

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN POWER CONVERTERS, CIRCUIT
BOARD ASSEMBLIES, AND COMPUTING
SYSTEMS CONTAINING THE SAME**

Investigation No. 337-TA-1484

**NOTICE OF A COMMISSION DETERMINATION THAT ORDER NO. 16 GRANTING
IN PART A MOTION TO DECLASSIFY A CERTAIN INTERROGATORY RESPONSE
IN PART IS NOT AN INITIAL DETERMINATION**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined to clarify that an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 16) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) to declassify a certain interrogatory response in part is an order pursuant to Commission Rule 210.20(b) and thus is not presently reviewable.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carl P. Bretscher, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2382. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on February 17, 2026, based on a complaint filed by Vicor Corporation of Andover, Massachusetts (“Vicor”). 91 FR 7301-02 (Feb. 17, 2026). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), in the importation into the United States, sale for importation, or sale within the United States after importation of certain power converters, circuit board assemblies, and computing systems containing the same by reason of infringement of one or more of asserted claims 1-20, 24, 25, 28-39, 41-50, 60-63, 66, 68-74, 76, 77, 80-85, 87-90, and 94 of U.S. Patent No. 12,395,087. *Id.* The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The Commission’s notice of investigation names the following respondents: Delta Electronics, Inc. of Taipei, Taiwan; Delta Electronics (Americas) Ltd. of Fremont, California; DET Logistics (USA) Corporation of Fremont, California; Luxshare Precision Industry Co., Ltd. of Dongguan, China; Dongguan Luxshare Technology Co., Ltd. a/k/a Luxshare-Tech of Dongguan City, China; Shanghai Peiyuan Electronics Co., Ltd. d/b/a

MetaPWR Electronics Co., Ltd. of Lingang New Area, China; Shanghai MetaPWR Electronics Co., Ltd. of Lingang New Area, China; Monolithic Power Systems, Inc. of Kirkland, Washington (“Monolithic”); Chengdu Monolithic Power Systems Co., Ltd. of Chengdu, China (“Chengdu Monolithic”); MPS International (Shanghai) Ltd. of Shanghai, China (“MPS-Shanghai”); Wistron Corporation of Taipei City, Taiwan; Wiwynn Corporation of New Taipei City, Taiwan; Quanta Computer Inc. of Taoyuan City, Taiwan; Quanta Cloud Technology Inc. of Taoyuan City, Taiwan; Quanta Cloud Technology USA, LLC of San Jose, California; and Quanta Computer USA Inc. of Fremont, California. *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in this investigation. *Id.*

On July 27, 2026, respondents Monolithic, Chengdu Monolithic, and MPS-Shanghai (collectively, the “MPS Respondents”) filed a motion to declassify complainant Vicor’s Amended & Supplemental Response to Interrogatory No. MPS-1 (“Response”), responding to the MPS Respondents’ request for information. On August 6, 2026, Vicor filed an opposition to the MPS Respondents’ motion. On August 13, 2026, the MPS Respondents moved for leave to file a reply in support of their motion to declassify.

On August 20, 2026, the presiding ALJ issued the subject Order pursuant to Commission Rule 210.20, granting in part the MPS Respondents’ motion to declassify. Order No. 16 (Aug. 20, 2026). The ALJ also granted the MPS Respondents’ motion to file a reply.

No party filed a request for interlocutory review of the subject Order. 19 CFR 210.24(b).

The Commission has determined that Order No. 16 is an order, and not an ID. Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.20(b), a motion to declassify is granted by initial determination only “[f]ollowing issuance of a public version of the initial determination on whether there is a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 or an initial determination that would otherwise terminate the investigation.” 19 CFR 210.20(b); *see also* 19 CFR 210.42(a)(2). Because neither condition is satisfied here, Order No. 16 was not properly issued as an ID and, accordingly, the Order is not presently before the Commission for review.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on October 5, 2026.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: October 5, 2026