

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.**

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN ELECTRONIC EYEWEAR
PRODUCTS, COMPONENTS THEREOF,
AND RELATED CHARGING
APPARATUSES (II)**

Investigation No. 337-TA-1455

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW INITIAL
DETERMINATIONS TERMINATING THE INVESTIGATION AS TO CERTAIN
RESPONDENTS BASED ON SETTLEMENT**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review three initial determinations (“ID”) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) that terminate respondents: (1) Halliday Global Limited of Kaki Bukit, Singapore, Halliday Holdings Pte. Ltd. of Kaki Bukit, Singapore, and Cosonic Intelligent Technologies Co., Ltd. of Dongguan City, Guangdong, China (collectively, “Halliday”) (Order No. 19); (2) Sichuan INMO Technology Co., Ltd. and Shenzhen Yingmu Technology Co., Ltd., both of Nanshan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China (collectively, “INMO”) (Order No. 20); and (3) Even Realities Ltd. of Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China and Even Realities GmbH of Berlin, Germany (collectively, “Even Realities”) (Order No. 21) from the above-captioned investigation based on settlement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joelle P. Justus, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2593. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on July 11, 2025, based on a complaint filed by IngenioSpec, LLC (“IngenioSpec”) of San Jose, California. 90 FR 30980-81 (July 11, 2025). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based on the importation

into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic eyewear products, components thereof, and related charging apparatuses by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 10,310,296 and 12,078,870. *Id.* at 30980. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists or is in the process of being established. *Id.*

The notice of investigation names the following respondents in addition to Halliday, INMO, and Even Realities: (1) Brilliant Labs Limited (“Brilliant”) of Singapore; (2) SZ DJI Technology Co., Ltd. (“DJI”) of Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China; (3) MyW Technology Co., Ltd. of Guangming District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China; (4) Shenzhen Langzhiyin Electronic Co., Ltd. (“OhO”) of Xuexiang Bantian Longgang District, Shenzhen, China; (5) Hangzhou Guangli Technology Co., Ltd. (“Guangli”) of Xi Hu District, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China; and (6) Lexiang Technology Co., Ltd. of Pudong New Area, Shanghai, China. *Id.* at 30981. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not named as a party. *Id.*

The Commission previously terminated the investigation as to respondents Guangli and Brilliant based on settlement. Order No. 9 (Aug. 14, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Sept. 15, 2025) (Guangli); Order No. 11 (Aug. 25, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Sept. 15, 2025) (Brilliant).

On November 17, 2025, IngenioSpec and Halliday filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation as to Halliday based on a settlement agreement, attaching thereto a confidential version of the subject agreement. The motion states that respondents DJI, Even Realities, OhO, and INMO do not oppose the motion. On November 21, 2025, IngenioSpec and Halliday filed a public version of the motion, attaching thereto a redacted version of the subject agreement. No responses to the motion were filed.

Also on November 17, 2025, IngenioSpec and INMO filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation as to INMO based on a settlement agreement, attaching thereto a confidential version of the subject agreement. The motion states that respondents DJI, Even Realities, OhO, and Halliday do not oppose the motion. On November 21, 2025, IngenioSpec and INMO filed a public version of the motion, attaching thereto a redacted version of the subject agreement. No responses to the motion were filed.

Further on November 17, 2025, IngenioSpec and Even Realities filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation as to Even Realities based on a settlement agreement, attaching thereto a confidential version of the subject agreement. The motion states that respondents DJI, Halliday, OhO, and INMO do not oppose the motion. On November 21, 2025, IngenioSpec and Even Realities filed a public version of the motion, attaching thereto a redacted version of the subject agreement. No responses to the motion were filed.

On December 1, 2025, the ALJ issued the three subject IDs (Order Nos. 19, 20, and 21). Order No. 19 grants the unopposed joint motion to terminate the investigation as to Halliday based on settlement. Order No. 19 finds that the motion complies with the requirements of

Commission Rule 210.21(b)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)), noting that the motion states that “[t]here are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between the Moving Parties concerning the subject matter of the Investigation” other than the subject settlement agreement. Order No. 19 (Dec. 1, 2025) at 2. Order No. 19 also finds “no evidence of any adverse impact on the public interest” from terminating Halliday from the investigation, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) (19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)). *Id.* Order No. 19 further grants the moving parties’ request to limit service of the confidential settlement agreement and to stay the investigation as to Brilliant pending resolution of the joint motion. *Id.* at 3-4.

Order No. 20 grants the unopposed joint motion to terminate the investigation as to INMO based on settlement. Order No. 20 finds that the motion complies with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(b)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)), noting that the motion states that “[t]here are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between the Moving Parties concerning the subject matter of the Investigation” other than the subject settlement agreement. Order No. 20 (Dec. 1, 2025) at 2. Order No. 20 also finds “no evidence of any adverse impact on the public interest” from terminating Halliday from the investigation, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) (19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)). *Id.* Order No. 20 further grants the moving parties’ request to limit service of the confidential settlement agreement and to stay the investigation as to INMO pending resolution of the joint motion. *Id.* at 3-4.

Order No. 21 grants the unopposed joint motion to terminate the investigation as to Even Realities based on settlement. Order No. 21 finds that the motion complies with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(b)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)), noting that the motion states that “[t]here are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between the Moving Parties concerning the subject matter of the Investigation” other than the subject settlement agreement. Order No. 21 (Dec. 1, 2025) at 2. Order No. 21 also finds “no evidence of any adverse impact on the public interest” from terminating Halliday from the investigation, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) (19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)). *Id.* Order No. 21 further grants the moving parties’ request to limit service of the confidential settlement agreement and to stay the investigation as to Even Realities pending resolution of the joint motion. *Id.* at 3-4.


No petitions for review of the subject IDs were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject IDs. The investigation is hereby terminated as to Halliday, INMO, and Even Realities.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on December 19, 2025.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Lisa R. Barton', enclosed within a thin black rectangular border.

Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: December 19, 2025