

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C.**

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN REPLACEMENT AUTOMOTIVE  
SERVICE AND COLLISION PARTS AND  
COMPONENTS THEREOF**

**Investigation No. 337-TA-1160**

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL  
DETERMINATION FINDING CERTAIN RESPONDENTS IN DEFAULT**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (the “Commission”) has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 17) finding certain respondents in default.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Carl P. Bretscher, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2382. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s Electronic Docket Information System (“EDIS”) (<https://edis.usitc.gov>). Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On June 17, 2019, the Commission instituted the above-referenced investigation based on a complaint filed by Hyundai Motor America, Inc. of Fountain Valley, California and Hyundai Motor Company of Seoul, Republic of Korea (collectively, “Hyundai”). 84 FR 267030-04 (June 7, 2019). The complaint alleges a violation of 19 U.S.C. 1337, as amended (“Section 337”), in the importation, sale for importation, or sale in the United States after importation of certain gray market Hyundai parts in the categories of belts, body exterior and interior parts, brakes, wheel hubs, cooling system parts, drivetrain parts, electrical parts, emission parts, engine parts, exhaust parts, fuel/air pumps, oil/air/cabin air filters and parts, heat and A/C parts, ignition parts, steering parts, suspension parts, transmission parts, wheels and parts, wiper and washer parts, and accessories that infringe one or more of Hyundai’s U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 1,104,727; 3,991,863; 1,569,538; and 4,065,195. *Id.* at 26704. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists in the United States. *Id.*

The Commission's notice of investigation ("NOI") named Direct Technologies International, Inc. ("DTI") of North Miami Beach, Florida; AJ Auto Spare Parts FZE ("AJ Auto") of Dubai, United Arab Emirates ("UAE"); John Auto Spare Parts Co. ("John Auto") of Dubai, UAE; and Cuong Anh Co. ("Cuong Anh") of Ninh Binh Province, Vietnam. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was not named as a party to this investigation.

The complaint and NOI were served via UPS on AJ Auto on June 16, 2019; on John Auto on June 17, 2019; and on Cuong Ahn on June 28, 2019. None of those three respondents responded to the complaint or NOI.

On August 6, 2019, Hyundai filed a motion for an order directing AJ Auto, John Auto, and Cuong Anh to show cause why they should not be found in default for failing to respond to the complaint and NOI. DTI, the only active respondent, opposed the motion on August 16, 2019. On October 1, 2019, the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") issued Order No. 12 directing AJ Auto, John Auto, and Cuong Anh to show cause why they should not be found in default, pursuant to 19 CFR 210.16. AJ Auto submitted a letter in response to Order No. 12 dated October 17, 2019. John Auto and Cuong Anh did not respond to Order No. 12.

On November 5, 2019, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 17), finding AJ Auto, John Auto, and Cuong Anh in default, and further finding that AJ Auto's letter of October 17 is not a proper response under 19 CFR 210.16(a)(1) and is insufficient to avoid default. No party filed a petition to review the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton  
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: November 25, 2019