

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C.

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN WIRELESS FRONT-END
MODULES AND DEVICES CONTAINING
THE SAME**

Inv. No. 337-TA-1413

**NOTICE: ISSUANCE OF INITIAL DETERMINATION ON
VIOLATION OF SECTION 337 WITH RECOMMENDATION ON
REMEDY AND BOND**

(January 23, 2026)

The Initial Determination (“ID”) on Violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337 (“Section 337”), has been issued today.

It is a finding of this ID that Complainants Skyworks Solutions, Inc., Skyworks Solutions Canada, Inc., and Skyworks Global Pte Ltd. (collectively, “Complainants” or “Skyworks”) have not proven by a preponderance of evidence that Respondents Kangxi Communication Technologies (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. and Grand Chip Labs, Inc. (collectively, “KCT Respondents”) or Respondent Ruijie Networks Co. Ltd. (“Respondent Ruijie”) (collectively, “Respondents,” and together with Complainants, “the Private Parties”) have violated subsection (b) of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain wireless front-end modules and wireless routers.

It is a finding of this ID that Respondents have not infringed claims 14 and 17 of U.S. Patent No. 9,917,563 (“the ’563 patent”) or claims 1, 2, 10, 21, and 22 of U.S. Patent No. 8,717,101 (“the ’101 patent”). It is also a finding of this ID that claims 14 and 17 of the ’563 patent and claims 1, 2, 10, 21, and 22 of the ’101 patent are valid.

It is a finding of this ID that one or more of Skyworks' domestic industry products have satisfied the technical industry prong of the domestic industry requirement for the '563 patent and the '101 patent. It is also a finding of this ID that Skyworks has satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement under Section 337(a)(3)(A) and (B).

However, if the Commission were to find a violation of Section 337, this decision recommends: (1) a General Exclusion Order ("GEO") with a standard certification provision; (2) if not a GEO, then a Limited Exclusion Order ("LEO") with a standard certification provision; (3) a Cease and Desist Order ("CDO") against all Respondents; (4) a 100% bond of entered value against the KCT Respondents, during the Presidential Review Period ("PRP"); and (5) no bond against Respondent Ruijie.

SO ORDERED.



Mary Joan McNamara
Administrative Law Judge