UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of  
CERTAIN COMPUTER FORENSIC  
DEVICES AND PRODUCTS  
CONTAINING SAME  
Inv. No. 337-TA-799

NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW  
THE FINAL INITIAL DETERMINATION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE;  
TERMINATION OF THE INVESTIGATION


ACTION:  Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the final initial determination (“final ID” or “ID”) of the presiding administrative law judge in the above-identified investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:  James A. Worth, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-3065. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

California; Guidance Software, Inc. of Pasadena, California and Guidance Tableau LLC of Pasadena, California (collectively, “Guidance”); Ji2, Inc. of Cypress, California; MultiMedia Effects, Inc. of Markham, Ontario; Voom Technologies, Inc. of South Lakeland, Minnesota; and YEC Co. Ltd. of Tokyo, Japan.

Only respondents Guidance, CRU, and Digital Intelligence remain in the investigation. The complainant has also narrowed the claims asserted to claims 1-8, 11-13, 16-21, 24-36, and 40-45 of the ‘682 patent and claim 2 of the ‘379 patent.

An evidentiary hearing was held from August 6 to August 10, 2012.

On October 26, 2012, the ALJ issued the final ID, finding no violation of Section 337. The ALJ found that MyKey had failed to satisfy the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The Commission would ordinarily remand this investigation to the ALJ to address in the final ID all material issues presented because a hearing has concluded and all issues have been fully briefed before the ALJ. 19 CFR 210.42(d); see also Certain Video Game Systems and Wireless Controllers and Components Thereof, Inv. 337-TA-770, Comm’n Op. at n.1 (Nov. 6, 2012). However, the Commission has determined not to review the ID in this investigation based upon the extraordinary factual situation and the parties’ failure to file petitions for review. This investigation is hereby terminated.


By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton
Acting Secretary to the Commission

Issued: December 21, 2012