UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

CERTAIN ELECTRONIC EYEWEAR PRODUCTS, COMPONENTS THEREOF, AND RELATED CHARGING APPARATUSES (II) **Investigation No. 337-TA-1455**

NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW INITIAL DETERMINATIONS (1) TERMINATING THE INVESTIGATION AS TO A RESPONDENT BASED ON SETTLEMENT AND (2) SETTING A 17.5-MONTH TARGET DATE

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review two initial determinations ("ID") of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") that (1) terminate respondent Brilliant Labs Limited ("Brilliant") from the above-captioned investigation based on settlement (Order No. 11), and (2) set a 17.5-month target date of December 21, 2026, for completion of the investigation (Order No. 12).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard P. Hadorn, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3179. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on July 11, 2025, based on a complaint filed by IngenioSpec, LLC ("IngenioSpec") of San Jose, California. 90 FR 30980-81 (July 11, 2025). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic eyewear products, components thereof, and related charging apparatuses by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 10,310,296 and 12,078,870. *Id* at 30980. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists or is in the process of being established. *Id*.

The notice of investigation names the following respondents: (1) Brilliant of Singapore; (2) SZ DJI Technology Co., Ltd. ("DJI") of Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China; (3) Even Realities Ltd. of Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China and Even Realities GmbH of Berlin, Germany (collectively, "Even Realities"); (4) Halliday Global of Kaki Bukit, Singapore, Halliday Holdings Pte. Ltd. of Kaki Bukit, Singapore, and Cosonic Intelligent Technologies Co., Ltd. of Dongguan City, Guangdong, China (collectively, "Halliday"); (5) Shenzhen Yingmu Technology Co., Ltd. of Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China and Sichuan INMO Technology Co., Ltd. of Nanshan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China (collectively, "INMO"); (6) MyW Technology Co., Ltd. of Guangming District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China; (7) Shenzhen Langzhiyin Electronic Co., Ltd. ("OhO") of Xuexiang Bantian Longgang District, Shenzhen, China; (8) Hangzhou Guangli Technology Co., Ltd. ("Guangli") of Xi Hu District, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China; and (9) Lexiang Technology Co., Ltd. of Pudong New Area, Shanghai, China. *Id.* at 30981. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not named as a party. *Id.*

On September 15, 2025, the Commission terminated the investigation as to Guangli based on settlement. Order No. 9 (Aug. 14, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Sept. 15, 2025).

On August 18, 2025, IngenioSpec and Brilliant (the "Moving Parties") filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation as to Brilliant based on a settlement agreement, attaching thereto a confidential version of the subject agreement. The motion states that respondents DJI, Even Realities, Halliday, OhO, and INMO do not oppose the motion. On August 19, 2025, the Moving Parties filed a public version of the motion, attaching thereto a redacted version of the subject agreement. No responses to the motion were filed.

On August 25, 2025, the ALJ issued both subject IDs (Order Nos. 11 and 12). Order No. 11 grants the unopposed joint motion to terminate the investigation as to Brilliant based on settlement. Order No. 11 finds that the motion complies with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(b)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)), noting that the motion states that "[t]here are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between the Moving Parties concerning the subject matter of the Investigation" other than the subject settlement agreement. Order No. 11 at 2. Order No. 11 also finds "no evidence of any adverse impact on the public interest" from terminating Brilliant from the investigation, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) (19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)). *Id.* Order No. 11 further grants the Moving Parties' request to limit service of the confidential settlement agreement and to stay the investigation as to Brilliant pending resolution of the joint motion. *Id.* at 3-4. Order No. 12 sets a 17.5-month target date of December 21, 2026, for completion of the investigation, and states that the final ID is due no later than August 21, 2026. Order No. 12 at 2. No petitions for review of the subject IDs were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject IDs. The investigation is hereby terminated as to Brilliant, and the target date for completion of the investigation is December 21, 2026.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on September 15, 2025.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton

Secretary to the Commission

Issued: September 15, 2025