

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN TONER CARTRIDGES AND
COMPONENTS THEREOF**

Investigation No. 337-TA-918

**NOTICE OF A COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL
DETERMINATION FINDING RESPONDENTS SHENZHEN ASTA OFFICIAL
CONSUMABLE CO., LTD.; ACECOM, INC. – SAN ANTONIO; DO IT WISER LLC;
GRAND IMAGE INC.; GREEN PROJECT, INC.; ONLINE TECH STORES, LLC;
PRINTRONIC CORPORATION; AND ZINYAW LLC IN DEFAULT**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 12) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) finding respondents Shenzhen ASTA Official Consumable Co., Ltd.; Acecom, Inc. – San Antonio; Do It Wiser LLC; Grand Image Inc.; Green Project, Inc.; Online Tech Stores, LLC; Printronic Corporation; and Zinyaw LLC (collectively, “Defaulting Respondents”) in default.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3115. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, on June 12, 2014, based on a complaint filed by Canon Inc. of Japan; Canon U.S.A., Inc. of Melville, New York; and Canon Virginia, Inc. of Newport News, Virginia (collectively, “Canon”). The complaint alleges a violation of section 337 by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,280,278; 8,630,564; 8,682,215; 8,676,090; 8,369,744 (“the ‘744 patent”); 8,565,640 (“the ‘640 patent”); 8,676,085 (“the ‘085 patent”); 8,135,304 (“the ‘304 patent”); and 8,688,008 by numerous respondents. 79 *Fed. Reg.* 33777-78 (Jun. 12, 2014). Subsequently, the investigation

was partially terminated based on withdrawal of the complaint as to the following asserted claims: (1) claim 1 of the '744 patent (*i.e.*, all asserted claims); (2) claim 1 of the '640 patent (*i.e.*, all asserted claims); (3) claims 1, 2, 3, and 4 of the '085 patent (*i.e.*, all asserted claims); and (4) claim 1 of the '304 patent (*i.e.*, all asserted claims).

On June 9, 2014, each of the Defaulting Respondents was served with copies of the complaint and notice of investigation. On August 11, 2014, the ALJ issued Order No. 10, which required Defaulting Respondents to show cause why they should not be found in default. Order No. 10 issued in response to complainant's motion in which complainant Canon argued that each of the Defaulting Respondents has failed to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation in violation of 19 C.F.R. § 210.13. The Defaulting Respondents were given until August 25, 2014, to respond to Order No. 10. No responses were received from any of the Defaulting Respondents.

On September 5, 2014, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 12). In accordance with Commission Rule 210.16, the ALJ determined that respondents Shenzhen ASTA Official Consumable Co., Ltd.; Acecom, Inc. – San Antonio; Do It Wiser LLC; Grand Image Inc.; Green Project, Inc.; Online Tech Stores, LLC; Printronic Corporation; and Zinyaw LLC be found in default. *See* Order No. 12 at 2; 19 C.F.R. § 210.16. No party petitioned for review of the ID, and the Commission has determined not to review it.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. Part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: October 1, 2014