contact Malinda Blustain, Acting Director or Leah Rosenmeier, Director of External Programs, Robert S. Peabody Museum of Archaeology, Phillips Academy, Andover, MA 01810, telephone (978) 749–4490 before December 21 2001. Repatriation of the human remains and associated funerary objects to the Aroostook Band of Micmac Indians of Maine, Houlton Band of Maliseet Indians of Maine, Passamaquoddy Tribe of Maine, and Penobscot Tribe of Maine may begin after that date if no additional claimants come forward.


John Robbins,
Assistant Director. Cultural Resources Stewardship and Partnerships.

[FR Doc. 01–29096 Filed 11–20–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–S

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau of Reclamation

California Bay-Delta Public Advisory Committee Public Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, California Bay-Delta Public Advisory Committee will meet on December 5, 2001. The agenda for the Committee meeting will include discussions about the implementation of the CALFED Bay-Delta Program with State and Federal agency representatives and the Executive Director of the Program and set up Committee operations.

DATES: The meeting will be held Wednesday, December 5, 2001, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. If reasonable accommodation is needed due to a disability, please contact Pauline Nevin at (916) 657–2666 or TDD (800) 735–2929 at least 1 week prior to the meeting.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Library Galleria located at 828 I Street, Sacramento, CA.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Committee was established to provide assistance and recommendations to Secretary of the Interior Gale Norton and California Gray Davis on implementation of the CALFED Bay-Delta Program. The Committee will advise on annual priorities, integration of the eleven Program elements, and overall balancing of the four Program objectives of ecosystem restoration, water quality, levee system integrity, and water supply reliability. The Program is a consortium of 23 State and Federal agencies with the mission to develop and implement a long-term comprehensive plan that will restore ecological health and improve water management for beneficial uses of the San Francisco/Sacramento and San Joaquin Bay Delta.

Committee and meeting materials will be available on the CALFED Bay-Delta web site: http://calfed.ca.gov and at the meeting. This meeting is open to the public. Oral comments will be accepted from members of the public at the meeting and will be limited to 5 minutes.

Authority: The Committee was established pursuant to the Department of the Interior’s authority to implement the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, 16 U.S.C. § 661 et seq., the Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq. and the Reclamation Act of 1902, 43 U.S.C. § 371 et seq., and the acts amendatory thereof or supplementary thereto, all collectively referred to as the Federal Reclamation laws, and in particular, the Central Valley Project Implementation Act, Title 34 of Pub. L. 102–575.


Kirk C. Rodgers,
Acting Regional Director, Mid-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 01–29205 Filed 11–20–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–MN–M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Notice of Commission Decision To Waive Requirements in its Rules Regarding the Number of Copies of Complaints, Motions for Temporary Relief, Requests or Petitions for Ancillary Proceedings, and Exhibits Filed in Proceedings Under Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to waive the requirements set forth in its rules regarding the number of copies of complaints, motions for temporary relief, and requests or petitions for ancillary proceedings that must be filed with the Commission in a section 337 investigation, and to require that twelve (12), rather than fourteen (14), copies of those submissions be filed. In addition, the Commission has waived the requirements in its rules regarding the number of sets of exhibits associated with the foregoing pleadings that must be filed in a section 337 investigation, and to require that six (6), rather than fourteen (14), copies of those sets of exhibits be filed with the Commission.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission made this determination after adopting the recommendations of a “Section 337 Paperwork Reduction Working Group” (hereinafter called the “Paperwork Reduction Group”) which was established by the Commission at the request of the Chairman to identify documents filed by parties in investigations conducted pursuant to Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, that do not need to be circulated among members of the Commission and to propose changes necessary to carry out those recommendations. The Paperwork Reduction Group recommended to the Commission that the number of copies of complaints, motions for temporary relief, and requests or petitions for ancillary relief (hereinafter collectively called “complaints”) required to be filed with the Commission should be reduced from 14 copies to 12 copies because two of the 14 copies now being filed are not needed by the Commission. The Commission also adopted the recommendation of the Paperwork Reduction Group that the sets of exhibits attached to complaints no longer need to be circulated among the Commissioners in paper form. The Commission recognized that all of the corresponding exhibits filed with complaints are readily available to Commissioners on EDIS in electronic format.

Consequently, the Commission determined to waive the requirements set forth in sections 210.4(f)(2) and 210.8(a) of the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)(2) and 19 CFR 210.8(a)) that 14
copies of confidential and nonconfidential complaints, motions for temporary relief, requests or petitions for ancillary relief, and sets of exhibits must be filed with the Commission in section 337 investigations pending before the Commission. Instead, the Commission will now accept for filing 12 copies of confidential and nonconfidential versions of complaints, motions for temporary relief, and requests or petitions for ancillary relief filed by a party in a section 337 investigation. In addition, the Commission will now accept for filing six copies of the sets of exhibits that are filed with confidential and nonconfidential versions of complaints, motions for temporary relief, and requests for ancillary proceedings by a party in section 337 investigations. The foregoing waiver does not alter the provision in section 210.8 of the rules requiring the filing of additional copies for each party and foreign country named in complaints or supplemental complaints filed with the Commission.

The authority for this waiver is contained in section 201.4(b) of the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR 201.4(b)).


By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehneke,Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–29149 Filed 11–20–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

---

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–432]

Certain Semiconductor Chips With Minimized Chip Package Size and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decision To Affirm ALJ Order No. 33 and Not To Review a Final Initial Determination Finding a Violation of Section 337; Schedule for Written Submissions on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to affirm ALJ Order No. 33 issued by the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) on June 1, 2001, and determined not to review the final initial determination (ID) issued by the ALJ on September 25, 2001, finding a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the above-captioned investigation.


Copies of the public version of ALJ Order No. 33, the ID, and all other nondocumental documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 3, 2000, the Commission instituted this investigation of allegations of unfair acts in violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation and sale of certain semiconductor chips with minimized chip package size or products containing same. 65 FR 25758 (May 3, 2000). The complaint alleged that three respondents had infringed at least claims 6 and 22 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,679,977 (the ’977 patent) and claims 1, 3, and 11 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,852,326 (the ’326 patent) held by complainant Tessera, Inc. of San Jose, California. The notice of investigation named the following respondents: Texas Instruments of Dallas, Texas (“TT”); Sharp Corporation of Osaka, Japan; and Sharp Electronics Corporation of Mahwah, New Jersey (collectively, “Sharp”). On March 2, 2001, the Commission determined not to review an ID granting Tessera’s motion to withdraw the complaint allegations as to TI, and to terminate the investigation as to TI. An evidentiary hearing commenced April 5, 2001 and concluded on April 19, 2001.

On April 13, 2001, Sharp filed a motion with the ALJ to reopen the hearing record to include newly-discovered evidence. Sharp subsequently filed several supplements to its motion. Tessera and the Commission investigative attorney (IA) filed responses, and Sharp filed a reply. On June 1, 2001, the ALJ issued Order No. 33, denying Sharp’s motion to reopen.

On September 25, 2001, the presiding ALJ issued its final ID, finding a violation of section 337. On October 9, 2001, Sharp appealed Order No. 33 and petitioned for review of the ID. The IA did not file a petition for review. On October 16, 2001, complainant and the IA filed responses opposing Sharp’s petition for review and its appeal of Order No. 33.

Having reviewed the record in this investigation, including the parties’ written submissions, the Commission has determined to affirm Order No. 33 and not to review the ID in its entirety.

In connection with final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may issue (1) an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) cease and desist orders that could result in Sharp being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide evidence establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or are likely to do so. For background information, see the Commission Opinion, Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines, Inv. No. 337–TA–360, USITC Publication 2843 (Dec. 1994).

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the President has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission’s action. During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under a bond, in an amount to be determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed.

Written Submissions

The parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any