russian-produced LEU:								
1. Current assets								
2. Property, plant and equipment:								
A. Original cost of property, plant, and equipment								
B. Less: Accumulated depreciation								
C. Equals: Book value of property, plant, and equipment								
3. Other long-term assets								
4. Total assets (lines 1, 2C, 3)								

III-18. <u>Capital expenditures and research and development expenditures</u>.—Report separately your firm's capital expenditures related to U.S.-produced LEU, capital expenditures related to Russian-produced LEU, and research and development expenditures related to LEU.<sup>1</sup> Provide data for the designated calendar years in chronological order from left to right, and as of the end of the specified interim periods.

2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2006	2007
						2002         2003         2004         2005         2006         2006           Image: Second state stat

#### PART IV.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS

Further information on this part of the questionnaire can be obtained from Kelly Clark (202-205-3166, Kelly.Clark@usitc.gov)

IV-1. Who should be contacted regarding the requested pricing and related information?

Company contact:

Name and title

() Phone number

E-mail address

#### Section IV-A.—<u>U.S. ENRICHMENT PRICES</u>

This section requests quarterly U.S. SWU price and quantity shipment data, unless otherwise specified, concerning your firm's U.S. enrichment of uranium hexafluoride (UF<sub>6</sub>) in the natural state to low enriched uranium under supply contracts with U.S. electric utilities during January 2002-June 2007. The customer typically specifies the exact level of enrichment of the LEU in the  $U^{235}$  isotope and the depletion of the isotope in the tails. U.S. fuel-assembly fabricators then typically arrange shipment of the LEU to their facilities to use in constructing fuel rods for the electric utilities, but the LEU also could be exported. The enricher stores the tails, which are a by-product of LEU production and, at some future time, will likely have to dispose of them. Report data in this section for LEU that your firm produced under an enrichment agreement with U.S. electric utilities **unrelated** to your firm, and, where specified, for EUP sold by your firm to U.S. electric utilities **unrelated** to your firm.

Enrichment fees.—Enrichment fees reported should be weighted-average transaction prices charged to enrich natural UF<sub>6</sub> into LEU **net of all discounts, allowances (including any freight allowances), rebates, and any other deductions or premiums**. Such enrichment prices include the cost of enrichment, but not the cost of the natural UF<sub>6</sub> feedstock used in the enrichment process. Unit fees are expressed in 1) dollars per kilogram (kg) of uranium (U) in the enriched UF<sub>6</sub>, and 2) dollars per SWU (unit of work required to enrich UF<sub>6</sub> in the U<sup>235</sup> isotope). Unit fee data also include the specified U<sup>235</sup> isotope assays of the enriched product and the associated depleted tails, as both assays directly affect the fee. Higher U<sup>235</sup> assays in the LEU (greater enrichment) and/or lower U<sup>235</sup> assays in the tails (greater depletion) result in higher enrichment fees because of the greater number of SWUs required. Lower enrichment of the LEU and/or less depletion of the tails result in lower enrichment fees.

<u>Weighted-average net U.S. f.o.b. unit enrichment fees</u>.—U.S. enrichment unit fees that you invoice your U.S. electric utility customers for enrichment f.o.b. your U.S. facilities (or equivalent delivery). Two types of weighted-average net f.o.b. unit enrichment fees are requested; these are calculated by dividing your total net f.o.b. enrichment value for a particular period by a) the total kilograms of U in the LEU produced during that period and, alternatively, b) the total number of contracted SWUs associated with these kilograms of enriched uranium.

U.S. Producers' (Enricher) Questionnaire - LEU from France (731-TA-909 (Review))

#### PART IV.—<u>PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS</u>—Continued

<u>Quantities enriched</u>.—Quantities of LEU your firm produced for U.S. electric utility customers during a particular period are requested on two bases: 1) in kilograms of U in your LEU that you produced during that period, net of any returns, and 2) in SWUs contracted to produce these kilograms of enriched U. If your firm uses pounds for the quantity of U in LEU, please convert to kilograms at the rate of 0.45359 kgs per pound when reporting the enrichment fee data.

<u>Spot enrichment agreement</u>.—An agreement, usually written, to supply U.S. customers with enrichment to produce LEU on an immediate or near-term basis (within 12 months) from the time of order.

<u>Contract enrichment agreement</u>.—A written agreement to supply U.S. customers enrichment to produce LEU during a specified period of time, with production of the LEU scheduled into the first calendar year or beyond from the contract-signing year. The total amount of this product to be enriched may be specified exactly or approximately. Enrichment fees may or may not be fixed.

IF FIXED, enrichment fees may be set at a specified level during the entire contract period or may initially be set at some level but subject to increases at intervals and amounts specified in the contract.

IF NOT FIXED, enrichment fees are based on some agreed upon prevailing market price indicator at the time of delivery and—

(a) may be subject to a contract requirement of a floor price (based on market prices or your costs), a ceiling price, a discount from the market price, or some combination of these, or

(b) may not be subject to such contract-fee requirements but subject to escalator clauses.

<u>Total production in each quarter</u>.—Please report separately for each enrichment agreement category, as defined below, the quantity, total net f.o.b. value, and net U.S. f.o.b. weighted-average unit enrichment fees for your firm's total quarterly enrichment of its produced LEU for U.S. electric utility customers (unrelated to your firm). Average quarterly product and tails assays also are requested, as are the quarterly number of SWUs contracted to produce the LEU. Also report the requested data separately for multi-year contracts in enrichment agreement categories, as defined below, by the year the contracts were entered into, or, if applicable, renegotiated. Combine requested data for all contracts entered into/renegotiated in the same year and **make copies of the appropriate tables to show the requested data separately for** the group of contracts for which production data are reported in the table, the full period encompassed by such contracts, the total number of such contracts, and the estimated total maximum quantity covered by these contracts.

#### PART IV.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS—Continued

Enrichment Agreement Categories:

- (1) Combined spot enrichment and those enrichment contracts for LEU where the fees for enrichment are based on market prices at the time of production and the contracts <u>do not</u> specify a fee/cost-based floor or a price ceiling.
- (2) Enrichment contracts for LEU where fees for enrichment are based on market prices at the time of production but the contracts <u>do</u> specify a fee/cost-based floor, a price ceiling, a discount from the market price, or some combination of these.
- (3) Enrichment contracts for LEU where the fees for enrichment are fixed or subject to escalator clauses specified in the contract.

#### **PRODUCT DESCRIPTION:**

**Low enriched uranium hexafluoride**.—Uranium hexafluoride enriched in the  $U^{235}$  isotope, usually in the range of 3-5 percent enrichment, but always less than 20 percent (as defined in the instructions).

**NOTE**: Report in section IV-A, unless otherwise indicated, the requested data ONLY for the LEU where your firm was the enricher on a SWU basis.

Report in section IV-B sales price data for LEU that was produced by your firm, such that your sales price of the LEU also included the feedstock costs of the natural  $UF_6$  and the conversion (enriched uranium product or EUP). Report the same information for the latter price data, but instead of enrichment fee values and unit values, report EUP sales values and unit values.

U.S. Producers' (Enricher) Questionnaire - LEU from France (731-TA-909 (Review))

#### PART IV.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS—Continued

IV-A.1 (a) Show in the following tabulation, by category of enrichment agreement (see previous page for descriptions), the total quantity (in kgs of U as LEU) of your firm's U.S. LEU production for U.S. electric utilities from January 2002-June 2007.

Category of enrichment agreement	Kgs of U in LEU
Category 1	
Category 2	
Category 3	
Other (specify:)	

IV-A.2. (a) Please check ( $\sqrt{}$ ) below whether the cost of tails storage and disposal and any other costs (that your specify) were typically included in your enrichment fee for LEU to U.S. electric utilities during January 2002-June 2007. For the cost items included, approximate the percentage share of your enrichment fee accounted for by each.

SWU price item:	Check ( $\checkmark$ ) as appropriate	Share of SWU price (percent)
SWUs		
Tails storage		
Tails disposal		
Containers		
Freight costs to U.S. fabricators		
Other (specify:)		
		TOTAL=100%

#### PART IV.—<u>PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS</u>—Continued

(b) Are storage and/or disposal costs for depleted tails included in the price of the SWUs or is your firm compensated for storage/disposal by some other entity?

Storage included:	No	Yes
Disposal included:	🗌 No	Yes
Firm is compensated:	🗌 No	Yes

If your firm is compensated, from whom is your firm compensated and approximately how much per SWU?

(c) What effect has this had on the U.S. uranium market? What effect do you anticipate this having in the future?

IV-A.3. Please describe any agreements or arrangements involving long-term commitments of your firm to purchase uranium produced outside of the United States, as well as any such agreements or arrangements for your firm to provide enrichment involving uranium produced outside of the United States.

U.S. Producers' (Enricher) Questionnaire - LEU from France (731-TA-909 (Review))

#### PART IV.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS—Continued

IV-A.4. (a) How has the suspension agreement with Russia affected your firm's uranium provision of enrichment since January 2002? How do you expect it to affect your firm's activities in the future? Please include in your discussion how provisions of the suspension agreement and the amendments affected your firm's LEU enrichment production and inventory requirements/policy since January 2002.

(b) Discuss the availability and estimated quantity (in kgs of U) of current excess, or available for blending down to LEU, stockpiles of HEU in the United States, Russia, and possibly other countries, and the estimated average enrichment levels of those HEU stockpiles; report separately for each country.

(c) Please discuss if your firm is equipped to blend down HEU into LEU or report the cost and time estimated to be so equipped. Also discuss the likelihood of large-scale U.S. production and/or imports of LEU from HEU and identify and technical and/or commercial roadblocks to such production/importation.

(d) Please discuss the extent to which your imports of LEU under the Russian HEU agreement may have increased the world supply/availability of natural UF<sub>6</sub> since January 2002. Also discuss how any such increased supply/availability affected U.S. electric utilities' purchases of LEU during this period and whether your production of EUP was affected by it.

#### PART IV.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS—Continued

IV-A.5. (a) <u>Enrichment agreement category 1</u>: Combined spot enrichment and those enrichment contracts for LEU where the fees for enrichment are based on market prices at the time of production and the contracts <u>do not</u> specify a fee/cost-based floor or a price ceiling.

	Quarterly deliveries c		Average assay				
Period of production	Total quantity Kgs of enriched Number of U SWUs <sup>1</sup>		Product Tails Percent		Total net f.o.b. value Dollars	Weighted-average net f.o.b. unit fee <sup>2</sup>	
						Dollars per kg of enriched U	Dollars per SWU
<b>2002</b> JanMar.							
AprJune							
July-Sept.							
OctDec.							
<b>2003</b> JanMar.							
AprJune							
July-Sept.							
OctDec.							
<b>2004</b> JanMar.							
AprJune							
July-Sept.							
OctDec.							
<b>2005</b> JanMar.							
AprJune							
July-Sept.							
OctDec.							
<b>2006</b> JanMar.							
AprJune							
July-Sept.							
OctDec.							
<b>2007</b> JanMar.							
AprJune							

#### PART IV.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS—Continued

IV-A.5. (b) Enrichment agreement category 2: Enrichment contracts for LEU where fees for enrichment are based on market prices at the time of production but the contracts <u>do</u> specify a fee/cost-based floor, a price ceiling, a discount from the market price, or some combination of these.

### COPY THIS TABLE AS NEEDED TO SHOW THE REQUESTED DATA SEPARATELY BY CONTRACT YEAR IN ENRICHMENT AGREEMENT CATEGORY 2.

	Year: Full period of all contracts:									
No. of contracts: Total (maximum) quantity:										
Quarterly deliveries of U.Sproduced LEU under enrichment agreement category 2										
Total quantity Kgs of enriched Number of U SWUs <sup>1</sup>		e assay	Total net f.o.b. value     Dollars	Weighted-average net f.o.b. unit fee <sup>2</sup>						
		Tails								
		cent		Dollars per kg of enriched U	Dollars per SWU					
	ne standard mea	ne standard measure of enricl le divided by total quantity.	ne standard measure of enrichment. le divided by total quantity.	ne standard measure of enrichment. le divided by total quantity.	ne standard measure of enrichment. Ine divided by total quantity.					

## PART IV.—<u>PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS</u>—Continued

IV-A.5. (c) <u>Enrichment agreement category 3</u>: Enrichment contracts for LEU where the fees for enrichment are fixed or subject to escalator clauses specified in the contract.

## COPY THIS TABLE AS NEEDED TO SHOW THE REQUESTED DATA SEPARATELY BY CONTRACT YEAR IN ENRICHMENT AGREEMENT CATEGORY 3.

	Year:		Full	period of a	Il contracts:				
Contract:	ontract: No. of contracts: Total (maximum) quantity:								
	Quarterly deliveries of U.Sproduced LEU under enrichment agreement category 3								
			Average assay		Total net f.o.b.	Weighted-a	verage net		
Period of	Total of Kgs of enriched	quantity Number of	Product Tails		value	f.o.b. unit fee <sup>2</sup> Dollars per kg of Dollars			
production	U	SWUs <sup>1</sup>	Per	cent	Dollars	enriched U	per SWU		
<b>2002</b> JanMar.									
AprJune									
July-Sept.									
OctDec.									
<b>2003</b> JanMar.									
AprJune									
July-Sept.									
OctDec.									
<b>2004</b> JanMar.									
AprJune									
July-Sept.									
OctDec.									
<b>2005</b> JanMar.									
AprJune									
July-Sept.									
OctDec.									
<b>2006</b> JanMar.									
AprJune									
July-Sept.									
OctDec.									
<b>2007</b> JanMar.									
AprJune									
<sup>1</sup> Separativ <sup>2</sup> Total net	e work units; tl U.S. f.o.b. valu	he standard me	asure of enricl al quantity.	nment.					

IV-B.1. (a) <u>Enrichment agreement category 1</u>: For EUP, combined spot enrichment and those enrichment contracts for LEU where the fees for enrichment are based on market prices at the time of production and the contracts <u>do not</u> specify a fee/cost-based floor or a price ceiling.

	Total quantity		Average assay				
			Product Tails		<ul> <li>Total net f.o.b. value</li> </ul>	Weighted-average net f.o.b. unit fee <sup>2</sup>	
Period of production	Kgs of enriched U	Number of SWUs <sup>1</sup>		rcent	Dollars	Dollars per kg of enriched U	Dollars per SWU
2002 JanMar.							
AprJune							
July-Sept.							
OctDec.							
<b>2003</b> JanMar.							
AprJune							
July-Sept.							
OctDec.							
<b>2004</b> JanMar.							
AprJune							
July-Sept.							
OctDec.							
<b>2005</b> JanMar.							
AprJune							
July-Sept.							
OctDec.							
<b>2006</b> JanMar.							
AprJune							
July-Sept.							
OctDec.							
<b>2007</b> JanMar.							
AprJune							

IV-B.1. (b) Enrichment agreement category 2: For EUP, enrichment contracts for LEU where fees for enrichment are based on market prices at the time of production but the contracts <u>do</u> specify a fee/cost-based floor, a price ceiling, a discount from the market price, or some combination of these.

# COPY THIS TABLE AS NEEDED TO SHOW THE REQUESTED DATA SEPARATELY BY CONTRACT YEAR IN ENRICHMENT AGREEMENT CATEGORY 2.

	Year:		Full	period of a	Il contracts:				
Contract:	No. of contracts: Total (maximum) quantity:								
	Quarterly deliveries of U.Sproduced LEU under enrichment agreement category 2								
			Average	e assay	Total net f.o.b.	Weighted-a	verage net		
		quantity	Product Tails		value	f.o.b. u	nit fee <sup>2</sup>		
Period of production	Kgs of enriched U	Number of SWUs <sup>1</sup>	Perc	cent	Dollars	Dollars per kg of enriched U	Dollars per SWU		
<b>2002</b> JanMar.									
AprJune									
July-Sept.									
OctDec.									
<b>2003</b> JanMar.									
AprJune									
July-Sept.									
OctDec.									
<b>2004</b> JanMar.									
AprJune									
July-Sept.									
OctDec.									
<b>2005</b> JanMar.									
AprJune									
July-Sept.									
OctDec.									
<b>2006</b> JanMar.									
AprJune									
July-Sept.									
OctDec.									
<b>2007</b> JanMar.									
AprJune									
<sup>1</sup> Separativ	e work units; th U.S. f.o.b. valu	ne standard me ne divided by tot	asure of enrich al quantity.	nment.		<u>ı                                    </u>			

## PART IV.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS—Continued

IV-B.1. (c) <u>Enrichment agreement category 3</u>: For **EUP**, enrichment contracts for LEU where the fees for enrichment are fixed or subject to escalator clauses specified in the contract.

## COPY THIS TABLE AS NEEDED TO SHOW THE REQUESTED DATA SEPARATELY BY CONTRACT YEAR IN ENRICHMENT AGREEMENT CATEGORY 3.

	Year:		Full	period of a	II contracts:				
Contract:	No. of contracts: Total (maximum) quantity:								
	Quarterly deliveries of U.Sproduced LEU under enrichment agreement category 3								
			Average assay Product Tails		- Total net f.o.b. value	Weighted-a	verage net		
Period of	Kgs of	quantity				f.o.b. unit fee <sup>2</sup> Dollars per			
production	enriched U	Number of SWUs <sup>1</sup>	Per	cent	Dollars	kg of enriched U	Dollars per SWU		
<b>2002</b> JanMar.							•		
AprJune									
July-Sept.									
OctDec.									
<b>2003</b> JanMar.									
AprJune									
July-Sept.									
OctDec.									
<b>2004</b> JanMar.									
AprJune									
July-Sept.									
OctDec.									
<b>2005</b> JanMar.									
AprJune									
July-Sept.									
OctDec.									
<b>2006</b> JanMar.									
AprJune									
July-Sept.									
OctDec.									
<b>2007</b> JanMar.									
AprJune									
<sup>1</sup> Separativ <sup>2</sup> Total net	e work units; tl U.S. f.o.b. valu	he standard me le divided by tot	asure of enric al quantity.	hment.					

IV-B.2. Please discuss USEC's agreement with the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) to supply enrichment and/or EUP in exchange for electricity and how this agreement has changed since January 2002. Include whether the LEU contract involves enrichment and/or EUP, the quantities and prices of SWUs/kgs of U in EUP, and quantity and price of electricity to be supplied annually. In addition, discuss the period of the contract and how the prices of enrichment/EUP and electricity were determined.

IV-B.3. (a) In each year during 2002 through 2006 and in January to June 2007, what quantity and value of LEU has the U.S. government supplied to your firm and what quantity and value of this LEU did your firm sell? Please report separately shipments to customers in the United States and export shipments, and also report separately for enrichment and for EUP.

(b) What quantity and value, if any, of LEU supplied by the U.S. government has been delivered by your firm pursuant to enrichment contracts? Please report separately the quantity and value of any such shipments to customers in the United States and export shipments.

Unless otherwise requested, please answer all questions in the rest of Part IV based on your firm's total U.S. enrichment and EUP sales agreements with U.S. customers for its LEU produced in the United States and shipped since January 2002. If your response differs by type of enrichment/EUP sales agreement or type of customer, please explain in the margin or attach a separate explanation, noting the question number. Unless otherwise specified, shipments or imports refer to book transfers and physical movements of the LEU.

- IV-C-1. Please discuss the following principal details of your SWU/EUP supply contracts based on a typical long-term contract, involving, at least partially, your U.S.-produced LEU.
  - (a) What is the average duration of a contract?

(b) How far in advance is a contract negotiated?
--

(c) How frequently are contracts renegotiated?

- (d) Does the contract fix quantity, price, or both?
- (e) Does the contract have a meet-or-release provision?
- (f) What are the standard quantity requirements, if any?
- (g) What is the price premium for sub-minimum SWUs/kgs of U in LEU?
- IV-C.2. (a) Identify and explain the typical factors discussed between your firm and its U.S. customers and/or factors that you consider in arriving at an enrichment fee and/or EUP price in multi-year contracts for your U.S.-produced LEU. Include the role of published enrichment fees/EUP prices, and if your firm issues price lists, please include a copy of your most recent list with your submission. Also discuss the tails assay range allowed by your firm.

(b) Please describe if your firm has had to renegotiate long-term contracts, due to meet-orrelease provisions or other contract specifications, for your U.S.-produced LEU since January 2002. Include information about the number of contracts and percent of the total that have been renegotiated, the reason(s) why they were renegotiated, and the quantities involved (in number of SWUs and/or kgs of U in EUP). Please attach any relevant documentation to explain further.

IV-C.3. Identify and explain the typical factors discussed between your firm and its U.S. customers and/or factors that you consider in arriving at a SPOT enrichment fee/EUP price for your U.S.produced LEU since January 2002. Include the role of published enrichment fees/EUP prices, and if your firm issues price lists, please include a copy of your most recent list with your submission. Also discuss the tails assay range allowed by your firm.

IV-C.4. (a) What payment terms does your firm typically offer when selling U.S. customers its 1) U.S. enrichment to produce LEU and 2) its U.S.-produced EUP (e.g. 2/10 net 30 days, net 45 days, etc.)?

(b) How has your enrichment fee/EUP price typically been quoted since January 2002 (e.g., delivered to the fabricator, f.o.b. your enrichment facility, etc.)?

IV-C.5. Discuss in detail your firm's discount policy, including its requirements to qualify for discounts and the schedule of any volume discounts affecting its enrichment fees and EUP prices.

IV-C.6 (a) Has the use of swaps in the uranium market changed since January 2002? If there has been an increase or decrease, please describe the effect it has had, including information for executed contracts and contracts entered into for delivery in 2007-08.

(b) Has the use of loans/leases in the uranium market changed since January 2002? If there has been an increase or decrease, please describe the effect it has had, including information for executed contracts and contracts entered into for delivery in 2007-08.

U.S. Producers' (Enricher) Questionnaire - LEU from France (731-TA-909 (Review))

## PART IV.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS—Continued

IV-C.7. (a) Please discuss below the three largest multi-year sales contracts involving your enrichment to produce LEU and your three largest multi-year contracts to provide EUP that you negotiated with U.S. customers since January 1, 2002. Include as a minimum the information requested below. Report separately for each type of sales contract and show where indicated the type of contract; copy this page for each contract. In addition, please submit a copy of each contract.

Type of sales contract:

The name and type (electric utility, trader, etc.) of U.S. customer:

The total contract quantity (in kgs of U contained in the LEU and in SWUs) and any quantity flexibility provided for in the contract:

The beginning and ending production dates of the full contract:

A full description of the enrichment fee/product price provisions, including any fee floors, ceilings, escalator clauses, and any meet-or-release fee provisions. In addition, identify and explain the factors considered by your firm and/or discussed with your customer in arriving at the contract's enrichment fee provisions:

Report the total quantity (in kgs of U and in SWUs) and the weighted-average enrichment fee/product price for production of LEU under this contract that 1) occurred during 2002-06, and 2) are expected to occur during 2007-08:

Occurred during 2002-06:

Expected to occur during 2007-08:

(b) Is this enrichment contract linked to contracts for the supply of natural uranium or for conversion? If yes, please describe those contract provisions, including the contract quantity and the weighted average price involved.

U.S. Producers	'(Enricher)	Questionnaire	- LEU from	France	(731-TA-909	(Review))
----------------	-------------	---------------	------------	--------	-------------	-----------

## PART IV.—PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS—Continued

IV-C.8. (a) Please discuss the extent to which delivery lead times are important when your firm offers its spot market enrichment and EUP to U.S. customers. In addition, discuss whether imported LEU is generally available with either shorter or longer lead times than U.S.-produced LEU when competing in the spot market, and please take into consideration your firm's inventories of LEU, as well as U.S. importers' inventories of LEU.

(b) Please report your firm's average delivery lead time (in number of days) for U.S. spot market delivery of SWUs and EUP of its U.S.-produced LEU in 2007. Have average lead times changed since January 2002? If yes, note dates of and reason(s) for any changes.

IV-C.9.	Have individual U.S. producers, importers, or foreign producers/exporters influenced U.S.
	market enrichment fees or EUP prices since January 2002?

No	YesPlease identify the producer/importer and the country of
	origin. Also discuss the specific time period(s) when the firm
	influenced the U.S. market price, whether the effect was to
	lower or raise the price, and why your firm feels that actions of
	an individual producer/importer were responsible for price
	fluctuations in the entire U.S. market.

IV-D.1. IV-D.2.	(a) Have any changes occurred in any factors affecting supply (e.g., changes in availability or prices of raw materials; energy or labor; transportation conditions; production capacity and/or methods of production; technology; export markets; or alternative production opportunities) that affected the availability or competitiveness of U.Sproduced LEU in the U.S. market since January 2002?						
	□ No	YesPlease note the time period(s) of any such changes, the factor(s) involved, and the impact such changes had on your shipment volumes and prices. Also, answer separately for enrichment and for EUP.					
		anticipate any changes in terms of the availability or competitiveness of U.S LEU in the U.S. market in the future?					
	No	YesPlease identify the changes including the time period and the impact of such changes on shipment volumes and prices. Provide any underlying assumptions, along with relevant portions of business plans or other supporting documentation, that address this issue.					
		ilability of imported enrichment or EUP changed since January 2002? Please					
	respond sep	<ul> <li>Please note the time period(s) of any such changes, the factor(s) involved, and the impact such changes had on U.S. shipment volumes and prices. Also, answer separately for enrichment and for EUP.</li> </ul>					
IV-D.3.	current supp	uss fully whether inventories of uranium held by USEC have had any effect on ply conditions in the U.S. market since January 2002 and/or whether any such ikely to occur in the future.					

IV-D.4.	the future in the in the United S be responsible	fully any changes that have occurred since 2002 or any that you anticipate in e product range or marketing of low enriched uranium via enrichment or EUP tates. Identify the future time period and discuss the factors you believe would for such changes. Provide any underlying assumptions, along with supporting , that address this issue.
IV-D.5.	the U.S. market arrangement, co	we easily your firm can shift its enrichment and EUP sales from production for t to production for export markets. Please describe any contract or sales ontainer/shipping requirements, exchange rate changes, or other constraints that prevent your firm from shifting within a 12-month period.
	(b) Are your e countries?	xports of LEU subject to any tariff or non-tariff barriers to trade in other
	☐ No	YesPlease list the countries and describe any such barriers and any significant changes in such barriers that have occurred since January 2002, or that are expected to occur in the future.

(c) Please compare enrichment fees and market prices of EUP in U.S. and non-U.S. markets, including France, if known. Provide specific information as to time periods and regions for any price comparisons and discuss separately prices and enrichment fees on a spot market basis and on a long-term contract basis.

IV-D.6.	Since January 2002, was your firm ever unable to provide enrichment or EUP, either fully or partially, to a U.S. customer in a timely manner or at the prevailing market price?						
	No ☐ Yes—For each occurrence, please identify the customer involved, the period during which you could not supply the LEU, the quantity of LEU involved, and the reason(s) for any such supply difficulty. Also include whether customers were placed on allocation and your efforts to resolve these problems.						
IV-D.7.	(a) Has your firm purchased any imported enrichment or EUP or imported directly enrichment or EUP since January 2002 to augment your U.S. enrichment or domestic production of EUP or to meet U.S. demand?						
	No Yes—Explain why you felt it was necessary to purchase/import foreign- produced EUP and/or enrichment to supplement your U.S. production, listing the countries of origin.						
	(b) Was the enrichment fee or EUP price at which you sold the imported LEU the same as that for domestic enrichment or production when selling in comparable market conditions?						
	No—Please explain any difference. Yes—Indicate whether your firm realized a higher return on sales of the imported enrichment/EUP vis-à-vis domestic enrichment/EUP.						
	(c) Did you process the imported EUP before selling it in this form in the United States?						
	No Yes—Explain why you processed the imported EUP, whether it was still identified as being of foreign origin, and note any comments/reservations your U.S. customers may have had about the country of origin. Also indicate the country of origin of the imports.						

(d) Please discuss fully, to the extent possible, any changes that you anticipate in the future in your firm's purchases of imported enrichment to produce LEU or its direct imports of the enrichment or EUP. Identify the specific time periods involved and discuss the factors you believe would be responsible for any such change. Provide any underlying assumptions, along with relevant portions of business plans or other supporting documentation to support this issue.

IV-D.8. (a) Please indicate how any changes in your total unit SWU enrichment costs affected your firm's production volumes, enrichment fees, and EUP prices since January 2002.

(b) Please discuss fully, to the extent possible, any changes that you anticipate in the future in your total unit enrichment SWU costs for LEU and how it could be expected to affect your firm's future LEU production, enrichment fees, and EUP prices. Provide any underlying assumptions, along with relevant portions of business plans or other supporting documentation.

IV-D.9. Please provide separate attachments to this questionnaire, to the extent possible, any studies, surveys, etc. that you are aware of that quantify or otherwise discuss uranium production, capacity utilization, and inventories in 1) the United States, 2) France, 3) each of the other producing countries, including Russia, and 4) the world as a whole. Of particular interest is such data on an annual basis from 2002 to the present and forecasts of these supply data.

U.S. Producers' (Enricher) Questionnaire - LEU	J from France (731-TA-909 (Review))
--	-------------------------------------

IV-E.1.	(a) How has the demand within the United States for LEU changed since January 2002?				
	Increased	Unchanged	Decreased		
	Other (describe)				
	What were the principal factors affecting changes in demand?				
	(b) How has the demand outside	de the United States for LEU cha	inged since January 2002?		
	Increased	Unchanged	Decreased		
	Other (describe)				
	What were the principal factors affecting changes in demand?				
	(c) Please discuss any anticipated changes in low enriched uranium demand in the United States and in the rest of the world in the foreseeable future. Please identify the time period and the factor(s) you believe would be responsible for such changes. Provide any underlying assumptions that address this issue.				
IV-E.2.	surveys, etc. that you are awar uranium via enrichment or as producing countries, including	ments to this questionnaire, to the re of that quantify or otherwise d EUP in 1) the United States, 2) F g Russia, and 4) the world as a w from 2002 to the present and fore	iscuss demand for low enriched France, 3) each of the other hole. Of particular interest is		
IV-E.3.		f LEU in the United States, and a ium since January 2002 and any			

•. Are th	Are there any products that may substitute for LEU?			
□ N	40 D.	YesPlease describe the substitute product(s) and the extent of substitutability, considering prices and end uses where substitution may occur.		
	(b) Have there been any changes in the number, type, or quantity/availability of products that can be substituted for low enriched uranium in the United States since January 2002?			
L No	J	YesPlease explain below.		
	)	YesPlease explain below.		
		YesPlease explain below.		
		YesPlease explain below.		

discussed above (i.e., by what percent would the current price of low enriched uranium have to increase, all other prices remaining constant, before U.S. electric utilities would start to substitute the alternative products for low enriched uranium).

IV-F.1. Are the U.S.-produced LEU and the associated enrichment, the subject imported LEU and enrichment from France, and nonsubject imported LEU and enrichment used interchangeably (i.e., can they be physically used in the same applications)? Please indicate below, using "A" to indicate that the products from a specified country-pair are *always* interchangeable, "F" to indicate that the products are *frequently* interchangeable, "S" to indicate that the products are *sometimes* interchangeable, "N" to indicate that the products are *never* interchangeable, and "O" to indicate *no familiarity* with products from a specified country-pair.<sup>1</sup>

Country-pair	France	Other countries <sup>2</sup>
United States		
France		

<sup>1</sup> For any country-pair producing low enriched uranium which is *sometimes or never* interchangeable, please explain the factors that limit or preclude interchangeable use. In your response relating to "other countries," if the degree of interchangeability differs for different nonsubject countries, please note this below.

<sup>2</sup> Please note the country/countries of origin of the low enriched uranium that are included in the "other countries" category.

IV-F.2. Are differences in product characteristics or sales conditions between comparable U.S.produced LEU and the associated enrichment vis-à-vis the subject imported LEU and enrichment from France, and the nonsubject imported LEU and enrichment from other countries a significant factor in your firm's sales of U.S.-produced LEU? Please indicate below, using "A" to indicate that such differences are *always* significant, "F" to indicate that such differences are *frequently* significant, "S" to indicate that such differences are *sometimes* significant, "N" to indicate that such differences are *never* significant, and "O" to indicate *no familiarity* with products from a specified country-pair.<sup>1</sup>

Country-pair	France	Other countries <sup>2</sup>
United States		
France		

<sup>1</sup> For any country-pair for which differences in product characteristics or sales conditions are *always* or *frequently* a significant factor in your firm's sales of U.S.-produced LEU, please report the advantages or disadvantages imparted by such factors.

<sup>2</sup> Please note the country/countries of origin of the low enriched uranium that are included in the "other countries" category.

IV-F.3. Are price differences between U.S.-produced LEU and enrichment, the subject imported LEU and enrichment from France, and the nonsubject imported LEU and enrichment from other countries a significant factor in your firm's sales of U.S.-produced LEU? Please indicate below, using "A" to indicate that price differences are *always* significant, "F" to indicate that price differences are *frequently* significant, "S" to indicate that price differences are *sometimes* significant, "N" to indicate that price differences are *never* significant, and "O" to indicate *no familiarity* with products from a specified country-pair.<sup>1</sup>

Country-pair	France	Other countries <sup>2</sup>
United States		
France		

<sup>1</sup> For any country-pair for which price differences are *always* or *frequently* a significant factor in your firm's sales of U.S.-produced LEU, please report the advantages or disadvantages imparted by such factors.

<sup>2</sup> Please note the country/countries of origin of the low enriched uranium that are included in the "other countries" category.

U.S. Producers' (Enricher) Questionnaire - LEU from France (731-TA-909 (Review))

Page 55

## PART IV.—<u>PRICING AND MARKET FACTORS</u>—Continued

Please provide the names and addresses of your firm's 10 largest customers for your U.S. enrichment to produce LEU since January 2002 and its 10 largest customers for its EUP during this period. Please also provide the name and telephone number of a contact person and the approximate percentage shares of the quantity (in SWUs) of your firm's total U.S. production of its enrichment and EUP that each of these customers accounted for in 2006. **Copy this table as needed.** 

	Enrichment
--	------------

EUP

No.	Customer's Name	Street address (not P.O. box), city, state, and zip code	Contact Person	Area code and telephone number	Share of 2006 sales(%)
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					