UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of **CERTAIN MOTORIZED SELF-BALANCING VEHICLES**	**Investigation No. 337-TA-1440 (Remand)**

NOTICE OF A COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL DETERMINATION EXTENDING THE TARGET DATE

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 27) of the presiding Chief Administrative Law Judge ("CALJ"), extending the target date for completion of the above-identified investigation to February 12, 2027.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cathy Chen, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2392. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov*. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at *https://www.usitc.gov*. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on February 26, 2025, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Razor USA LLC of Cerritos, California and Shane Chen of Camas, Washington (collectively, "Complainants"). 90 FR 10,730 (Feb. 26, 2025). The complaint, as amended, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain motorized self-balancing vehicles by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. RE46,964 ("the '964 patent"); U.S. Patent No. RE49,608 ("the '608 patent"); and U.S. Patent No. D739,906 ("the D906 patent"). *Id.* The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by the applicable Federal Statute. *Id.* The Commission's notice of investigation named the following respondents: Dongguan Saibotan Nengyuan Keji Co., Ltd. d/b/a "Gyroor US"; Gyroor Technology (CHINA) Co., Ltd. d/b/a Gyroor, and Shenzhen Chitado Technology Co., Ltd. d/b/a Gyroor (collectively, "Gyroor Respondents"), all of Guangdong, China; Unicorn Network, LLC. d/b/a Sisigad

("Sisigad") of Dover, Delaware; and Golabs Inc. d/b/a Gotrax ("Gotrax") of Carrollton, Texas. *Id*. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not a party in the investigation. *Id*.

On June 3, 2025, the Commission amended the complaint and notice of investigation by adding Tao Motor of Lishui City, China as a new respondent. Order No. 10 (May 13, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (June 3, 2025). Tao Motor is the parent company of Gotrax and manufactures Gotrax's two-wheel self-balancing vehicles accused of infringement in this investigation.

Respondent Sisigad was found in default and then entered into a settlement agreement with Complainants. Order No. 7 (Apr. 16, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (May 5, 2025); Compl. Post-H'rg Br. at 3 (Jan. 9, 2026). The Gyroor Respondents were terminated from this investigation based on settlement. Order No. 15 (Jul. 14, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Aug. 6, 2025). The D906 patent was terminated from the investigation based on withdrawal of the complaint. Order No. 24 (Feb. 2, 2026), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Feb. 23, 2026).

On April 10, 2026, the CALJ issued a final initial determination ("FID"), finding a violation of section 337 by Gotrax and Tao Motor as to claims 10, 11, and 16 of the '964 patent and claims 10-13 of the '608 patent ("Asserted Patent Claims"). Specifically, the FID found that: (1) the Asserted Patent Claims are infringed by at least one representative accused product; (2) none of the Asserted Patent Claims have been proven invalid; (3) Complainants have satisfied the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement for the '964 and '608 patents; and (4) Complainants have satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement for the '964 and '608 patents under section 337(a)(3)(A) & (B). Although Complainants also alleged investments in capital under section 337(a)(3)(B) and the existence of a domestic industry under section 337(a)(3)(C), the FID did not reach either of these contentions.

On April 24, 2026, Respondents filed a petition for review. Complainants filed a timely response to the petition.

On May 14, 2026, Complainants filed a public interest submission pursuant to 19 CFR 210.50(a)(4). The Commission did not receive any submissions from the public on public interest issues raised by the RD. 91 FR 20701 (Apr. 17, 2026).

On June 15, 2026, the Commission determined to review the FID in part and made two minor corrections and one clarification to the FID. 91 FR 36,879. Specifically, the Commission determined to review the FID's finding that Respondents failed to prove that Mr. Daniel Wood is a joint inventor of the Asserted Patents. *Id*. The Commission also determined on its own initiative to review the FID's findings on the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement. *Id.* The Commission did not review the remainder of the FID. The Commission requested briefing on the economic prong issue and on remedy, bonding, and the public interest.

Complainants and Respondents filed timely initial and responsive submissions. No other party filed a submission before the Commission.

On July 30, 2026, the Commission determined to extend the target date for completion of the investigation to September 15, 2026.

On September 9, 2026, the Commission determined to remand for further proceedings as to whether Complainants have satisfied their burden on the economic prong under subparagraph (C) of section 337(a)(3) as explained in the remand order. The other findings on review remain under Commission review pending the remand. The Commission also determined to extend the target date for completion of the investigation to January 18, 2027.


On October 19, 2026, the CALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 27), extending the target date for completion of the investigation to February 12, 2027, due to the complexity of the issues raised in this investigation on remand and current constraints on judicial resources. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The target date for completion of the investigation has been extended to February 12, 2027. Accordingly, the initial determination is due no later than November 13, 2026.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on November 17, 2026.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR Part 210.

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: November 17, 2026