

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20436

In the Matter of

**ELECTRONIC PAPER TOWEL DISPENSING
DEVICES AND COMPONENTS
THEREOF**

Investigation No. 337-TA-718

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW
INITIAL DETERMINATIONS GRANTING MOTIONS TO TERMINATE THE
INVESTIGATION AS TO TWO RESPONDENTS BASED ON CONSENT ORDER
STIPULATIONS AND ISSUANCE OF CONSENT ORDERS**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review initial determinations (“IDs”) (Order Nos. 26 and 27) granting motions to terminate the investigation as to two respondents based on consent order stipulations. The Commission has issued the subject consent orders.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James A. Worth, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3065. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted on May 21, 2010, based upon a complaint filed on behalf of Georgia Pacific Consumer Products LP of Atlanta, Georgia (“Georgia Pacific”) on September 23, 2009, and supplemented on October 7, 2009. 74 *Fed. Reg.* 55856 (Oct. 29, 2009). The complaint alleged violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic paper towel dispensing devices and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of

United States Patent Nos. 6,871,815; 7,017,856; 7,182,289; and 7,387,274. 75 *Fed. Reg.* 28651-2 (May 21, 2010). The complainant named as respondents Kruger Products LP of Mississauga, Canada; KTG USA LP of Memphis, Tennessee (“KTG USA”); StefcO Industries, Inc. of Haines City, Florida (“Stefco”); Cellynne Corporation of Haines City, Florida (“Cellynne”); Draco Hygienic Products Inc. of Ontario, California; NetPak Electronic Plastic and Cosmetic, Inc., d/b/a/ Open for Business of Chicago, Illinois (“NetPak Chicago”); NetPak Elektronik Plastik ve Kozmetik Sanayi, Ve Ticaret Ltd of Izmir, Turkey (“NetPak Turkey”); Paradigm Marketing Consortium, Inc. of Syosset, New York; United Sourcing Network Corp. of Syosset, New York; New Choice (H.K.) Ltd. of Shatin, Hong Kong; and Vida International Inc. of Taipei, Taiwan.

On August 16, 2010, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review an ID amending the complaint and notice of investigation: (1) to correct the corporate name of NetPak Chicago; (2) to redefine “Kruger” to “Kruger Products and/or KTG USA”; (3) to indicate that Georgia-Pacific no longer alleges that NetPak Turkey is the source of StefcO’s and Cellynne’s accused product; (4) to add new respondents Jet Power International Limited; Winco Industries Co.; DWL Industries Co.; Ko-Am Corporation Inc. d/b/a Janitor’s World ; Natyry, S.A. De C.V. (“Natyry”); Franklin Financial Management, Inc. d/b/a Update International (“Update”); and Alliance in Manufacturing LLC.

On December 7, 2010, Georgia Pacific and the Respondent Update jointly filed a motion pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(c) to terminate the investigation as to Update based upon consent order stipulations. Also on December 7, 2010, Georgia Pacific and Respondent Natyry jointly filed a motion to terminate the investigation as to Natyry based upon a consent order stipulation. On December 17, 2010, the Commission investigative attorney (“IA”) filed responses in support of the motions. The IA stated that she is not aware of any reason why termination as to the respondents would be contrary to the public interest. On December 30, 2010, the ALJ issued Order Nos. 26 and 27, granting the motions. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject IDs and to issue the subject consent orders.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and of section 210.42(h) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 210.42(h)).

By order of the Commission.

/s/
William R. Bishop
Hearings and Meetings Coordinator

Issued: February 1, 2011