## UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

CERTAIN DIGITAL VIDEO RECEIVERS AND RELATED HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE COMPONENTS

Inv. No. 337-TA-1103

## NOTICE OF INSTITUTION OF INVESTIGATION

Institution of Investigation Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337

**AGENCY**: U.S. International Trade Commission

**ACTION**: Notice

**SUMMARY**: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on February 8, 2018, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Rovi Corporation of San Jose, California, Rovi Guides, Inc. of San Jose, California, Rovi Technologies Corporation of San Jose, California, and Veveo, Inc. of Andover, Massachusetts. Supplements to the Complaint were filed on February 13 and 28, 2018. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital video receivers and related hardware and software components by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,779,011 ("the '011 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 7,937,394 ("the '394 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 7,827,585 ("the '585 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 9,294,799 ("the '799 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 9,396,741 ("the '741 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 9,578,363 ("the '363 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 9,668,014 ("the '014 patent"). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by the applicable Federal Statute.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES**: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 112, Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at

<u>https://www.usitc.gov</u>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <a href="https://edis.usitc.gov">https://edis.usitc.gov</a>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**: Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**AUTHORITY**: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. 210.10 (2017).

**SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION**: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on March 12, 2018, **ORDERED THAT** –

- (1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital video receivers and related hardware and software components by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1-3, 5-11, 13-19, and 21-24 of the '011 patent; claims 1, 2, 4-6, and 8-11 of the '394 patent; claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 10, 11, 15, 17, 18, 22, 24, and 25 of the '585 patent; claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9-12, 14, 16, 18, and 28 of the '799 patent; claims 1-3, 5-10, 12, 14-17, 19, and 20 of the '741 patent; claims 1-8, 10-18, and 20 of the '363 patent; claims 1, 2, 4-6, 11, 12, and 14-16 of the '956 patent; and claims 1-4, 7-13, and 17-20 of the '014 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;
- (2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:
  - (a) The complainants are:

Rovi Corporation 2160 Gold Street San Jose, CA 95002

Rovi Guides, Inc. 2160 Gold Street San Jose, CA 95002

Rovi Technologies Corporation 2160 Gold Street San Jose, CA 95002

Veveo, Inc. 40 Shattuck Road, Suite 303

Andover, MA 01810

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Comcast Corporation One Comcast Center 1701 John F. Kennedy Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19103

Comcast Cable Communications, LLC One Comcast Center 1701 John F. Kennedy Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19103

Comcast Cable Communications Management, LLC One Comcast Center 1701 John F. Kennedy Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19103

Comcast Business Communications, LLC One Comcast Center 1701 John F. Kennedy Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19103

Comcast Holdings Corporation One Comcast Center 1701 John F. Kennedy Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19103

Comcast Shared Services, LLC 330 N. Wabash Avenue 22 Chicago, IL 60611-3586

- (c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Suite 401, Washington, D.C. 20436; and
- (3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. 210.13. Pursuant to 19 C.F.R. 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton

Secretary to the Commission

Issued: March 12, 2018