

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of
CERTAIN OPEN-EAR
EARPIECE DEVICES

Investigation No. 337-TA-1470

**NOTICE OF A COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL
DETERMINATION PARTIALLY TERMINATING THE INVESTIGATION WITH
RESPECT TO CERTAIN RESPONDENTS BASED ON SETTLEMENT**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 19) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) partially terminating the investigation with respect to Respondents Shenzhen Zhichuang All Technology Co. Ltd. and Abbott Sanag (UK) Group Co., Ltd. d/b/a Sanag (collectively, “Sanag”) based on settlement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carl P. Bretscher, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2382. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on December 23, 2025, based on a complaint filed by Bose Corporation of Framingham, Massachusetts (“Bose”). 90 FR 60124-25 (Dec. 23, 2025). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain open-ear earpiece devices by reason of infringement of asserted claims 1-5 and 8-17 of U.S. Patent No. 11,140,469 (“the ’469 patent”); claims 1-4 and 6-20 of U.S. Patent No. 11,659,313 (“the ’313 patent”); claims 1-7, 9-10, 13, 15-17, and 20-25 of U.S. Patent No. 11,997,442 (“the ’442 patent”); claims 1-7, 10-12, and 16-21 of U.S. Patent No. 12,356,132 (“the ’132 patent”); claims 1, 3-9, 11-14, and 16-19 of the U.S. Patent No. 12,155,984 (“the ’984 patent”); and claim 1 of D1,051,103 (“the D103 patent”). *Id.* The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists in connection with the articles covered by the asserted patents. *Id.*

The Commission’s notice of investigation named the following respondents: Sanag; King Lucky Co., Ltd. of Hong Kong, China (“King Lucky”); Dongguan Yuanyu Electronic Co., Ltd d/b/a Ituoray of Dongguan City, China (“Ituoray”); U2O Global Co., Ltd. d/b/a IWalk of Fujian, China (“IWalk”); Liu, Yiming d/b/a Yomdud of Xi’an, China (“Yomdud”); Lingzhong Zhao d/b/a Jzones of Sichuan, China (“Jzones”); Shenzhen Mengmengwei Electronic Commerce Co., Ltd. d/b/a Lytmi of Shenzhen, China (“Lytmi”); Shenzhen Maosong Tech. Co., Ltd. d/b/a Ansten of Shenzhen, China (“Ansten”); Shenzhen Meichi Electronics Co., Ltd. d/b/a HOMSCAM of Shenzhen, China (“HOMSCAM”); Shenzhen Shixinhe Dianzi Shangwu Co., Ltd., d/b/a XINHESHUMA of Shenzhen City, China (“XINHESHUMA”); Shenzhen Landscape Art Co., Ltd. d/b/a Piluyaa of Shenzhen City, China (“Piluyaa”); Shenzhen Zhiquhui Technology Co., Ltd. d/b/a Yeabomy, Longhua of Shenzhen, China (“Yeabomy”); Shenzhen Carnival Digital Technology Co., Ltd. and/or Shenzhen Lida Tech. Commun. Co., Ltd. d/b/a Shijiaet of Shenzhen City, China (“Shijiaet”); Shenzhen Shibaishi Dianzi Shangwu Co., Ltd. d/b/a Jiayuu and/or YouDaxing of Shenzhen, China (“Jiayuu”); Buy Worry-Free Trade Co., Ltd. d/b/a BST Supply I of Hong Kong, China (“Best Supply I”); Hong Kong Shihui Technology Co., Ltd. d/b/a Wdingxing of Hong Kong, China (“Wdingxing”); Hong Kong Chuanboyao Technology Ltd. d/b/a Mmanage and/or Ffaithful of Hong Kong, China (“Mmanage”); Hong Kong Dora Cross-Border Trading Co., Ltd. d/b/a Doraomi of Hong Kong, China (“Doraomi”); Hong Kong Santaizi Technology Co., Ltd. d/b/a STZ Sport of Hong Kong, China (“STZ Sport”); Shenzhen Shiyi Gian Maoyi Co., Ltd. d/b/a Classic Innovation of Shenzhen, China (“Classic Innovation”); and Shenzhen Yanyin Technology Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen, China (“Shenzhen Yanyin”). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also participating in the investigation. *Id.*

On May 18, 2026, the Commission partially terminated the investigation with respect to the following claims due to Bose’s withdrawal of those claims: claims 3-5, 10, 15, and 16 of the ’469 patent; claims 6-10 and 13 of the ’313 patent; claims 4-6, 16, 17, and 22 of the ’442 patent; claims 12 and 18 of the ’132 patent; and claims 9 and 17-19 of the ’984 patent. Order No. 9 (Apr. 2, 2026), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (May 18, 2026).

On May 20, 2026, the Commission found respondents Ituoray and IWalk in default for failing to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation and the ALJ’s order to show cause why they should not be found in default (Order No. 8 (Mar. 11, 2026)). Order No. 10 (Apr. 20, 2026), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (May 20, 2026).

On July 31, 2026, the Commission partially terminated the investigation with respect to the following patents and patent claims due to their withdrawal by Bose: (i) the ’984 patent in its entirety; (ii) the D103 design patent in its entirety; (iii) claims 2, 8, and 11-14 of the ’469 patent; (iv) claims 2, 4, 11, and 14-18 of the ’313 patent; (v) claims 2, 7, 10, 13, 15, 20, 21, 23, and 25 of the ’442 patent; and (vi) claims 2-6, 10, 11, 16, 17, 19, and 21 of the ’132 patent. Order No. 14 (July 1, 2026), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (July 31, 2026).

On August 13, 2026, the Commission partially terminated the investigation with respect to Yomdud, Jzones, Lytmi, Ansten, HOMSCAM, XINHESHUMA, Piluyaa, Yeabomy, Shijiaet, Jiayuu, BST Supply I, Wdingxing, Mmanage, Doraomi, STZ Sport, Classic Innovation, and

Shenzhen Yanyin because those respondents were unreachable. Order No. 15 (July 15, 2026), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Aug. 13, 2026). On the same date, the Commission partially terminated the investigation with respect to King Lucky based on a settlement agreement. Order No. 17 (July 15, 2026), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Aug. 13, 2026).

On August 17, 2026, Bose and Sanag (collectively, the “Moving Parties”) moved to partially terminate the investigation with respect to Sanag based on a settlement agreement. The Moving Parties represented that the motion was unopposed. On August 19, 2026, OUII filed a response in support of the motion. No other responses were received.

On August 26, 2026, the presiding ALJ issued Order No. 19 granting the Moving Parties’ unopposed motion, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(b), 19 CFR 210.21(b). The ID finds that apart from the settlement agreement, the Moving Parties have made no other agreements, written or oral, express or denied, concerning the subject matter of the investigation. The ID also finds that termination of this investigation will not adversely impact the public interest.

No party filed a petition to review the subject ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID. Accordingly, the investigation is terminated with respect to Sanag.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on September 24, 2026.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Lisa R. Barton", enclosed within a large, loopy oval shape.

Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: September 25, 2026