

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN INTEGRATED CIRCUITS,
ELECTRONIC DEVICES CONTAINING THE
SAME, AND COMPONENTS THEREOF**

Investigation No. 337-TA-1450

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW
AN INITIAL DETERMINATION PARTIALLY TERMINATING THE INVESTIGATION
WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN PATENT CLAIMS**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“the Commission”) has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 16) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) partially terminating the investigation with respect to certain patent claims based on withdrawal of the complaint as to those claims.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carl P. Bretscher, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2382. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 27, 2025, the Commission instituted the present investigation based on a complaint, as supplemented, filed by Onesta IP, LLC of Wayne, Pennsylvania (“Onesta”). 90 FR 22325-26 (May 27, 2025). The complaint alleges that the respondents violated section 337 of Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, by the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain integrated circuits, electronic devices containing the same, and components thereof that infringe one or more of the asserted claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,717,350 (“the ’350 patent”); 8,854,381 (“the ’381 patent”); 9,116,809 (“the ’809 patent”); 9,519,943 (“the ’943 patent”); 11,741,019 (“the ’019 patent”); and 11,841,803 (“the ’803 patent”). *Id.* The complaint also alleges that a domestic industry exists or is in the process of being established. *Id.* The notice of investigation names the following respondents: NVIDIA Corporation of Santa Clara, California; Qualcomm Incorporated of San Diego, California; OnePlus Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen, China; and Nothing Technology Limited of London, United Kingdom (collectively, “Respondents”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is named as a party to this investigation. *Id.*

On September 22, 2025, the Commission partially terminated the investigation with respect to asserted claims 13 and 14 of the '350 patent, claims 10 and 13 of the '943 patent, and claims 15 and 21 of the '809 patent, based on Onesta's unopposed withdrawal of those claims, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(a)(1), 19 CFR 210.21(a)(1). Order No. 9 (Sept. 3, 2025), *unreviewed by Comm'n Notice* (Sept. 22, 2025).

On December 3, 2025, the Commission partially terminated the investigation with respect to asserted claims 5-8 and 19-20 of the '381 patent, based on Onesta's unopposed withdrawal of those claims, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(a)(1). Order No. 11 (Sept. 25, 2025), *unreviewed by Comm'n Notice* (Dec. 3, 2025).

On November 25, 2025, Onesta filed an unopposed motion pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(a)(1) to partially terminate the investigation by withdrawing claims 1-10 of the '943 patent, claims 10-12, 15, and 25 of the '350 patent; claim 9 of the '803 patent; claims 1-10 of the '019 patent; and claim 17 of the '809 patent. Onesta certified that neither Respondents nor OUII opposed the motion.

On December 2, 2025, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 16) granting Onesta's unopposed motion. Order No. 16 (Dec. 2, 2025). The ID finds there are no agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between the parties concerning the subject matter of the investigation, that there are no extraordinary circumstances that would prevent termination of the claims, and that termination of the claims would conserve public and private resources and serve the public interest. The ID notes that claim 10 of the '943 patent was previously terminated.

No party filed a petition for review of the subject ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. Accordingly, claims 1-9 of the '943 patent, claims 10-12, 15, and 25 of the '350 patent; claim 9 of the '803 patent; claims 1-10 of the '019 patent; and claim 17 of the '809 patent are terminated from this investigation.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on December 31, 2025.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: December 31, 2025