

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C.**

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN URINE SPLASH GUARDS  
AND COMPONENTS THEREOF**

**Investigation No. 337-TA-1430**

**NOTICE OF A COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL  
DETERMINATION FINDING CERTAIN RESPONDENTS IN DEFAULT**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 13) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) finding certain respondents in default.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jonathan Link, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3103. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on January 13, 2025, based on a complaint filed by For Kids By Parents, Inc. (“Complainant”) of Potomac, Maryland. 90 FR 2745 (Jan. 13, 2025). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain urine splash guards and components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 7,870,619 and claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 11,812,901. *Id.* The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents Shenzhenshi Dijiaotuman Trading Co., Ltd. (d/b/a Tigaman) of Guangdong, China (“Tigaman”); Junyaxincai wuzixunyouxiangongsi (d/b/a Junyixin) of Fujian, China (“Junyixin”); Hezeyunjiangjixieshebeiyoxiangongsi (d/b/a Maomaohouse) of Guangdong, China (“Maomaohouse”); Shenzhenshiranbodianshiyouxiangongsi (d/b/a Eurbus) of Longgang, China (“Eurbus”); Hefeiweifengshidaishidaimaoyiyouxiangongsi (d/b/a HealthSTEC) of Anhui, China

(“HealthSTEC”); ShenzhenShi Julonghui Trading Co., Ltd. (d/b/a Edermurs) of Guangdong, China (“Edermurs”); Shenzhenshi Lishian Keji Youxiangongsi (d/b/a Lishian) of Guangdong, China (“Lishian”); Shenzhen Paisi Industrial Co., Ltd. (d/b/a Sunyoka123) of Guangdong, China (“Sunyoka123”); Guangzhou Lesenyu Dianzishangwu Youxiangongsi (d/b/a Le Sengyu) of Guangdong, China (“Le Sengyu”); and Shenzhen Sibaite Industrial Co., Ltd. (d/b/a SeLucky) of Guangdong, China (“SeLucky”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) was also named as a party in this investigation. *Id.*

On April 1, 2025, the Commission determined not to review three initial determinations (Order Nos. 5, 6, and 7) granting Complainant’s unopposed motions to terminate the investigation as to respondents Eurbus, Sunyoka123, and SeLucky based on settlement. *See* Order Nos. 5, 6, and 7 (March 11, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (April 1, 2025).

On April 21, 2025, the Commission determined not to review (1) an ID (Order No. 10) terminating the investigation as to Tigaman based on settlement and (2) an ID (Order No. 11) amending the Notice of Investigation and terminating the investigation as to Junyxin based on settlement. *See* Order Nos. 10 and 11 (April 1, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (April 21, 2025).

On April 1, 2025, Complainant filed a motion for an order to show cause and for a default judgment against Maomaohouse, Le Sengyu, HealthSTEC, Edermurs, and Lishian. On April 8, 2025, OUII filed a response in support of Complainant’s motion. No other response to the Complainant’s motion was filed.

On April 16, 2025, the ALJ issued a “show cause” order requiring respondents Maomaohouse, Le Sengyu, HealthSTEC, Edermurs, and Lishian to show why each should not be found in default for failure to respond to the Complaint and Notice of Investigation. Order No. 12 (April 16, 2025). The ALJ found that for respondents Maomaohouse and Le Sengyu, the record indicates DHL attempted delivery on January 13, 2025, but was unsuccessful, stating “Delivery not accepted” for each. Order No. 12 at 2 (Apr. 16, 2025) (citing EDIS Doc ID 847446). The ALJ found that for respondents HealthSTEC, Edermurs, and Lishian, the record indicates DHL successfully delivered the Complaint and Notice of Investigation on each—January 13, 2025, January 17, 2025, and January 13, 2025, respectively. *Id.* at 3 (citing EDIS Doc ID 847446). No response to the “show cause” order was filed.

On May 6, 2025, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 13) finding respondents Maomaohouse, Le Sengyu, HealthSTEC, Edermurs, and Lishian in default. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on May 28, 2025.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the

Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'L.R. Barton', enclosed within a thin black rectangular border.

Lisa R. Barton  
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: May 28, 2025