

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN GLASS SUBSTRATES FOR
LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAYS,
PRODUCTS CONTAINING THE SAME,
AND METHODS FOR
MANUFACTURING THE SAME II**

Investigation No. 337-TA-1441

**NOTICE OF A COMMISSION DETERMINATION GRANTING IN PART
COMPLAINANT’S MOTION TO STRIKE RESPONDENTS’ OPENING SUBMISSIONS
ON REMEDY, THE PUBLIC INTEREST, AND BONDING**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined to grant in part complainant Corning Incorporated’s of Corning, New York (“Complainant”) motion to strike the opening submissions on remedy, the public interest, and bonding filed by the remaining respondents in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: B. Rashmi Borah, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2518. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on March 7, 2025, based on a complaint filed by Complainant. 90 Fed. Reg. 11549-50 (Mar. 7, 2025). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337 (“section 337”), based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain glass substrates for liquid crystal displays, products containing the same, and methods for manufacturing the same by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,642,491 (“the ’491 patent”), U.S. Patent No. 8,640,498 (together, “the Asserted Patents”), and U.S. Patent No. 7,851,394 (“the ’394 patent”). *Id.* at 11549. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The notice of investigation names nine respondents: (1) Caihong Display Devices Co., Ltd., d/b/a Irico Display Devices Co., Ltd. of Xianyang City, Shaanxi Province, China (“Caihong”); (2) Hisense USA Corporation of Suwanee, Georgia; (3) HKC

Corporation Ltd. of Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, China; (4) HKC Overseas Ltd. of Hong Kong; (5) LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc. of Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey; (6) TCL China Star Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, China (“CSOT”); (7) TTE Technology, Inc., d/b/a TCL North America of Irvine, California (“TCL”); (8) VIZIO, Inc. of Irvine, California; and (9) Xianyang CaiHong Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd. of Xianyang City, Shaanxi Province, China (“CHOT”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not named as a party to this investigation. *Id.*

The Commission previously terminated several respondents from the investigation based on settlement agreements. Order No. 24 (July 15, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Aug. 6, 2025) (HKC Corporation Ltd. and HKC Overseas Ltd.); Order No. 28 (Sept. 10, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Sept. 30, 2025) (VIZIO, Inc.); Order No. 29 (Sept. 30, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Dec. 8, 2025) (LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc.); Order No. 57 (Mar. 24, 2026), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Apr. 20, 2026) (Hisense USA Corporation). Accordingly, four respondents remain in the investigation: Caihong, CSOT, CHOT, and TCL (collectively, “Respondents”).

On December 22, 2025, the Commission terminated the investigation as to the ’394 patent and claim 2 of the ’491 patent. Order No. 35 (Dec. 2, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Dec. 22, 2025).

On April 7, 2026, the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) issued a final initial determination (“FID”), finding a violation of section 337 with respect to each of the remaining asserted claims of the Asserted Patents. The FID also includes the ALJ’s Recommended Determination (“RD”) on remedy and bond, should the Commission find a violation of section 337.

On May 7, 2026, Complainant filed a public interest statement pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(a)(4), 19 C.F.R. § 210.50(a)(4). On May 8, 2026, Respondents submitted their public interest statement pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(a)(4), 19 C.F.R. § 210.50(a)(4). No submissions were filed in response to the post-RD *Federal Register* notice. *See* 91 Fed Reg 18478-79 (Apr. 10, 2026).

On June 8, 2026, the Commission determined to review the FID in part. 91 FR 35555-56 (June 11, 2026). Specifically, the Commission determined to review the FID’s findings that: (1) the claim term “mole percent on an oxide basis” is not indefinite and (2) Complainant has satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement under section 337(a)(3)(B). *Id.* at 35556. The Commission also requested submissions on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. *Id.* As relevant to the subject motion, the Commission did not ask any questions or request supplemental briefing on either of the issues under review. *Id.*

On June 22, 2026, Complainant filed its opening submission on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. On that same date, respondents Caihong and CHOT filed a brief, including a discussion of the issues under review in addition to their view on remedy, the public

interest, and bonding. Also on that date, respondents TCL and CSOT filed a separate submission on remedy, the public interest, and bonding.

On June 23, 2026, Complainant moved to strike in part respondents Caihong's and CHOT's opening submission on remedy, the public interest, and bonding for including a discussion on the issues under review, which goes beyond the Commission's briefing request. The motion also seeks to strike in its entirety TCL's and CSOT's opening submission on remedy, the public interest, and bonding because, when considered with Caihong's and CHOT's opening brief, the total pages allegedly go beyond the 25-page limit set in the Commission's notice. Complainant also argues that TCL and CSOT failed to timely serve their opening submission. Complainant also requested that the Commission shorten the time for Respondents to respond to its motion to close of business on June 24, 2026.

On June 24, 2026, the Commission issued an order granting in part Complainant's request for a shortened response time and directing Respondents to respond to Complainant's motion by Friday, June 26, 2026. Comm'n Order at 4 (June 24, 2026). The Commission also extended the deadline for the parties' responsive briefs on remedy, the public interest, and bonding to July 13, 2026.

On June 26, 2026, Respondents filed two separate responses to Complainant's motion.

Having reviewed the record of the investigation and the submissions in this matter, the Commission has determined to grant Complainant's motion to strike in part. Specifically, the Commission has determined to strike the portions of Caihong's and CHOT's opening submission on remedy, the public interest, and bonding that are directed to the issues under review. The Commission has determined to deny Complainant's motion to strike TCL's and CSOT's opening submission on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. The Commission issues an order herewith setting forth its determination.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on July 6, 2026.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: July 6, 2026