

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C.**

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN MOBILE CELLULAR  
COMMUNICATIONS DEVICES**

**Investigation No. 337-TA-1456**

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL  
DETERMINATION TERMINATING RESPONDENT HMD GLOBAL BASED ON  
WITHDRAWAL OF THE COMPLAINT**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 7) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting an unopposed motion to terminate the above-captioned investigation as to respondent HMD Global of Espoo, Finland based on withdrawal of the complaint.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** B. Rashmi Borah, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2518. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on August 7, 2025, based on a complaint filed by Pantech Corporation (“Pantech”) of the Republic of Korea. 90 FR 38177 (Aug. 7, 2025). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain mobile cellular communications devices by reason of the infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,548,839; 11,659,503; 11,051,344; and 12,267,876. *Id.* The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.*

The notice of investigation names the following respondents: (1) OnePlus Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, China; (2) OnePlus USA Corp. of Irving, Texas; (3) Lenovo Group Ltd. of Beijing, China; (4) Lenovo (United States) Inc. of Morrisville, North Carolina; (5) Motorola Mobility LLC of Libertyville, Illinois; (6) TCL Industries Holdings Co., Ltd. of Huizhou City, Guangdong Province, China; (7) TCL Electronics Holdings Ltd. of Hong Kong; (8) TCL Communication Ltd. of Hong Kong; (9) TCL Communication Technology Holdings Ltd. of Huizhou City, Guangdong Province, China; (10) TCL Mobile International Ltd. of Hong Kong; (11) Huizhou TCL Mobile Communication Co., Ltd. of Huizhou City, Guangdong Province, China; (12) TCL Mobile Communication (HK) Company Ltd. of Hong Kong; (13) Tinno USA, Inc. of Plano, Texas; (14) Shenzhen Tinno Mobile Technology Corp. of Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, China; (15) HMD Global; (16) HMD Global OY of Espoo, Finland; and (17) HMD America, Inc. of Miami, Florida. *Id.* at 38177-78. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also named as a party to this investigation. *Id.* at 38178.

On August 29, 2025, Pantech filed an unopposed motion to terminate the investigation as to HMD Global based on withdrawal of the complaint. On September 10, 2025, OUII filed a response in support of the motion.

On September 10, 2025, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 7) granting the motion to terminate the investigation as to HMD Global. The ID notes that HMD Global OY and HMD America, Inc. “have represented that HMD Global does not exist.” ID at 2. The ID finds that the motion complies with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(a)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(a)(1)). The ID further finds that termination is not contrary to the public interest. *Id.* No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is terminated as to HMD Global.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on September 30, 2025.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton  
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: September 30, 2025