

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C.**

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN RF CAPABLE INTEGRATED  
CIRCUITS AND PRODUCTS  
CONTAINING THE SAME**

**Investigation No. 337-TA-982**

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL  
DETERMINATION GRANTING COMPLAINANT'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO  
PARTIALLY TERMINATE THE INVESTIGATION AS TO CERTAIN CLAIMS  
BASED UPON WITHDRAWAL OF ALLEGATIONS PERTAINING TO THOSE  
CLAIMS FROM THE COMPLAINT**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 15) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting an unopposed motion to partially terminate the investigation as to the claims 22 and 24 of U.S. Patent No. 8,571,135 ("the '135 patent") and claims 6-8 of U.S. Patent No. 7,929,638 ("the '638 patent") based upon withdrawal of allegations pertaining to those claims from the complaint.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted Inv. No. 337-TA-982 on January 21, 2016, based on a complaint filed by ParkerVision, Inc. of Jacksonville, Florida ("ParkerVision"). 81 *Fed. Reg.* 3474-75 (Jan. 21, 2016). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain RF capable integrated circuits and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,879,817; U.S. Patent No. 9,118,528

("the '528 patent"); the '135 patent; and the '638 patent. The notice of investigation named the following respondents: Apple Inc. of Cupertino, California; LG Electronics, Inc. of Seoul, Republic of Korea; LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc. of Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey (terminated from the investigation); LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc. of San Diego, California; Qualcomm Incorporated of San Diego, California; Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. of Suwon-Shi, Republic of Korea; Samsung Electronics America, Inc. of Ridgefield Park, New Jersey; Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. of San Jose, California. The Samsung respondents have been terminated from the investigation. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (OUII) is a party to the investigation. Claims 6, 24, 28, 33, and 34 of the '528 patent were terminated based on withdrawal of allegations pertaining to these claims. Notice (Aug. 3, 2016).

On August 1, 2016, ParkerVision moved to terminate the investigation as to claims 22 and 24 of the '135 patent (the only asserted claims of the '135 patent) and claims 6-8 of the '638 patent based upon withdrawal of allegations pertaining to those claims from the complaint. On August 10, 2016, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the motion. No other responses to the motion were filed.

On August 15, 2016, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting the unopposed motion. The ALJ found that the motion complied with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(a)(1) (19 C.F.R. § 210.21(a)(1)) and further found that no extraordinary circumstances prohibited granting the motion. None of the parties petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. Part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton  
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: September 7, 2016