

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN INFOTAINMENT SYSTEMS,
COMPONENTS THEREOF, AND
AUTOMOBILES CONTAINING THE SAME**

Investigation No. 337-TA-1119

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DECISION NOT TO REVIEW AN
INITIAL DETERMINATION TERMINATING THE INVESTIGATION
AS TO CERTAIN ASSERTED PATENT CLAIMS
BASED ON WITHDRAWAL OF THE COMPLAINT**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 49), granting a motion to partially terminate the investigation as to certain asserted patent claims on the basis of withdrawal of the complaint.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sidney A. Rosenzweig, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 708-2532. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on June 12, 2018, based upon a complaint filed by Broadcom Corporation of San Jose, California ("Broadcom"). 83 FR 27349, 27349 (June 12, 2018). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 by reason of the infringement of claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,937,187 ("the '187 patent"); claims 1, 2, 5-13, 15, and 16 of U.S. Patent No. 8,902,104 ("the '104 patent"); claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 7,512,752 ("the '752 patent"); claims 11-20 of U.S. Patent No. 7,530,027 ("the '027 patent"); claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 8,284,844 ("the '844 patent"); and claims 17-26 of U.S. Patent No. 7,437,583 ("the

'583 patent"). 83 FR at 27349. The notice of investigation ("NOI") named as respondents: Toyota Motor Corporation of Toyota City, Japan; Toyota Motor North America, Inc., Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc., and Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing North America, Inc., each of Plano, Texas; Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Indiana, Inc. of Princeton, Indiana; Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Kentucky, Inc. of Erlanger, Kentucky; Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Mississippi, Inc. of Tupelo, Mississippi; Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Texas, Inc. of San Antonio, Texas; Panasonic Corporation of Osaka, Japan; Panasonic Corporation of North America of Newark, New Jersey; Denso Ten Limited of Kobe City, Japan; Denso Ten America Limited of Torrance, California; Renesas Electronics Corporation of Tokyo, Japan; Renesas Electronics Americas, Inc. of Milpitas, California; and Japan Radio Co., Ltd. of Tokyo, Japan. *Id.* at 27350. The complaint and NOI were amended to add nine respondents: Pioneer Corporation of Tokyo, Japan; Pioneer Automotive Technologies, Inc. of Farmington Hills, MI; Denso Corporation of Kariya, Aichi, Japan; Denso International America, Inc. of Southfield, MI; Denso Manufacturing Tennessee, Inc. of Maryville, TN; and Denso Wireless Systems America, Inc. of Vista, CA; u-blox AG of Thalwil, Switzerland; u-blox America, Inc. of Reston, VA; u-blox San Diego, Inc. of San Diego, CA; and Socionext Inc. of Yokohama, Kanagawa, Japan. See Order No. 14 (Oct. 3, 2018), *not reviewed*, Notice (Nov. 1, 2018).

A number of claims were earlier terminated from the investigation based upon withdrawal of the complaint. Order No. 20 (Jan. 31, 2019), *not reviewed*, Notice (Feb. 19, 2019); Order No. 48 (June 5, 2019), *not reviewed*, Notice (June 18, 2019).

On June 11, 2019, Broadcom filed an unopposed motion to terminate the following patent claims from the investigation based upon withdrawal of the complaint: claim 12 of the '844 patent; claims 20-24 of the '583 patent; claim 4 of the '187 patent; and claims 15-16 of the '104 patent.

On June 13, 2019, the presiding ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 49), granting the motion. The ID finds that the motion complies with Commission rules, *see* 19 CFR 210.21(a)(1), and that extraordinary circumstances do not exist to prevent granting the motion. ID at 1-2.

No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

As a result of Order Nos. 20, 48, and 49, the following claims remain within the scope of the investigation: claims 1-3, 5, and 9 of the '187 patent; claim 12 of the '104 patent; claims 1-2, and 4-8 of the '752 patent; claims 11 and 20 of the '027 patent; claims 11 and 13 of the '844 patent; and claims 17-18, and 25-26 of the '583 patent. See ID at 2 n.1.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Lisa R. Barton'.

Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: June 28, 2019