

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of
CERTAIN OPEN-EAR
EARPIECE DEVICES

Investigation No. 337-TA-1470

**NOTICE OF A COMMISSION DETERMINATION TO REVIEW, AND ON REVIEW,
PARTIALLY TERMINATING THE INVESTIGATION WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN
PATENT CLAIMS WITH MODIFIED REASONING**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined to review and, on review, partially terminating the investigation with respect to certain patent claims based on modified reasoning of an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 9) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carl P. Bretscher, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2382. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on December 23, 2025, based on a complaint filed by Bose Corporation of Framingham, Massachusetts (“Bose”). 90 FR 60124-25 (Dec. 23, 2025). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain open-ear earpiece devices by reason of infringement of asserted claims 1-5 and 8-17 of U.S. Patent No. 11,140,469 (“the ’469 patent”); claims 1-4 and 6-20 of U.S. Patent No. 11,659,313 (“the ’313 patent”); claims 1-7, 9-10, 13, 15-17, and 20-25 of U.S. Patent No. 11,997,442 (“the ’442 patent”); claims 1-7, 10-12, and 16-21 of U.S. Patent No. 12,356,132 (“the ’132 patent”); claims 1, 3-9, 11-14, and 16-19 of U.S. Patent No. 12,155,984 (“the ’984 patent”); and claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. D1,051,103. *Id.* The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists in connection with the articles covered by the asserted patents. *Id.*

The Commission’s notice of investigation named the following respondents: Dongguan Yuanyu Electronic Co., Ltd d/b/a Ituoray of Dongguan City, China; Liu, Yiming d/b/a Yomdud of Xi’an, China; King Lucky Co., Ltd. of Hong Kong, China (“King Lucky”); Shenzhen Zhichuang All Technology Co., Ltd. (“Shenzhen Zhichuang”) and/or Abbott Sanag (UK) Group Co., Ltd. d/b/a Sanag (“Abbott Sanag”) of Shenzhen, China and/or Wembley, England; Lingzhong Zhao d/b/a Jones of Sichuan, China; Shenzhen Mengmengwei Electronic Commerce Co., Ltd. d/b/a Lytmi of Shenzhen, China; Shenzhen Maosong Tech. Co., Ltd. d/b/a Ansten of Shenzhen, China; U2O Global Co., Ltd. d/b/a IWalk of Fujian, China; Shenzhen Meichi Electronics Co., Ltd. d/b/a HOMSCAM of Shenzhen, China; Shenzhen Shixinhe Dianzi Shangwu Co., Ltd., d/b/a XINHESHUMA of Shenzhen City, China; Shenzhen Landscape Art Co., Ltd. d/b/a Piluyaa of Shenzhen City, China; Shenzhen Zhiqihui Technology Co., Ltd. d/b/a Yeabomy, Longhua of Shenzhen, China; Shenzhen Carnival Digital Technology Co., Ltd. and/or Shenzhen Lida Tech. Commun. Co., Ltd. d/b/a Shijiaet of Shenzhen City, China; Shenzhen Shibaishi Dianzi Shangwu Co., Ltd. d/b/a Jiayuu and/or YouDaxing of Shenzhen, China; Buy Worry-Free Trade Co., Ltd. d/b/a BST Supply I of Hong Kong, China; Hong Kong Shihui Technology Co., Ltd. d/b/a Wingxing of Hong Kong, China; Hong Kong Chuanboyao Technology Ltd. d/b/a Manage and/or Faithful of Hong Kong, China; Hong Kong Dora Cross-Border Trading Co., Ltd. d/b/a Doraomi of Hong Kong, China; Hong Kong Santaizi Technology Co., Ltd. d/b/a STZ Sport of Hong Kong, China; Shenzhen Shiyi Gian Maoyi Co., Ltd. d/b/a Classic Innovation of Shenzhen, China; and Shenzhen Yanyin Technology Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen, China. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also participating in the investigation. *Id.*

On March 20, 2026, Bose filed a motion for partial termination of the investigation by withdrawal of the following patent claims: claims 3-5, 10, 15, and 16 of the ’469 patent; claims 6-10 and 13 of the ’313 patent; claims 4-6, 16, 17, and 22 of the ’442 patent; claims 12 and 18 of the ’132 patent; and claims 9 and 17-19 of the ’984 patent. Bose asserted that Respondent Shenzhen Zhichuang and/or Abbott Sanag did not oppose the motion. On March 23, 2026, OUII filed a response in support of Bose’s motion. On March 31, 2026, Respondent King Lucky filed an opposition requesting that dismissal be granted with prejudice but not otherwise opposing the narrowing of the scope of the case.

On April 2, 2026, the presiding ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 9) granting the motion to terminate the identified claims, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(a)(1), 19 CFR 210.21(a)(1). The subject ID finds that the motion was made before the issuance of any ID, and that Bose stipulated that there are no agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between the moving parties concerning the subject matter of this investigation. The subject ID also finds that there are no extraordinary circumstances that warrant denying the motion.

No party filed a petition to review the subject ID.

Having reviewed the record, including the motion, opposition, and subject ID, the Commission has determined to review the subject ID and, on review, terminate the identified claims with modified reasoning. Specifically, the Commission vacates footnote 1 in the subject

ID. The Commission finds Bose's motion complies with the Commission Rules and thus terminates the identified claims and denies King Lucky's request.

Accordingly, claims 3-5, 10, 15, and 16 of the '469 patent; claims 6-10 and 13 of the '313 patent; claims 4-6, 16, 17, and 22 of the '442 patent; claims 12 and 18 of the '132 patent; and claims 9 and 17-19 of the '984 patent are hereby terminated from this investigation.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on May 18, 2026.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Lisa R. Barton', enclosed in a thin black rectangular border.

Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: May 18, 2026