

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN LAPTOPS, ROUTERS AND
GATEWAYS, AND COMPONENTS
THEREOF**

Investigation No. 337-TA-1489

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION TO
AUTHORIZE JUDICIAL ENFORCEMENT OF SUBPOENAS**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to authorize judicial enforcement of subpoenas *duces tecum* and *ad testificandum* issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) to non-party RealTek Semiconductor Corp. (“RealTek”). Accordingly, the Commission has authorized its Office of the General Counsel to seek such enforcement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa A. Murray, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2781. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on March 6, 2026, based on a complaint filed by AX Wireless, LLC of Austin, Texas (“Complainant” or “AXW”). 91 FR 11086-87 (Mar. 6, 2026). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain laptops, routers and gateways, and components thereof by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 10,917,272 (“the ’272 patent”); 10,079,707; 11,646,927; 11,777,776; and 12,063,134. *Id.* at 11086. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The notice of investigation names the following respondents: TP-Link Systems Inc. (“TP-Link”) of Irvine, California; D-Link Corporation of Taipei, Taiwan, and D-Link Systems, Inc. of Irvine, California (collectively, “D-Link”); as well as ASUSTeK Computer Inc. (Taiwan) of Taipei, Taiwan; ASUS Computer International, Inc. of Fremont, California; and Ubiquiti Inc. of New

York, New York. *Id.* at 11086-87. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also a party to the investigation. *Id.* at 11087.

On May 19, 2026, the Commission terminated the D-Link respondents from the investigation based on a consent order stipulation and entry of consent orders. *See* Order No. 13 (Apr. 30, 2026), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (May 19, 2026).

On June 12, 2026, the Commission amended the complaint and notice of investigation to include infringement allegations with respect to dependent claims 7, 9, 10, and 20 of the ’272 patent. *See* Order No. 14 (May 19, 2026), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice, 91 FR 36615 (June 17, 2026).

On July 22, 2026, the Commission terminated TP-Link from the investigation based on a settlement agreement. *See* Order No. 17 (June 25, 2026), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (July 22, 2026).

On August 18, 2026, Complainant filed a motion stating that RealTek failed to respond to the subpoenas *duces tecum* and *ad testificandum* and sought that “the ALJ certify to the Commission a request that the Commission seek judicial enforcement” of the subpoenas, in order to seek that “a Court compel RealTek to produce: (1) all documents and information requested in the *Subpoena Duces Tecum*; and (2) a corporate designee to testify to all topics of the *Subpoena Ad Testificandum*.” The subpoenas identify fifty requests for document production and six related deposition topics. *See* Order No. 24 at 3 (Sept. 11, 2026). No responses to the motion were filed.

On September 11, 2026, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 24), granting the motion and certifying the request to the Commission pursuant to Commission Rule 210.32(g). The ALJ finds that a review of the document requests and deposition topics confirms that AXW seeks relevant information. *Id.* The ALJ further notes that RealTek has not filed a motion to quash or limit the subpoenas. *Id.* at 3 n.1.

The Commission has determined to authorize judicial enforcement of the subpoenas to RealTek and has authorized its Office of the General Counsel to seek such enforcement.

The Commission’s vote for this determination took place on September 24, 2026.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: September 24, 2026