

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN DERMATOLOGICAL
TREATMENT DEVICES AND
COMPONENTS THEREOF**

Investigation No. 337-TA-1356

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL
DETERMINATION TERMINATING THE INVESTIGATION AS TO RESPONDENTS
JEISYS MEDICAL INC. AND CYNOSURE, LLC BASED ON SETTLEMENT**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 64) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”), granting an unopposed motion to terminate the investigation as to respondents Jeisys Medical Inc. of Seoul, Republic of Korea (“Jeisys”); and Cynosure, LLC of Westford, Massachusetts (“Cynosure”).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on April 6, 2023, based on a complaint filed by Serendia, LLC of Lake Forest, CA (“Serendia”). 88 FR 20551-52 (Apr. 6, 2023). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain dermatological treatment devices and components thereof by reason of infringement of claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 14, 16, 17, 19, and 22 of U.S. Patent No. 9,480,836 (“the ’836 patent”); claims 1-5, 7-10, and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 10,058,379; claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 11,406,444; claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11-13, 16, and 17 of U.S. Patent No. 9,320,536 (“the ’536 patent”); claims 1 and 6-15 of U.S. Patent No. 9,775,774 (“the ’774 patent”); and claims 1, 5-7, 9, 10, and 12-19 of U.S. Patent No. 10,869,812 (“the ’812 patent”). *Id.* at 20551. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents Sung Hwan E&B Co., LTD. d/b/a SHEnB Co. LTD of Seoul, Republic of Korea; Aesthetics

Biomedical, Inc. of Phoenix, Arizona; Cartessa Aesthetics, LLC of Melville, New York; Lutronic Corporation of Goyang-si, Republic of Korea; Lutronic Aesthetics, Inc., also known as Lutronic, Inc. of Billerica, Massachusetts; Lutronic, LLC of Billerica, Massachusetts; Ilooda, Co., Ltd. of Anyang-si, Republic of Korea; Cutera, Inc. of Brisbane, California; Rohrer Aesthetics, LLC of Homewood, Alabama; Rohrer Aesthetics, Inc. of Homewood, Alabama; Jeisys; and Cynosure; and EndyMed Medical Ltd. of Caesarea, Israel; EndyMed Medical, Ltd. of New York, New York; and EndyMed Medical, Inc. of Freehold, New Jersey (together, “EndyMed”). *Id.* at 20552. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also participating in the investigation. *Id.*

The Commission subsequently terminated the investigation as to all asserted patent claims except for claims 1, 9, and 22 of the ’836 patent; claims 11 and 16 of the ’536 patent; claim 14 of the ’774 patent; and claims 5, 13, and 18 of the ’812 patent, which remain pending in this investigation. *See* Order No. 16 (June 29, 2023), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (July 20, 2023); Order No. 27 (Sept. 25, 2023), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Oct. 16, 2023); Order No. 43 (Nov. 8, 2023), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Dec. 12, 2023).

The Commission also subsequently terminated the investigation as to all respondents except for EndyMed, Jeisys, and Cynosure. *See* Order No. 26 (Sept. 18, 2023), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Oct. 16, 2023); Order No. 38 (Oct. 27, 2023), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Nov. 20, 2023); Order No. 45 (Nov. 15, 2023), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Dec. 15, 2023); Order No. 47 (Nov. 20, 2023), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Dec. 15, 2023); Order No. 53 (Apr. 11, 2024), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (May 8, 2024); Order No. 51 (Dec. 13, 2023), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Jan. 10, 2024).

On December 1, 2024, Serendia, Jeisys, and Cynosure filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation as to Jeisys and Cynosure based upon settlement. The motion also requested that service of the unredacted version of the confidential settlement agreement be limited to Serendia, Jeisys, Cynosure, and OUII. Neither EndyMed nor OUII opposed the motion.

On December 18, 2024, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 64 (corrected the same day)) granting the motion. The ID noted that “under Commission Rule 210.21(a)(2), any party may move at any time to terminate an investigation in-whole or in-part with respect to any or all respondents on the basis of a settlement, a license, or other agreement as provided in Commission Rule 210.21(b).” ID at 2 (citing 19 CFR 210.21(a)(2)). The ID further noted that pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(b), termination of an investigation with respect to one or more respondents on the basis of a license or other settlement agreement requires the motion to contain: (i) the license agreement or other settlement agreements; (ii) any supplemental agreements; (iii) any documents referenced in the motion or attached agreements; and (iv) a statement that there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied between the parties concerning the subject matter of the investigation. *Id.* (citing 19 CFR 210.21(b)). The ID granted the unopposed motion, finding that it complies with the Commission Rules. *Id.* at 3-5. Order No. 64 also granted the request to limit service of the confidential version of the settlement agreement. No one petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is hereby terminated as to Jeisys and Cynosure.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on January 17, 2025.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Lisa R. Barton', enclosed within a large, loopy oval flourish.

Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: January 17, 2025