

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C.**

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN ELECTRONIC EYEWEAR  
PRODUCTS, COMPONENTS THEREOF,  
AND RELATED CHARGING  
APPARATUSES (II)**

**Investigation No. 337-TA-1455**

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL  
DETERMINATION TERMINATING THE INVESTIGATION AS TO A RESPONDENT  
BASED ON WITHDRAWAL OF THE COMPLAINT**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) that terminates respondent Shenzhen Langzhiyin Electronic Co., Ltd. (“OHO”) of Xuexiang Bantian Longgang District, Shenzhen, China from the above-captioned investigation based on withdrawal of the complaint. OHO is terminated from the investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Richard P. Hadorn, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3179. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on July 11, 2025, based on a complaint filed by IngenioSpec, LLC (“IngenioSpec”) of San Jose, California. 90 FR 30980-81 (July 11, 2025). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic eyewear products, components thereof, and related charging apparatuses by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 10,310,296 and 12,078,870. *Id* at 30980. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists or is in the process of being established. *Id*.

The notice of investigation names the following respondents: (1) Brilliant Labs Limited (“Brilliant”) of Singapore; (2) SZ DJI Technology Co., Ltd. (“DJI”) of Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China; (3) Even Realities Ltd. of Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China and Even Realities GmbH of Berlin, Germany (collectively, “Even Realities”); (4) Halliday Global Limited of Kaki Bukit, Singapore, Halliday Holdings Pte. Ltd. of Kaki Bukit, Singapore, and Cosonic Intelligent Technologies Co., Ltd. of Dongguan City, Guangdong, China (collectively, “Halliday”); (5) Shenzhen Yingmu Technology Co., Ltd. and Sichuan INMO Technology Co., Ltd., both of Nanshan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China (collectively, “INMO”); (6) MyW Technology Co., Ltd. of Guangming District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China; (7) OHO; (8) Hangzhou Guangli Technology Co., Ltd. (“Guangli”) of Xi Hu District, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China; and (9) Lexiang Technology Co., Ltd. of Pudong New Area, Shanghai, China. *Id.* at 30981. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not named as a party. *Id.*

On September 15, 2025, the Commission terminated the investigation as to respondents Guangli and Brilliant based on settlement. Order No. 9 (Aug. 14, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Sept. 15, 2025) (Guangli); Order No. 11 (Aug. 25, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Sept. 15, 2025) (Brilliant).

On December 19, 2025, the Commission terminated the investigation as to respondents Halliday, INMO, and Even Realities based on settlement. Order No. 19 (Dec. 1, 2025) (Halliday), Order No. 20 (Dec. 1, 2025) (INMO), Order No. 21 (Dec. 1, 2025) (Even Realities), *all unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Dec. 19, 2025).

On December 1, 2025, IngenioSpec filed a motion to terminate the investigation as to OHO based on withdrawal of the complaint. The motion states that respondents OHO, Even Realities, and INMO do not oppose the motion, and that respondents DJI and Halliday did not provide their positions on the motion. No responses to the motion were filed.

On December 17, 2025, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 22) granting the motion. The ID finds that the motion complies with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(a)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(a)(1)), that “there are no extraordinary circumstances that prevent terminating the investigation as to OHO,” and that such termination “is in the public interest, as public and private resources will be conserved.” ID at 2. The ALJ further grants IngenioSpec’s request to stay the deadlines in the procedural schedule as to OHO pending Commission review of the ID. *Id.* at 3. No petitions for review of the subject ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is hereby terminated as to OHO.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on January 16, 2026.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Lisa R. Barton', enclosed within a large, stylized oval flourish.

Lisa R. Barton  
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: January 16, 2026