

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C. 20436**

**In the Matter of**

**ELECTRONIC PAPER TOWEL DISPENSING  
DEVICES AND COMPONENTS  
THEREOF**

**Investigation No. 337-TA-718**

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW  
AN INITIAL DETERMINATION GRANTING MOTION TO TERMINATE THE  
INVESTIGATION AS TO PARADIGM MARKETING CONSORTIUM AND UNITED  
SOURCING NETWORK CORP. BASED ON CONSENT ORDER STIPULATION;  
ISSUANCE OF CONSENT ORDER**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 20) granting a motion to terminate the investigation as to respondents Paradigm Marketing Consortium and United Sourcing Network Corp. (collectively, “Paradigm”) based on a consent order stipulation. The Commission has issued the subject consent order.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** James A. Worth, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3065. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This investigation was instituted on May 21, 2010, based upon a complaint filed on behalf of Georgia Pacific Consumer Products LP of Atlanta, Georgia (“Georgia Pacific”) on September 23, 2009, and supplemented on October 7, 2009. 74 *Fed. Reg.* 55856 (Oct. 29, 2009). The complaint alleged violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic paper

towel dispensing devices and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of United States Patent Nos. 6,871,815; 7,017,856; 7,182,289; and 7,387,274. 75 Fed. Reg. 28651-2 (May 21, 2010). The complainant named as respondents Kruger Products LP of Mississauga, Canada (“Kruger”); KTG USA LP of Memphis, Tennessee (“KTG USA”); StefcO Industries, Inc. of Haines City, Florida (“Stefco”); Cellynne Corporation of Haines City, Florida (“Cellyne”); Draco Hygienic Products Inc. of Ontario, California; NetPak Electronic Plastic and Cosmetic, Inc., d/b/a/ Open for Business of Chicago, Illinois (“NetPak Chicago”); NetPak Elektronik Plastik ve Kozmetik Sanayi, Ve Ticaret Ltd of Izmir, Turkey (“NetPak Turkey”); Paradigm of Syosset, New York; New Choice (H.K.) Ltd. of Shatin, Hong Kong; and Vida International Inc. of Taipei, Taiwan.

On August 16, 2010, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review an ID amending the complaint and notice of investigation: (1) to correct the corporate name of NetPak Chicago; (2) to redefine “Kruger” to “Kruger Products and/or KTG USA”; (3) to indicate that Georgia-Pacific no longer alleges that NetPak Turkey is the source of StefcO’s and Cellynne’s accused product; (4) to add new respondents Jet Power International Limited; Winco Industries Co.; DWL Industries Co.; Ko-Am Corporation Inc. d/b/a Janitor’s World; Natyry, S.A. De C.V.; Update International Inc.; and Alliance in Manufacturing LLC.

On September 24, 2010, Georgia Pacific and Paradigm jointly filed a motion pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(c) to terminate the investigation as to Paradigm based upon a consent order stipulation. On October 6, 2010, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the motion, provided that Paradigm file an amended Stipulation. On October 19, 2010, Paradigm filed an amended consent order stipulation. On November 2, 2010, the administrative law judge issued Order No. 20, granting the motion. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID and to issue the subject consent order.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and of section 210.42(h) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 210.42(h)).

By order of the Commission.

/s/  
Marilyn R. Abbott  
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: November 24, 2010