UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of	
CERTAIN ELECTRONIC EYEWEAR PRODUCTS, COMPONENTS THEREOF, AND RELATED CHARGING APPARATUSES (II)	**Investigation No. 337-TA-1455**

NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL DETERMINATION TERMINATING THE INVESTIGATION AS TO A RESPONDENT BASED ON SETTLEMENT

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 9) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting complainant IngenioSpec, LLC ("IngenioSpec") and respondent Hangzhou Guangli Technology Co., Ltd.'s ("Guangli") unopposed joint motion to terminate the investigation as to Guangli based on settlement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard P. Hadorn, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3179. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov*. For help accessing EDIS, please email *EDIS3Help@usitc.gov*. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at *https://www.usitc.gov*. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on July 11, 2025, based on a complaint filed by IngenioSpec of San Jose, California. 90 FR 30980-81 (July 11, 2025). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic eyewear products, components thereof, and related charging apparatuses by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 10,310,296 and 12,078,870. *Id* at 30980. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists or is in the process of being established. *Id*.

The notice of investigation names the following respondents: (1) Brilliant Labs Limited of Singapore; (2) SZ DJI Technology Co., Ltd. ("DJI") of Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China; (3) Even Realities Ltd. of Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China and Even Realities GmbH of Berlin, Germany (collectively, "Even Realities"); (4) Halliday Global of Kaki Bukit, Singapore, Halliday Holdings Pte. Ltd. of Kaki Bukit, Singapore, and Cosonic Intelligent Technologies Co., Ltd. of Dongguan City, Guangdong, China (collectively, "Halliday"); (5) Shenzhen Yingmu Technology Co., Ltd. of Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China; (6) Sichuan INMO Technology Co., Ltd. of Nanshan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China; (7) MyW Technology Co., Ltd. of Guangming District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China; (8) Shenzhen Langzhiyin Electronic Co., Ltd. of Xuexiang Bantian Longgang District, Shenzhen, China; (9) Guangli of Xi Hu District, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China; and (10) Lexiang Technology Co., Ltd. of Pudong New Area, Shanghai, China. *Id*. at 30981. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not named as a party. *Id*.

On August 6, 2025, IngenioSpec and Guangli (the "Moving Parties") filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation as to Guangli based on a settlement agreement, attaching thereto a confidential version of the subject agreement. The motion states that respondents DJI, Even Realities, and Halliday do not oppose the motion. On August 7, 2025, the ALJ issued Order No. 6, which ordered the Moving Parties to file a redacted version of the subject agreement, as required by Commission Rule 210.21(b)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)). On August 12, 2025, pursuant to Order No. 6, the Moving Parties filed a supplement to the motion, attaching thereto a redacted version of the subject agreement. No responses to the motion were filed.

On August 14, 2025, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 9) granting the unopposed joint motion. The ID finds that, as supplemented, the joint motion complies with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(b)(1), noting that the joint motion states that "[t]here are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between the Moving Parties concerning the subject matter of the Investigation" other than the subject settlement agreement. ID at 2. The ID also finds "no evidence of any adverse impact on the public interest" from terminating Guangli from the investigation based on settlement, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) (19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)). *Id*. The ALJ further grants the Moving Parties' request to limit service of the confidential settlement agreement and to stay the investigation as to Guangli pending resolution of the joint motion. *Id.* at 3-4.

No petitions for review of the subject ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is hereby terminated as to Guangli.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on September 15, 2025.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: September 15, 2025