

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.**

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN ELECTRONIC EYEWEAR
PRODUCTS, COMPONENTS THEREOF,
AND RELATED CHARGING
APPARATUSES (II)**

Investigation No. 337-TA-1455

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW INITIAL
DETERMINATIONS TERMINATING THE INVESTIGATION AS TO TWO
RESPONDENTS BASED ON SETTLEMENT**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review two initial determinations (“IDs”) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) that terminate respondents SZ DJI Technology Co., Ltd. (“SZ DJI”) of Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China (Order No. 24) and Lexiang Technology Co., Ltd. (“DPVR”) of Pudong New Area, Shanghai, China (Order No. 25) from the above-captioned investigation based on settlement. SZ DJI and DPVR are terminated from the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard P. Hadorn, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3179. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on July 11, 2025, based on a complaint filed by IngenioSpec, LLC (“IngenioSpec”) of San Jose, California. 90 FR 30980-81 (July 11, 2025). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic eyewear products, components thereof, and related charging apparatuses by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 10,310,296 and

12,078,870. *Id.* at 30980. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists or is in the process of being established. *Id.*

The notice of investigation names the following respondents: (1) Brilliant Labs Limited (“Brilliant”) of Singapore; (2) SZ DJI; (3) Even Realities Ltd. of Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China and Even Realities GmbH of Berlin, Germany (collectively, “Even Realities”); (4) Halliday Global Limited of Kaki Bukit, Singapore, Halliday Holdings Pte. Ltd. of Kaki Bukit, Singapore, and Cosonic Intelligent Technologies Co., Ltd. of Dongguan City, Guangdong, China (collectively, “Halliday”); (5) Shenzhen Yingmu Technology Co., Ltd. and Sichuan INMO Technology Co., Ltd., both of Nanshan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China (collectively, “INMO”); (6) MyW Technology Co., Ltd. of Guangming District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China; (7) Shenzhen Langzhiyin Electronic Co., Ltd. (“OHO”) of Xuexiang Bantian Longgang District, Shenzhen, China; (8) Hangzhou Guangli Technology Co., Ltd. (“Guangli”) of Xi Hu District, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China; and (9) DPVR. *Id.* at 30981. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not named as a party. *Id.*

On September 15, 2025, the Commission terminated the investigation as to respondents Guangli and Brilliant based on settlement. Order No. 9 (Aug. 14, 2025), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Sept. 15, 2025) (Guangli); Order No. 11 (Aug. 25, 2025), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Sept. 15, 2025) (Brilliant).

On December 19, 2025, the Commission terminated the investigation as to respondents Halliday, INMO, and Even Realities based on settlement. Order No. 19 (Dec. 1, 2025) (Halliday), Order No. 20 (Dec. 1, 2025) (INMO), Order No. 21 (Dec. 1, 2025) (Even Realities), *all unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Dec. 19, 2025).

On January 16, 2026, the Commission terminated the investigation as to respondent OHO based on withdrawal of the complaint. Order No. 22 (Dec. 17, 2025), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Jan. 16, 2026).

On January 9, 2026, IngenioSpec and DPVR filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation as to DPVR based on a settlement agreement, attaching thereto a confidential version of the subject agreement. That same day, IngenioSpec and DPVR filed a public version of the motion, attaching thereto a redacted version of the subject agreement. The motion states that respondent SZ DJI does not oppose the motion. No responses to the motion were filed.

On January 20, 2026, IngenioSpec and SZ DJI filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation as to SZ DJI based on a settlement agreement, attaching thereto a confidential version of the subject agreement. On January 26, 2026, IngenioSpec and SZ DJI filed a public version of the motion, attaching thereto a redacted version of the subject agreement. The motion states that respondent DPVR does not oppose the motion. No responses to the motion were filed.

On April 1, 2026, the ALJ issued both subject IDs (Order Nos. 24 and 25). Order Nos. 24 and 25 grant the unopposed motions to terminate the investigation as to SZ DJI and DPVR,

respectively, finding that the motions comply with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(b)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)), and that the proposed settlements do not adversely affect the public interest in accordance with Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) (19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)). Order No. 24 at 2; Order No. 25 at 2. Order Nos. 24 and 25 further grant the moving parties' requests to limit service of the confidential settlement agreements and to stay the investigation as to SZ DJI and DPVR pending resolution of the motions. Order No. 24 at 3; Order No. 25 at 3. No petitions for review of the subject IDs were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject IDs. The investigation is hereby terminated as to SZ DJI and DPVR.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on April 29, 2026.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: April 29, 2026